Publ. Math. Debrecen 43 / 1–2 (1993), 169–180

Lie ideals and commuting mappings in prime rings

By RAM AWTAR (Pilani)

Abstract. J. VUKMAN [6, Theorem 1] proved that if R is a prime ring of characteristic different from two and if d is a derivation of R such that [[d(x), x], x] = 0 for all x in R then either d = 0 or R is commutative. This result extends one due to E. POSNER [5, Theorem 2]. In this paper our object is to generalize the above mentioned result of J. VUKMAN to Lie ideals and we prove the following:

Theorem. Let R be a prime ring of characteristic different from two, and let d be a derivation of R. Let U be a Lie ideal of R such that [[d(u), u], u] = 0 for all $u \in U$. Then either d = 0 or $U \subset Z$, where Z is the center of R.

1. Introduction

A theorem of J. VUKMAN [6] states that if R is a prime ring of characteristic different from 2, and if d is a derivation of R such that (d(x)x - xd(x))x - x(d(x)x - xd(x)) = 0 for all x in R then either d = 0 or R is commutative. In this paper we extend this result to Lie ideals.

Throughout the paper we assume that R is an associative ring of characteristic not 2. The center of R is denoted by Z. We also assume that d is a derivation of R, i.e., an additive mapping of R into itself such that d(xy) = xd(y) + d(x)y for all $x, y \in R$. For $x, y \in R$, let

$$[x, y] = xy - yx$$
 and $f(x) = [x, d(x)]$

An additive subgroup U of R is said to be a Lie ideal of R if $[u, r] \in U$ for all $u \in U$ and $r \in R$.

2. The main theorem

In this section we prove the main theorem of this paper which generalizes a result of J. VUKMAN [6, theorem 1] to Lie ideals. We begin with the main theorem. **Theorem 1.** Let R be a prime ring, char $\neq 2$, and let U be a Lie ideal of R. If d is a derivation of R such that [[d(u), u], u] = 0 for all $u \in U$, then either d = 0 or $U \subset Z$.

PROOF. By hypothesis,

(1)
$$[[d(u), u], u] = 0 \quad \text{for all } u \in U.$$

In (1), replace u by u + v where $v \in U$ and use (1) to get

$$\begin{split} [[d(u), u], v] + [[d(u), v], u] + [[d(v), u], u] + [[d(v), v], u] + \\ + [[d(v), u], v] + [[d(u), v], v] = 0. \end{split}$$

Replace u by -u then

$$\begin{split} [[d(u), u], v] + [[d(u), v], u] + [[d(v), u], u] - [[d(v), v], u] - \\ -[d(v), u], v] - [[d(u), v], v] = 0. \end{split}$$

Adding the last two equations and dividing by 2, we have

(2)
$$[[d(u), u], v] + [[d(u), v], u] + [[d(v), u], u] = 0$$
for all $u, v \in U$.

Suppose now that $v, w \in U$ are such that vw is also in U. By replacing v by vw in (2), where $w \in U$, and after expanding we get

$$\begin{split} v[[d(u), u], w] + & [[d(u), u], v]w + v[[d(u), w], u] + [v, u][d(u), w] + \\ & + [d(u), v][w, u] + [[d(u), v], u]w + d(v)[[w, u], u] + [d(v), u][w, u] + \\ & + [d(v), u][w, u] + [[d(v), u], u]w + v[[d(w), u], u] + [v, u][d(w), u] + \\ & + [v, u][d(w), u] + [[v, u], u]d(w) = 0. \end{split}$$

In view of (2), the last equation reduces to

$$\begin{split} [v,u][d(u),w] + [d(u),v][w,u] + d(v)[[w,u],u] + 2[d(v),u][w,u] + \\ + 2[v,u][d(w),u] + [[v,u],u]d(w) = 0. \end{split}$$

