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#### Abstract

Let $F$ be a distribution in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ and let $f$ be a differentiable function such that $f^{(p+1)}$ is a locally summable function with $f^{\prime}(x)>0$, (or $<0$ ), for all $x$ in the interval $(a, b)$. It is proved that if $F$ is the $p$-th derivative of a continuous function $F^{(-p)}$ on the interval $(f(a),(f(b))$, (or $(f(b), f(a)))$, then $$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{n}(f(x)) \varphi(x) d x=\langle G, \varphi\rangle
$$ for all $\varphi$ in $\mathcal{D}$ with support contained in the interval $(a, b)$, where $F_{n}(x)=\left(F * \delta_{n}\right)(x)$. This defines the distribution $F(f)=G$ on the interval $(a, b)$. Some examples are given.


In the following, we let $N$ be the neutrix, see van der Corput [1], having domain $N^{\prime}=\{1,2, \ldots, n, \ldots\}$ and range the real numbers, with negligible functions finite linear sums of the functions

$$
n^{\lambda} \ln ^{r-1} n, \quad \ln ^{r} n: \quad \lambda>0, r=1,2, \ldots
$$

and all functions which converge to zero in the normal sense as $n$ tends to infinity.

We now let $\varrho(x)$ be any infinitely differentiable function having the following properties:
(i) $\varrho(x)=0$ for $|x| \geq 1$,
(ii) $\varrho(x) \geq 0$,
(iii) $\varrho(x)=\varrho(-x)$,
(iv) $\int_{-1}^{1} \varrho(x) d x=1$.

Putting $\delta_{n}(x)=n \varrho(n x)$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$, it follows that $\left\{\delta_{n}(x)\right\}$ is a regular sequence of infinitely differentiable functions converging to the Dirac deltafunction $\delta(x)$.

[^0]Now let $\mathcal{D}$ be the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support and let $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ be the space of distributions defined on $\mathcal{D}$. Then if $F$ is an arbitrary distribution in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$, we define

$$
F_{n}(x)=\left(F * \delta_{n}\right)(x)=\left\langle F(t), \delta_{n}(x-t)\right\rangle
$$

for $n=1,2, \ldots$. It follows that $\left\{F_{n}(x)\right\}$ is a regular sequence of infinitely differentiable functions converging to the distribution $F(x)$.

The following definition for the change of variable in distributions was given in [2].

Definition 1. Let $F$ be a distribution in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ and let $f$ be a locally summable function. We say that distribution $F(f(x))$ exists and is equal to the distribution $G$ on the interval $(a, b)$ if

$$
N-\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{n}(f(x)) \varphi(x) d x=\langle G, \varphi\rangle
$$

for all test functions $\varphi$ in $\mathcal{D}$ with support contained in the interval $(a, b)$, where

$$
F_{n}(x)=\left(F * \delta_{n}\right)(x)
$$

We now prove an existence theorem for a distribution $F(f(x))$ which in fact does not need a neutrix limit.

Theorem 1. Let $F$ be a distribution in $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}$ and let $f$ be a differentiable function such that $f^{(p+1)}$ is a locally summable function with $f^{\prime}(x)>0$, (or $<0$ ), for all $x$ in the interval $(a, b)$. If $F$ is the $p$-th derivative of a continuous function $F^{(-p)}$ on the interval $(f(a), f(b))$, (or $(f(b), f(a))$ ), then the distribution $F(f(x))$ exists on the interval $(a, b)$ and

$$
\begin{gather*}
\langle F(f(x)), \varphi(x)\rangle=(-1)^{p} \operatorname{sgn} \cdot g^{\prime} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F^{(-p)}(x) \frac{d^{p}}{d x^{p}}\left[g^{\prime}(x) \varphi(g(x))\right] d x  \tag{1}\\
\quad=(-1)^{p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F^{(-p)}(f(x))\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\left[\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\right]^{p}\left[\frac{\varphi(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}\right] d x \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

for all $\varphi$ in $\mathcal{D}$ with support contained in the interval $(a, b)$, where $g$ is the inverse of $f$ on the interval $(a, b)$ and

$$
\operatorname{sgn} . g^{\prime}= \begin{cases}1, & g^{\prime}(x)>0 \\ -1, & g^{\prime}(x)<0\end{cases}
$$

Alternatively, if $F^{(-p)}$ is only a locally summable function but either $f^{(p+1)}$ or $F^{(-p)}$ is a bounded, locally summable function on every bounded subset of $(a, b)$ and $(f(a), f(b))$, (or $f(b), f(a))$ ), respectively, then $F(f(x))$ again exists and equations (1) and (2) are satisfied.

