Publ. Math. Debrecen **69/1-2** (2006), 219–226

A characterization of the identity function with an equation of Hosszú type

By BUI MINH PHONG (Budapest)

Abstract. The functional equation

$$f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, f(x+y-xy) + f(xy) = f(x) + f(y)$$
 for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$

was first presented by M. Hosszú (1967) and now it is referred to as the Hosszú equation. The aim of this note is to consider an equation of Hosszú type on the domain N. We prove that if a completely multiplicative function f satisfies the equation

$$f(p+q+pq) = f(p) + f(q) + f(pq)$$

for all primes p, q and $f(p_0) \neq 0$ for some prime number p_0 , then f(n) = n for all positive integers n.

1. Introduction

Let \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{R} and \mathcal{P} denote the set of all positive integers, all real numbers and all prime numbers, respectively. Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{M}^*)$ be the set of all complexvalued multiplicative (completely multiplicative) functions.

The functional equation

$$f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}, \ f(x+y-xy) + f(xy) = f(x) + f(y) \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}$$
 (1)

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A25.

Key words and phrases: completely multiplicative function, the Hosszú equation. Research supported by the Applied Number Theory Research Group of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences and by the OTKA grants No. T 046993, T 043657. Bui Minh Phong

was first presented by M. Hosszú (1967) and now it is referred to as the Hosszú equation. It follows from a result of Z. DARÓCZY [3] that if f is a solution of (1), then there exists a function $F : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that f(x) = F(x) + f(0) ($x \in \mathbb{R}$) and

$$F(x+y) = F(x) + F(y),$$
 (2)

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$. The equation (2) is the Cauchy functional equation and it is well-known that continuity along with the functional equation (2) characterizes F in the form F(x) = cx for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where c is an arbitrary real number.

In 1992, C. SPIRO [7] considered the equation (2) in the case $F \in \mathcal{M}$ and restricted the domain from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{N} . She showed that if a function $F \in \mathcal{M}$ satisfying (2) for all primes x and y, then F(n) = n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In [4] the identity function was characterized as the multiplicative function F for which $F(p + n^2) = F(p) + F(n^2)$ holds for all primes p and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For other results in this topic we refer to works [1], [2], [5] and [6].

The aim of this note is to consider an equation of Hosszú type on the domain \mathbb{N} . We prove the following

Theorem 1. If the function $f \in \mathcal{M}^*$ satisfies the equation

$$f(p+q+pq) = f(p) + f(q) + f(pq) \quad \text{for all} \quad p,q \in \mathcal{P}$$
(3)

and

$$f(p_0) \neq 0$$
 for some prime p_0 , (4)

then f(n) = n for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

2. Lemmas

The proof of our theorem is based on Lemmas 1-2.

Lemma 1. If $f \in \mathcal{M}^*$ satisfies (3) and (4), then $f(2) \neq 0$.

PROOF. In order to prove Lemma 1, we proceed by contradiction. Assume that f(2) = 0.

220

A characterization of the identity function with an equation... 221

Let

$$\mathcal{P}_0 := \{ p \in \mathcal{P} \mid f(p) = 0 \} \text{ and } \mathcal{P}_1 := \{ p \in \mathcal{P} \mid f(p) \neq 0 \}.$$

We note from our assumptions that

if
$$p \in \mathcal{P}_1$$
 then $f(p+2) = f(p) + 2.$ (5)

Indeed, using (3) and the fact that $f \in \mathcal{M}^*$, we have

$$f(p)f(p+2) = f(p+p+p^2) = f(p) + f(p) + f(p)^2 = f(p) [f(p)+2],$$

from which (5) follows immediately.

We now prove that

$$\{p \in \mathcal{P} \mid p \le 23\} \subset \mathcal{P}_0. \tag{6}$$

By the assumption f(2) = 0 and by (3), we have

$$f(3p+2) = f(p) \quad \text{for all } p \in \mathcal{P}.$$
(7)

Repeated use of (7) gives f(7) = f(23), $f(5) = f(17) = f(53) = f(161) = f(7)f(23) = f(7)^2$, $f(3) = f(11) = f(35) = f(5)f(7) = f(7)^3$, $f(13) = f(41) = f(125) = f(5)^3$ and $f(19) = f(59) = f(179) = f(539) = f(7)^2 f(11)$. If $f(7) \neq 0$, then $f(3)f(5) \neq 0$, and so one can deduce from (5) that $f(7)^3 = f(3) = f(5) - 2 = f(7)^2 - 2$, $f(7)^2 = f(5) = f(7) - 2$, which is impossible.