For any $r \in R$, the element w = vr - rv satisfies the criterion $vw \in U$, hence by the above equation, we get

$$[v, u][d(u), [v, r]] + [d(u), v][[v, r], u] + d(v)[[[v, r], u], u] + (3) + 2[d(v), u][[v, r], u] + 2[v, u] [d[v, r], u] + [[v, u], u] d[v, r] = 0 for all $u, v \in U, r \in R.$$$

Let v = u in (3). Then

$$3[u,d(u)] \ [u,[u,r]] + d(u) \ [u,[u,[u,r]]] = 0.$$

For $u \in U$, let I(r) = [u, r] for all $r \in R$. Then I is an inner derivation of R.

Thus from the above equation, we get

(4)
$$3f(u)I^{2}(r) + d(u)I^{3}(r) = 0$$
 for all $r \in R, u \in U$.

In (4), let r = ur. Then, as I(u) = 0,

$$3f(u)uI^{2}(r) + d(u)uI^{3}(r) = 0.$$

From (1) uf(u) = f(u)u. So by (4) and the last equation, we get

(5)
$$f(u)I^{3}(r) = 0 \text{ for all } r \in R, \ u \in U.$$

Similarly, after replacing v by wv = [v, r]v in (2), and then putting v = u, we obtain

(6)
$$3I^2(r) f(u) + I^3(r)d(u) = 0$$
 for all $r \in R, u \in U$.

Replace r by rd(u) in (4) and use (4) to get

$$\begin{aligned} 3f(u) &\{ 2I(r)I(d(u)) + rI^2(d(u)) \} + d(u) \; \{ 3I^2(r) \; I(d(u)) + \\ &+ 3I(r)I^2(d(u)) + rI^3(d(u)) \} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

In view of (1), $I^{2}(d(u)) = 0$, and I(d(u)) = [u, d(u)] = f(u). Thus, we get

(7)
$$6f(u)I(r)f(u) + 3d(u)I^2(r)f(u) = 0$$
 for all $r \in R, u \in U$.

Similarly, after replacing r by d(u)r in (4), we have

(8)
$$6f(u)f(u)I(r) + 3f(u)d(u)I^2(r) = 0$$
 for all $r \in R, u \in U$.

In (6) replace r by rd(u) and use (6). Then

(9)
$$6I(r)f(u)f(u) + 3I^2(r)f(u)d(u) = 0$$
 for all $r \in R, u \in U$.

Similarly, after replacing r by d(u)r in (6), we get

(10)
$$6f(u)I(r)f(u) + 3f(u)I^2(r)d(u) = 0$$
 for all $r \in R, u \in U$.

In (4), let r = rs where $s \in R$ and use (4) to get

(11)
$$3f(u)\{2I(r)I(s) + rI^2(s)\} + d(u)\{3I^2(r)I(s) + 3I(r)I^2(s) + rI^3(s)\} = 0$$
 for all $r, s \in R, u \in U$.

Replace r by f(u) in (11). Then, as I(f(u)) = 0 from (1) and $f(u)I^3(s) = 0$ from (5), we get

(12)
$$3f(u)f(u)I^2(s) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \ s \in R, u \in U.$$

Write $s = rs, r \in R$ in (12) and use (12) to obtain

(13)
$$3f(u)f(u)\{2I(r)I(s) + rI^2(s)\} = 0$$
 for all $r, s \in \mathbb{R}, u \in U$.
From (11) and (13), we get