In particular, if $f$ is infinitely differentiable, then $F(f(x))$ is a defined for every distribution $F$.

Proof. Suppose first of all that $f^{(p+1)}$ is a locally summable function and $F^{(-p)}$ is a continuous function. Letting $\varphi$ be an arbitrary function in $\mathcal{D}$ with support contained in the interval $(a, b)$ and making the substitution $t=f(x)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle F_{n}(f(x)), \varphi(x)\right\rangle & =\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{n}(f(x)) \varphi(x) d x \\
& =\operatorname{sgn} \cdot g^{\prime} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{n}(t) g^{\prime}(t) \varphi(g(t)) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Integrating by parts $p$ times we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle F_{n}(f(x)), \varphi(x)\right\rangle & =(-1)^{p} \operatorname{sgn} . g^{\prime} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{n}^{(-p)}(t) \frac{d^{p}}{d t^{p}}\left[g^{\prime}(t) \varphi(g(t))\right] d t \\
& =(-1)^{p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F_{n}^{(-p)}(f(x))\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\left[\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\right]^{p}\left[\frac{\varphi(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}\right] d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now $F_{n}^{(-p)}(t)$ and $F_{n}^{(-p)}(f(x))$ are continuous functions converging to the continuous functions $F^{(-p)}(t)$ and $F^{(-p)}(f(x))$ respectively as $n$ tends to infinity and

$$
\frac{d^{p}}{d t^{p}}\left[g^{\prime}(t) \varphi(g(t))\right], \quad\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\left[\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\right]^{p}\left[\frac{\varphi(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}\right]
$$

are locally summable functions. It follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { (3) } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\langle F_{n}(f(x)), \varphi(x)\right\rangle=(-1)^{p} \operatorname{sgn} \cdot g^{\prime} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F^{(-p)}(t) \frac{d^{p}}{d t^{p}}\left[g^{\prime}(t) \varphi(g(t))\right] d t \\
& \text { (4) } \quad=(-1)^{p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F^{(-p)}(f(x))\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\left[\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\right]^{p}\left[\frac{\varphi(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}\right] d x \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

and equations (1) and (2) follow.
Now suppose that either $f^{(p+1)}$ or $F^{(-p)}$ are bounded functions on bounded subsets. Then

$$
F^{(-p)}(t) \frac{d^{p}}{d t^{p}}\left[g^{\prime}(t) \varphi(g(t))\right], \quad F^{(-p)}(f(x))\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|\left[\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\right]^{p}\left[\frac{\varphi(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}\right]
$$

will be locally summable functions, ensuring that equations (3) and (4) still hold.

In the corollary the product of $\delta^{(r)}$ and an $r$ times continuously differentiable function $f$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x) \delta^{(r)}(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{r}(-1)^{(r+i)}\binom{r}{i} f^{(r-i)}(0) \delta^{(i)}(x) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary. Let $f$ be a continuously differentiable function having a single simple root at the point $x=\alpha$. Suppose that $f^{(p+2)}$ is a locally summable function on a neighbourhood of the point $x=\alpha$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{(r)}(f(x))=\frac{1}{\left|f^{\prime}(\alpha)\right|}\left[\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\right]^{r} \delta(x-\alpha) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the real line for $r=0,1,2, \ldots, p$.
Proof. We will suppose that $\alpha=0$ and the general result will then follow by translation. Note that $\delta^{(p)}(f(x))$ is then equal to 0 on any interval not containing the origin. We therefore only have to prove the result on some neighbourhood of the origin. Since $x=0$ is a simple root of $f$ there exists a neighbourhood $(a, b)$ containing the origin on which $f^{\prime}(x)>0$, (or $<0$ ). Now $\delta^{(r)}$ is the $(r+1)$-th derivative of the bounded, locally summable function $H(x)$, where $H$ denotes Heaviside's function, and so the conditions of the theorem are satisfied for the distribution $\delta^{(r)}(f(x))$ on the interval $(a, b)$ for $r=0,1,2, \ldots, p$. The existence of $\delta^{(r)}(f(x))$ follows by the theorem.