We must therefore have that $\{p \in \mathcal{P} \mid p \leq 23\} \subset \mathcal{P}_0$ and the proof of (6) is completed.

From (4) we have $p_0 \in \mathcal{P}_1$, $\mathcal{P}_1 \neq \emptyset$. If $2 \in \mathcal{P}_1$, then we are done. Otherwise, let $T := \min \mathcal{P}_1$, i.e.

T is a prime such that $f(T) \neq 0$ and f(n) = 0 for all 1 < n < T. (8)

We prove the following assertions:

$$f(T) = -2, (9)$$

$$|f(\pi)| \ge 2 \quad \text{for all } \pi \in \mathcal{P}_1, \tag{10}$$

Bui Minh Phong

and

$$3^{n}T + 3^{n} - 1 \in \mathcal{P}_{1}, \ f(3^{n}T + 3^{n} - 1) = -2 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(11)

Since $f(T) \neq 0$, we get from (5) that f(T) = f(T+2) - 2 and (9) is true if f(T+2) = 0. If $f(T+2) \neq 0$, then $T+2 \in \mathcal{P}$, $T \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, therefore $f(T+4) = f(3)f(\frac{T+4}{3}) = 0$. Hence it follows from (5) that f(T+2) = f(T+4) - 2 = -2, f(T) = f(T+2) - 2 = -4. In this case

$$7 \cdot (T+3) + T + 2 \equiv 7 \cdot (2+3) + 2 + 2 = 39 \equiv 0 \pmod{3},$$

consequently we infer from (3) and (6) that

$$f(7 \cdot (T+3) + T+2) = f(3)f\left(\frac{7 \cdot (T+3) + T+2}{3}\right) = 0$$

and

$$f(7 \cdot (T+3) + T+2) = f(7) + f(T+2) + f(7 \cdot (T+2))$$
$$= f(T+2) = -2,$$

which are impossible. Thus f(T+2) = 0, and (9) is proved.

By (9), we have |f(T)| = 2. Assume that $q \in \mathcal{P}_1$, $f(q) \neq 0$ and (10) is true for all $\pi \in \mathcal{P}_1$, $\pi < q$. Since $q \in \mathcal{P}_1$, $f(q) \neq 0$, we get from (5) that f(q) = f(q+2) - 2 = -2 if f(q+2) = 0. Assume that $f(q+2) \neq 0$ and $q+2 \notin \mathcal{P}$. Then

$$q+2=\pi_1^{\alpha_1}\cdots\pi_r^{\alpha_r},$$

where $\pi_1 < \ldots < \pi_r < q$, $\pi_i \in \mathcal{P}_1$ $(i = 1, \ldots, r)$ and $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$ are non-negative integers with $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r \geq 2$. Therefore we have

$$|f(q)| = |f(q+2) - 2| \ge |f(q+2)| - 2 \ge 2^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r} - 2 \ge 2.$$

Assume now that $f(q+2) \neq 0$ and $q+2 \in \mathcal{P}$. Then $3 \mid q+4$ and

$$|f(q)| = |f(q+2) - 2| = |f(q+4) - 4| = \left| f(3)f\left(\frac{q+4}{3}\right) - 4 \right| = 4.$$

The proof of (10) is finished.

222

Now we prove (11). By (7), we have -2 = f(T) = f(3T+2) and so (11) holds for n = 1. Assume that $-2 = f(T) = f(3^nT + 3^n - 1)$ with some $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \ge 1$ and $3^nT + 3^n - 1 \in \mathcal{P}$, i.e. (11) is true for n. Then by (5), (7) and (9) we have

$$-2 = f(T) = f(3^{n}T + 3^{n} - 1) = f(3^{n+1}T + 3^{n+1} - 1).$$

Assume that $Q_{n+1} := 3^{n+1}T + 3^{n+1} - 1 \notin \mathcal{P}$. Then

$$Q_{n+1} = \pi_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots \pi_r^{\alpha_r}, \quad (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_r \in \mathcal{P}_1),$$

where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$ are non-negative integers, $\alpha_1 + \cdots + \alpha_r \geq 2$. This contradicts (10), because

$$2 = |f(Q_{n+1})| = |f(\pi_1^{\alpha_1})| \cdots |f(\pi_r^{\alpha_r})| \ge 2^{\alpha_1 + \dots + \alpha_r} \ge 4.$$

Thus we have $Q_{n+1} = 3^{n+1}T + 3^{n+1} - 1 \in \mathcal{P}$ and so (11) is proved.