(14)
$$f(u)d(u)\{3I^{2}(r)I(s) + 3I(r)I^{2}(s) + rI^{3}(s)\} = 0$$

for all $r, s \in R, u \in U.$

Replacing r by ur in (14) and using (14), as uf(u) = f(u)u from (1), we get

$$f(u)f(u)\left\{3I^{2}(r)I(s) + 3I(r)I^{2}(s) + rI^{3}(s)\right\} = 0.$$

In view of (12), the last equation reduces to

$$f(u)f(u)\{3I(r)I^{2}(s) + rI^{3}(s)\} = 0$$

i.e,

$$2f(u)f(u)\{3I(r)I^{2}(s) + rI^{3}(s)\} = 0.$$

In (13), putting s = I(s) and then subtracting, from the last equation, we have $f(u)f(u)RI^3(s) = 0$. Since R is prime, if $f(u)f(u) \neq 0$ for some u in U, then $I^3(s) = 0$ for all $s \in R$. Thus, from (6), $3I^2(r)f(u) = 0$ and so, from (9), 6I(r)f(u)f(u) = 0, i.e., 3I(r)f(u)f(u) = 0 for all $r \in R$. Suppose R is of characteristic different from 3. Then I(r)f(u)f(u) = 0and so $0 = \{I(r)s + rI(s)\}f(u)f(u) = I(r)Rf(u)f(u) = [u, R]Rf(u)f(u)$. Since $f(u)f(u) \neq 0$ and R is prime, then $u \in Z$ and so f(u)f(u) = 0. Thus f(u)f(u) = 0 for all $u \in U$.

Suppose now that R is of characteristic 3. Then from (4), $d(u)I^3(r) = 0$. Thus

(15)
$$0 = d(u)[u, [u, [u, r]]] = d(u)[u^3, r] \text{ for all } r \in R, \ u \in U.$$

In (15), replace u by u + v where $v \in U$ and use (15). Then

$$\{ d(u) + d(v) \} [u^2v + uvu + vu^2 + uv^2 + vuv + v^2u, r] + + d(u)[v^3, r] + d(v)[u^3, r] = 0.$$

Replace u by -u, then

$$\{-d(u) + d(v)\}[u^2v + uvu + vu^2 - uv^2 - vuv - v^2u, r] - d(u)[v^3, r] - d(v)[u^3, r] = 0.$$

Adding the last two equations and dividing by 2, we have

(16)
$$d(u)[uv^2 + vuv + v^2u, r] + d(v)[u^2v + uvu + vu^2, r] = 0$$

for all $r \in R$ and $u, v \in U$.

Replacing r by ru in (16) and using (16) we get

$$d(u)r[uv^{2} + vuv + v^{2}u, u] + d(v)r[u^{2}v + uvu + vu^{2}, u] = 0$$

or

 $d(u)r[uv^2+vuv+v^2u,u]+d(v)r\{(u^2v+uvu+vu^2)u-u(u^2v+uvu+vu^2)\}{=}0$ or

(17)
$$d(u)r[uv^2 + vuv + v^2u, u] + d(v)r[v, u^3] = 0$$
for all $r \in R$, and $u, v \in U$.

In (15) let r = rs, then $0 = d(u)\{[u^3, r]s + r[u^3, s]\} = d(u)R\{u^3, s]$. So, if for some $u \in U$, $d(u) \neq 0$ then $[u^3, s] = 0$ for all $s \in R$, since R is prime. Thus from (17) $d(u)R[uv^2 + vuv + v^2u, u] = 0$, i.e, $[uv^2 + vuv + v^2u, u] = 0$ for all $v \in U$, as $d(u) \neq 0$ and R is prime. Thus

$$0 = I(uv^{2} + vuv + v^{2}u)$$

= $I\{(uv - vu)v - v(uv - vu)\}$, as char $R = 3$,
= $I[I(v), v] = [I^{2}(v), v]$

Linearize the last equation on v to get

$$[I^{2}(v), w] + [I^{2}(w), v] = 0$$
 for all $v, w \in U$.

Replacing w by I(w), as $I^3(w) = [u, [u, [u, w]]] = [u^3, w] = 0$, we get $[I^2(v), I(w)] = 0$ for all $v, w \in U$. Suppose that $u \notin Z$, then by Theorem 4 of [2], as $I \neq 0$ and $U \not\subset Z$, $I^2(v) \in Z$ for all $v \in U$. Now by Theorem 5 of [2], as $I \neq 0, U \subset Z$. Thus $u \in Z$ and so f(u) = [u, d(u)] = 0. Hence for all $u \in U$, f(u) = 0. Thus, in all cases

(18)
$$f(u)f(u) = 0 \quad \text{for all} \ u \in U.$$

Linearizing (3) on v, we get

$$[v, u][d(u), [w, r]] + [w, u][d(u), [v, r]] + [d(u), v][[w, r], u] + \\ + [d(u), w][[v, r], u] + d(v)[[w, r], u], u] + d(w)[[[v, r], u], u] + \\ + 2[d(v), u][[w, r], u] + 2[d(w), u][[v, r], u] + 2[v, u][d[w, r], u] + \\ + 2[w, u][d[v, r], u] + [[v, u], u]d[w, r] + [[w, u], u]d[v, r] = 0 \\ \text{for all } u, v, w \in U.$$

Ram Awtar

Let v=u=f(u) in (19). Then, since f(u)f(u) = 0 and $f(u)d(f(u)) \times f(u)=0$, we get

(20)

$$\begin{bmatrix} w, f(u) \end{bmatrix} [d(f(u)), [[f(u), r]] + 3[d(f(u)), f(u)][w, r]f(u) + \\
+ 2[d(f(u)), w] f(u)r f(u) - 2d(f(u)) f(u)[w, r] f(u) + \\
+ 4[d(w), f(u)] f(u)rf(u) + 2[w, f(u)] d[f(u), r]f(u) = 0 \\
\text{for all} \quad r \in R \text{ and } u, w \in U.$$

Multiplying by f(u) from the right, the last equation yields

$$[w, f(u)] [d(f(u)), [f(u), r]] f(u) = 0$$

i.e,

$$f(u)U\{f(u)r \ d(f(u)) \ f(u) - d(f(u)) \ f(u)r \ f(u)\} = 0$$

for all $r \in R, \ u \in U.$

If for some $u \in U$, $f(u) \neq 0$ then $u \notin Z$ and so by Lemma 4 of [3] the last equation yields

$$f(u)r \ d(f(u))f(u) = d(f(u)) \ (f(u))r \ f(u) \text{ for all } r \in R.$$

By using a result of MARTINDALE (Corollary of Lemma 1.3.2 in [4]) we conclude that, as $f(u) \neq 0$, d(f(u)) $f(u) = \delta(u)$ f(u) where $\delta(u) \in C$, the extended centroid of R (See p.22 of [4] for the notion of extended centroid). Moreover, $f(u)d(f(u)) = -d(f(u))f(u) = -\delta(u)$ f(u).

Let r = f(u)r in (20). Since 0 = f(u)f(u) = f(u)d(f(u)) f(u), $d(f(u))f(u) = \delta(u)f(u)$ and $f(u)d(f(u)) = -\delta(u)f(u)$, we get

$$-[w, f(u)][d(f(u)), f(u)r f(u)] + 6\delta(u) f(u)w f(u)r f(u) - 2\delta(u)f(u)wf(u)rf(u) + 2[w, f(u)] d\{f(u)[f(u), r]\}f(u) = 0$$

i.e.

$$\begin{aligned} f(u)w\,d(f(u))\,\,f(u)r\,\,f(u) - f(u)w\,\,f(u)r\,\,f(u)\,\,d(f(u)) + \\ +4\delta(u)f(u)wf(u)r\,\,f(u) + 2[w,f(u)]\{d(f(u))[f(u),r] + \\ +f(u)[d(f(u)),r] + f(u)[f(u),d(r)]\}f(u) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\begin{split} & 6\delta(u)f(u)w\ f(u)r\ f(u) + 2[w,f(u)]\ d(f(u))\ f(u)r\ f(u) - \\ & -2\ f(u)w\ f(u)[d(f(u)),r]\ f(u) = 0 \end{split}$$

174

i.e.

$$6\delta(u) f(u)w f(u)r f(u) - 2f(u)w d(f(u)) f(u)r f(u) - 2f(u)w f(u) d(f(u))r f(u) + 2f(u)w f(u)r d(f(u)) f(u) = 0$$

i.e.

$$8\delta(u) f(u)wf(u)rf(u) = 0$$
 for all $w \in U, r \in R$.