Now we complete the proof of Lemma 1. If T-2 has a prime divisor $\pi \neq 3$, then

$$3^{\pi-2}T + 3^{\pi-2} - 1 \equiv 3^{\pi-1} - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}.$$

This contradicts (11), since $3^{\pi-2}T + 3^{\pi-2} - 1 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $3^{\pi-1} - 1 > \pi$.

If $T-2=3^m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $(T+1,11)=(3^m+3,11)=1$. Hence we can choose a prime $p \leq 23$ such that

$$p+T+pT = (T+1)p+T \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$$

Indeed, since $\{T + 1 \equiv 3^m + 3 \pmod{11}\} = \{4, 6, 1, 8, 7\}$, we can choose p as p = 2, 23, 11, 17, 7, respectively. Thus we infer from (6) that

$$0 = f(11)f\left(\frac{p+T+pT}{11}\right)$$

= $f(p+T+pT) = f(p) + f(T) + f(p)f(T) = f(T) = -2,$

which is a contradiction.

Hence we must have $f(2) \neq 0$, and Lemma 1 is proved.

Lemma 2. If $f \in M^*$ satisfies (3) and (4), then f(n) = n for all $n \in \{1, 2, 3, ..., 31\}$.

Bui Minh Phong

PROOF. By using Lemma 1 and (5), we have

 $f(2)^2 = f(2) + 2.$

Thus, we have either f(2) = -1 or f(2) = 2. If f(2) = -1, then repeated use of (3), (5) and (6) gives f(11) = f(2) + f(3) + f(2)f(3) = -1, f(53) = f(2) + f(17) + f(2)f(17) = -1 and f(5)f(11) = f(55) = f(53) + 2 = 1. Therefore we have f(5) = -1, f(7) = f(5) + 2 = 1 and f(9) = f(7) + 2 = 3. The last relation shows that $f(3) \neq 0$ and so f(3) = f(5) - 2 = -3, which contradicts to $f(9) = f(3)^2 = 3$. We must therefore have that f(2) = 2.

We note that f(2) = 2 implies $f(3)f(5) \neq 0$. Indeed, if f(3) = 0, then we infer from (3) and (5) that f(11) = f(2) + f(3) + f(2)f(3) = 2, f(13) = f(11) + 2 = 4 and f(15) = f(13) + 2 = 6. This is impossible, because f(15) = f(3)f(5) = 0. Now assume that $f(3) \neq 0$ and f(5) = 0. Then we get from (3) and (5) that f(3) = -2, f(11) = f(2) + f(3) + f(2)f(3) = -4. Hence 0 = f(35) = f(2) + f(11) + f(2)f(11) = -10, which is a contradiction.

Since $f(3) \neq 0$ and $f(5) \neq 0$, we have f(5) = f(3) + 2, f(7) = f(5) + 2 = f(3) + 4, f(11) = f(2) + f(3) + f(2)f(3) = 3f(3) + 2 and f(35) = f(2) + f(11) + f(2)f(11) = 3f(11) + 2 = 9f(3) + 8. These imply that

$$9f(3) + 8 = (f(3) + 2)(f(3) + 4) = f(3)^2 + 6f(3) + 8.$$

Therefore we get by using the fact $f(3) \neq 0$ that f(3) = 3, consequently f(5) = 5, f(7) = f(5) + 2 = 7, f(11) = 3f(3) + 2 = 11, and f(13) = f(11) + 2 = 13, $f(17) = f(2 + 5 + 2 \cdot 5) = 17$, f(19) = f(17) + 2 = 19 and $f(23) = f(2 + 7 + 2 \cdot 7) = 23$.

Finally, we infer from (3) and (5) that

 $7 \cdot 17 = f(7)f(17) = f(119) = f(3 + 29 + 3 \cdot 29) = 4f(29) + 3,$

consequently f(29) = 29 and f(31) = f(29) + 2 = 31. Lemma 2 is proved.