Now, if $\delta(u) \neq 0$ then $f(u) \cup f(u) \cap R$ f(u) = 0 and so $f(u) \cup f(u) = 0$, as $f(u) \neq 0$ and R is prime. Az $f(u) \neq 0$ then $u \notin Z$, so again by Lemma 4 of [3] f(u) = 0, a contradiction. Thus $\delta(u) = 0$. Therefore, $d(f(u)) \cap f(u) = f(u)d(f(u)) = 0$. Hence from (20), we get

(21)

$$f(u)w\{d(f(u))rf(u) + f(u)rd(f(u))\} + 2d(f(u)wf(u)r f(u) - 4f(u)d(w)f(u)rf(u) - 2f(u)w\{d(f(u))r f(u) + f(u)d(r)f(u)\} = 0 \quad \text{for } r \in R, \ w \in U.$$

In (2), let u = f(u). Then we get

(22) d(f(u))vf(u) + f(u)vd(f(u)) - 2f(u)d(v)f(u) = 0 for all $v \in U$. Thus from (21), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \{2d(f(u))wf(u) - 4f(u)d(w)f(u) - 2f(u)wd(f(u))vf(u) = 0\\ \text{for all } v, w \in U. \end{aligned}$$

Again, $f(u) \neq 0$ implies $u \notin Z$. Hence, by Lemma 4 of [3], (23) d(f(u))wf(u) - f(u)wd(f(u)) - 2f(u)d(w)f(u) = 0 for all $w \in U$. In view of (22) & (23), we get 2f(u)wd(f(u)) = 0 for all $w \in U$. Then f(u)Ud(f(u)) = 0. Thus d(f(u)) = 0, since $f(u) \neq 0$. So from (22), f(u)d(v)f(u) = 0 for all $v \in U$. Hence from (21), f(u)Uf(u)d(r)f(u) = 0for all $r \in R$. As $f(u) \neq 0$, we get f(u)d(r)f(u) = 0 for all $r \in R$. Replace r by ru, then $0 = f(u)\{d(r)u + rd(u)\}f(u) = f(u)r \ d(u) \ f(u)$, as uf(u) = f(u)u. Since R is prime and $f(u) \neq 0$, d(u)f(u) = 0. Also 0 = d[u, f(u)] = [d(u), f(u)] = f(u)d(u). Thus we conclude that if for some $u \in U$, $f(u) \neq 0$ then f(u)d(u) = d(u)f(u) = 0. Hence

$$f(u)d(u)=d(u)f(u)=0 \quad \text{for all } u\in U$$

i.e,

(24)
$$[u, d(u)]d(u) = d(u)[u, d(u)] = 0$$
 for all $u \in U$.

Ram Awtar

Linearizing (24) on u and using a similar approach as in the proof of (2) we get

(25)
$$[u, d(u)]d(v) + [u, d(v)]d(u) + [v, d(u)]d(u) = 0$$
 for all $u, v \in U$.

Suppose now that $v, w \in U$ are such that $vw \in U$. By replacing v by vw in (25), where $w \in U$, and using (25), after expansion we conclude

$$[u, d(u)]d(v)w + [u, d(v)]wd(u) + d(v)[u, w]d(u) + (26) + [u, v]d(w)d(u) + [v, d(u)]wd(u) + [[u, d(u)], v]d(w) = 0$$
for $u, v, w \in U$.

For any $r \in R$, the elements w = vr - rv satisfies the criterion that $vw \in U$, hence by the above equation, we get

$$[u, d(u)]d(v)[v, r] + [u, d(v)][v, r]d(u) + d(v)[u, [v, r]]d(u) + +[u, v]d[v, r]d(u) + [v, d(u)][v, r]d(u) + [[u, d(u), v]d[v, r] = 0$$
for all $r \in R$ and $u, v \in U$.

Let v = u in (27). Then, in view of (1) and (24), we get

(28)
$$2f(u)I(r)d(u) + d(u)I^2(r)d(u) = 0$$
 for all $r \in R, u \in U$.

Write r = rs where $s \in R$ in (28). Then

(29)
$$2f(u)\{I(r)s + rI(s)\}d(u) + d(u)\{I^2(r)s + 2I(r) \ I(s) + rI^2(s)\}d(u) = 0$$
 for all $r, s \in R$ and $u \in U$.

Replace r by u in (29). Then, as I(u) = [u, u] = 0(30) $2f(u)uI(s)d(u) + d(u) uI^2(s)d(u) = 0$ for all $s \in R, u \in U$. As uf(u) = f(u)u, so from (28) and (30), we get

(31)
$$f(u)I^{2}(r) \ d(u) = 0 \quad \text{for all } r \in R, \ u \in U.$$

Similarly as above, from d(u)[u, d(u)] = 0 for all $u \in U$, we can conclude

(32)
$$d(u)I^{2}(r) f(u) = 0 \text{ for all } r \in R, \ u \in U.$$

Let s = u in (29). Then $2f(u)I(r)ud(u) + d(u)I^2(r)ud(u) = 0$. But from (28), $2f(u)I(r)d(u)u + d(u)I^2(r)d(u)u = 0$. Thus, $2f(u)I(r)f(u) + d(u)I^2(r)f(u) = 0$. Hence, in view of (32)

(33)
$$f(u)I(r)f(u) = 0 \text{ for all } r \in R, u \in U.$$

Linearize (27) on v to get

$$\begin{aligned} & [u, d(u)]d(v)[w, r] + [u, d(v)][w, r] \ d(u) + d(v) \ [u, [w, r]] \ d(u) + \\ & + [u, v] \ d[w, r] \ d(u) + [v, d(u)][w, r] \ d(u) + [[u, d(u)], v]d[w, r] + \\ & (34) \\ & + [u, d(u)]d(w)[v, r] + [u, d(w)][v, r]d(u) + d(w)[u, [v, r]]d(u) + \\ & + [u, w]d[v, r]d(u) + [w, d(u)][v, r]d(u) + [[u, d(u)], w]d[v, r] = 0 \\ & \text{for all} \ \ r \in R \ \text{ and } u, v, w \in U. \end{aligned}$$

Write v = u in (34). As f(u)d(u) = 0 and [f(u), u] = 0, we get

$$\begin{split} &2f(u)[w,r]d(u)+d(u)[u,[w,r]]d(u)+f(u)d(w)[u,r]+\\ &+[u,d(w)][u,r]d(u)+d(w)[u,[u,r]]d(u)+[u,w]\ d[u,r]d(u)+\\ &+[w,d(u)][u,r]d(u)+[f(u),w]\ d[u,r]=0. \end{split}$$