3. The proof of the theorem

Assume that f(n) = n for all positive integers n < N. By Lemma 2 we may suppose that $N \ge 37$. We shall prove that f(N) = N, which proves our theorem.

224

If $N \notin \mathcal{P}$, then it is obvious from our assumption that f(N) = N. Assume that $N \in \mathcal{P}$.

Case I: $f(N) \neq 0$. Since $N \in \mathcal{P}$ and $f(N) \neq 0$, we have by (5) that f(N+2) = f(N) + 2, consequently f(N) = N if $N + 2 \notin \mathcal{P}$.

Assume that $N + 2 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $f(N + 2) \neq 0$. Then $N \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$, therefore from (5) we get f(N + 2) = f(N + 4) - 2 = N + 4 - 2 = N + 2, which implies f(N) = N.

Assume now that $N + 2 \in \mathcal{P}$ and f(N + 2) = 0. In this case we have $N \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ and f(N) = -2. Hence

$$f[(N+3)p + N + 2] = f[p + (N+2) + p(N+2)]) = f(p)$$

holds for all primes p. This with p = 7 gives

$$f\left(\frac{8N+23}{3}\right) = \frac{7}{3}.$$

Therefore, by using the facts (8N + 23, 2) = 1, $N \ge 37$, we have $Q := \frac{8N+23}{3} \in \mathcal{P}$. This implies that

$$f(Q+2) = f(Q) + 2 = \frac{7}{3} + 2 = \frac{13}{3}$$

consequently $Q + 2 \in \mathcal{P}$ and $Q \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$. This is impossible, because

$$f(Q+4) = 3f\left(\frac{Q+4}{3}\right) = 3f\left(\frac{8N+35}{9}\right) = \frac{8N+35}{3}$$

and

$$f(Q+4) = f(Q+2) + 2 = \frac{19}{3}.$$

Case II: f(N) = 0. In this case we have

$$f[(N+1)p + N] = f(p + N + pN) = f(p)$$

holds for all primes p. Hence

$$f(3N+2) = f(2) = 2,$$

which shows that $3N + 2 \in \mathcal{P}$ and f(3N + 4) = f(3N + 2) + 2 = 4. Hence we infer that $3N + 4 \in \mathcal{P}$ and

$$f(3N+6) = f((3N+4)+2) = 6$$
 and

B. M. Phong : A characterization of the identity function...

$$f(3N+6) = f(3)f(N+2) = 3f(N+2)$$

Thus we have f(N+2) = 2, $N+2 \in \mathcal{P}$ and f(N+4) = f(N+2) + 2 = 4. This is impossible, because $N, N+2 \in \mathcal{P}$ imply that $3 \mid N+4$ and

$$f(N+4) = f(3)f\left(\frac{N+4}{3}\right) = 3 \cdot \left(\frac{N+4}{3}\right) = N+4.$$

Hence the theorem is proved.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author is grateful to the referee for the helpful advice and criticism on this paper.

References

- [1] P. V. CHUNG, Multiplicative functions satisfying the equation $f(m^2 + n^2) = f(m^2) + f(n^2)$, Math. Slovaca 46, no. 2–3 (1996), 165–171.
- [2] P. V. CHUNG and B. M. PHONG, Additive uniqueness sets for multiplicative functions, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* 55 (1999), 237–243.
- [3] Z. DARÓCZY, On the general solution of the function f(x + y xy) + f(xy) = f(x) + f(y), Aequationes Math. 6 (1971), 130–132.
- [4] J.-M. DE KONINCK, I. KÁTAI and B. M. PHONG, A new characteristic of the identity function, *Journal of Number Theory* 63 (1997), 325–338.
- [5] B. M. PHONG, A characterization of the identity function, Acta Acad. Paedag. Agriensis (Eger), Sec. Matematicae (1997), 1–9.
- [6] B. M. PHONG, On sets characterizing the identity function, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest. Eötvös, Sect. Comp. 24 (2004), 295–306.
- [7] C. SPIRO, Additive uniqueness set for arithmetic functions, J. Number Theory 42 (1992), 232–246.

BUI MINH PHONG DEPARTMENT OF COMPUTER ALGEBRA EÖTVÖS LORÁND UNIVERSITY PÁZMÁNY PÉTER SÉTÁNY I/C H-1117 BUDAPEST HUNGARY

E-mail: bui@compalg.inf.elte.hu

(Received January 19, 2005; revised June 19, 2005)