Multiplying by f(u) from the right, the last equation becomes

$$f(u)d(w) \ [u,r]f(u) + [f(u),w] \ d[u,r] \ f(u) = 0$$

i.e,

$$f(u)d(w)[u,r]f(u) + [f(u),w][d(u),r]f(u) + [f(u),w][u,d(r)]f(u) = 0$$
 i.e,

$$f(u)d(w)[u,r](u) + f(u)wd(u)rf(u) + [f(u),w][u,d(r)]f(u) = 0.$$

Replace r by d(u) in the last equation. As f(u)f(u) = 0 and d(u)f(u) = 0, we have $0 = [f(u), w][u, d^2(u)]$ f(u) = [f(u), w]d[u, d(u)]f(u) == [f(u), w]d(f(u)) f(u). Now f(u)f(u) = 0 and so f(u)d(f(u))f(u) = 0. Hence f(u)wd(f(u))f(u) = 0 for all $u, w \in U$. Now if for some $u \in U$, $f(u) \neq 0$ then $u \notin Z$. Thus, by Lemma 4 of [3], d(f(u))f(u) = 0. By multiplying f(u) from the right in (34), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(u)d(v) \ [w,r]f(u) + [f(u),v]\{[d(w),r] + [w,d(r)]\} \ f(u) + \\ + f(u)d(w)[v,r]f(u) + [f(u),w]\{[d(v),r] + [v,d(r)]\} \ f(u) = 0 \\ & \text{for all} \ r \in R \text{ and } v, w \in U. \end{aligned}$$

Replace w by [u, d(u)] = f(u). As d(f(u)) f(u) = 0, f(u)d(f(u)) = 0 and f(u)f(u) = 0, we get

$$f(u)d(v)f(u)r f(u) + [f(u), v]\{[d(f(u)), r] + [f(u), d(r)]\}f(u) = 0.$$

Ram Awtar

From (25) we have f(u)d(v)f(u) = 0, since d(u)f(u) = 0 by (24). Hence from the last equation, we get

$$[f(u), v]\{d(f(u))r f(u) + f(u)d(r)f(u)\} = 0$$

i.e.

$$f(u)U\{d(f(u))rf(u) + f(u) \ d(r) \ f(u)\} = 0$$
 for all $r \in R$.

As $f(u) \neq 0$ and so $u \notin Z$, by Lemma 4 of [3], $d(f(u)) rf(u) + f(u)d(r) \times f(u) = 0$ for all $r \in R$. In particular d(f(u))v f(u) + f(u)d(v)f(u) = 0 for all $v \in U$. As we have seen above, f(u)d(v)f(u) = 0, so we conclude that d(f(u)) v f(u) = 0 for all $v \in U$, i.e., d(f(u))Uf(u) = 0. As $f(u) \neq 0$, so by Lemma 4 of [3] d(f(u)) = 0.

Replace v by f(u) in (34). As d(f(u)) = 0 and keeping in view (1) and (24), we get

(35)
$$f(u)d(w)[f(u),r] + [u,d(w)]f(u)rd(u) + \\+d(w)[u,[f(u),r]]d(u) + [u,w][f(u),d(r)]d(u) + \\+[w,d(u)]f(u)rd(u) + [f(u),w][f(u),d(r)] = 0 \\ \text{for all } r \in R, \ w \in U.$$

Multiplying by f(u) from the right in (35), as we have seen above f(u)d(w)f(u) = 0 for all $w \in U$, and we conclude that

$$f(u)wf(u)d(r)f(u) = 0$$
 for all $w \in U, r \in R$.

As $f(u) \neq 0$, again by Lemma 4 of [3], we have

(36)
$$f(u)d(r)f(u) = 0 \text{ for all } r \in R.$$

In view of (36), we conclude from (35) that

$$\begin{array}{l} -f(u)d(w)rf(u) + [u,d(w)]f(u)rd(u) + d(w)f(u)[u,r]d(u) + \\ (37) & +[u,w]f(u)d(r)d(u) + [w,d(u)] \ f(u)r \ d(u) + f(u)w \ f(u) \ d(r) - \\ & -f(u)wd(r)f(u) = 0 \qquad \text{for all } r \in R, \ w \in U. \end{array}$$

Replace r by ru in (37) and use (37). As uf(u) = f(u)u and d(u)f(u) = 0, we get

$$\begin{split} & [u, d(w)]f(u)rf(u) + d(w)f(u)[u, r]f(u) + [u, w]f(u)d(r)f(u) + \\ & +[u, w] \ f(u)r \ d(u)d(u) + [w, d(u)]f(u)r \ f(u) + f(u)w \ f(u)r \ d(u) = 0 \\ & \text{for all } r \in R, \ w \in U. \end{split}$$

178

In view of (33) and (36) the last equation yields

$$(38) [u, d(w)] f(u)r f(u) + [u, w] f(u)r d(u)d(u) + [w, d(u)] f(u)r f(u) + f(u)wf(u)rd(u) = 0 \text{ for all } r \in R, w \in U.$$

In (38), let r = ru, and use (38). As uf(u) = f(u)u, we get

$$[u, w]f(u)rud(u)d(u) + f(u)wf(u)rf(u) - [u, w]f(u)rd(u)d(u)u = 0.$$

But from (24), ud(u)d(u) = d(u)ud(u) = d(u)d(u)u. Hence f(u)wf(u)rf(u) = 0 for all $w \in U$, $r \in R$. Since $f(u) \neq 0$, by Lemma 4 of [3], f(u)rf(u) = 0 for all $r \in R$. Since R is prime, we conclude that f(u) = 0. Thus f(u) = 0 for all $u \in U$. So, by Theorem 7 of [2] either d = 0 or $U \subset Z$.

3. In this section our object is to provide the affirmative answer for a question raised by J. VUKMAN in [6]. J. VUKMAN [6, Theorem 2] proved that if R is a prime ring, char $R \neq 2, 3$, and d is a derivation of R such that $[[d(x), x], x] \in Z$ for all $x \in R$, then either d = 0 or R is commutative. We generalize this result in case charR = 3 and prove the following:

Theorem 2. Let R be a prime ring of characteristic different from 2, and let d be a derivation of R such that $[[d(x), x], x] \in Z$ for all $x \in R$. Then either d = 0 or R is commutative.

PROOF. Case I. If char $R \neq 2, 3$, the result follows from Theorem 2 of [6].

Case II. Suppose now that $\operatorname{char} R = 3$. Replace x by x+y where $y \in R$ in the hypothesis, then by using a similar approach as in the proof of (2) we obtain

(39)
$$[[d(x), x], y] + [[d(x), y], x] + [[d(y), x], x] \in \mathbb{Z}$$
 for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$.

Replace y by yx in (39), as charR = 3, and expand then

(40)
$$\{ [[d(x), x], y] + [[d(x), y], x] + [[d(y), x], x] \} x + \\ + [[y, x], x] d(x) \in Z \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R \}$$

Commuting (40) with x, in view of (39), we get

$$[[y, x], x]d(x)x = x[[y, x], x] d(x) \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

Replace y by d(x), then [[d(x), x], x][d(x), x] = 0, since $[[d(x), x], x] \in Z$. Hence [f(x), x]Rf(x) = 0 for all $x \in R$. If for some $x \in R$, $[f(x), x] \neq 0$ then f(x) = 0 and so [f(x), x] = 0, since R is prime. Thus [f(x), x] = 0 for all $x \in R$. So by Theorem 1 of [6] either d = 0 or R is commutative.

References

- RAM AWTAR, Lie and Jordan structure in prime rings with derivations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 41 (1973), 67–74.
- [2] RAM AWTAR, Lie structure in prime rings with derivations, Publicationes Mathematicae (Debrecen) 31 (1984), 209-215.
- [3] J. BERGEN, I.N. HERSTEIN and J. W. KERR, Lie ideals and derivations of prime rings, J. Algebra 71 (1981), 259–267.
- [4] I.N. HERSTEIN, Rings with involution, University of chicago Press, Chicago, 1976.
- [5] E. POSNER, Derivations in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1093–1100.
- [6] J. VUKMAN, Commuting and centralizing mappings in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 109 (1990), 47–52.

RAM AWTAR DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS BIRLA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY AND SCIENCE PILANI (RAJ.) – 333031, INDIA

180

(Received January 3, 1992; revised June 16, 1992)