Now let $\varphi$ is an arbitrary function in $\mathcal{D}$ with support contained in the interval $(a, b)$. Then since $\delta^{(r)}(x)$ is the $(r+2)$-th derivative of the continuous function $x_{+}$and supposing that $f^{\prime}(x)>0$, we have from equation (2)

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\delta^{(r)}(f(x)), \varphi(x)\right\rangle & =(-1)^{r+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} f^{\prime}(x)\left[\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\right]^{r+1}\left[\frac{\varphi(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}\right] d x \\
& =-(-1)^{r+1} \int_{0}^{\infty} d\left\{\left[\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\right]^{r}\left[\frac{\varphi(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}\right]\right\}  \tag{7}\\
& \left.=\frac{(-1)^{r}}{f^{\prime}(0)} \frac{d}{d x}\left\{\left[\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\right]^{r-1}\left[\frac{\varphi(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}\right]\right\}\right]_{x=0}
\end{align*}
$$

Further

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\langle\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(0)}\left[\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\right]^{r} \delta(x), \varphi(x)\right\rangle= \\
=-\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(0)}\left\langle\left[\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\right]^{r-1} \delta(x), \frac{d}{d x} \frac{\varphi(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}\right\rangle \tag{8}
\end{gather*}
$$

$$
=\frac{(-1)^{r}}{f^{\prime}(0)}\left\langle\delta(x), \frac{d}{d x}\left\{\left[\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\right]^{r-1}\left[\frac{\varphi(x)}{f^{\prime}(x)}\right]\right\}\right\rangle .
$$

Comparing equations (7) and (8) we see that equation (6) is proved for the case $f^{\prime}(x)>0$ and $r=0,1,2, \ldots, p$. The case $f^{\prime}(x)<0$ follows similarly.

Note that this corollary can be extended to a function $f$ having any number of simple roots at the points $x=\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots$. If then $f^{\left(p_{i}+2\right)}$ is a locally summable function on a neighbourhood of the point $x=\alpha_{i}$, we have

$$
\delta^{(r)}(f(x))=\sum_{i} \frac{1}{\left|f^{\prime}\left(\alpha_{i}\right)\right|}\left[\frac{1}{f^{\prime}(x)} \frac{d}{d x}\right]^{r} \delta\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)
$$

on the real line for $r=1,2, \ldots, p$, where $p=\min \left\{p_{i}: i=1,2, \ldots\right\}$. This is in agreement with Gel'fand and Shilov's definition of $\delta^{(r)}(f(x))$, see [3], but their definition was only given for infinitely differentiable $f$.

Example 1.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x+x_{+}^{p}\right)^{-1}=x^{-1}-\frac{x^{p-2} H(x)}{1+x^{p-1}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the real line for $p=2,3, \ldots$.
Proof. The distribution $x^{-1}$ is the first derivative of the locally summable function $\ln |x|$ and $\left(x+x_{+}^{p}\right)^{\prime \prime}=p(p-1) x_{+}^{p-2}$ is bounded on every bounded set. Using equation (2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\left(x+x_{+}^{p}\right)^{-1}, \varphi(x)\right\rangle=-\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \ln \left|x+x_{+}^{p}\right|\left[\frac{\varphi(x)}{1+p x_{+}^{p-1}}\right]^{\prime} d x \\
& =-\int_{-\infty}^{0} \ln |x| \varphi^{\prime}(x) d x-\int_{0}^{\infty} \ln \left(x+x^{p}\right)\left[\frac{\varphi(x)}{1+p x^{p-1}}\right]^{\prime} d x \\
& =-\left\langle x_{-}^{-1}, \varphi(x)\right\rangle-\int_{0}^{1} \ln \left(x+x^{p}\right)\left[\frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(0)}{1+p x^{p-1}}\right]^{\prime} d x+ \\
& \quad-\int_{1}^{\infty} \ln \left(x+x^{p-1}\right)\left[\frac{\varphi(x)}{1+p x^{p-1}}\right]^{\prime} d x+ \\
& \quad-\varphi(0) \int_{0}^{1} \ln \left(x+x^{p}\right)\left[\left(1+p x^{p-1}\right)^{-1}\right]^{\prime} d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \ln \left(x+x^{p}\right)\left[\frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(0)}{1+p x^{p-1}}\right]^{\prime} d x=\ln 2 \frac{\varphi(1)-\varphi(0)}{1+p}-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(0)}{x+x^{p}} d x
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
=\ln 2 \frac{\varphi(1)-\varphi(0)}{1+p}-\int_{0}^{1} \frac{\varphi(x)-\varphi(0)}{x} d x+\int_{0}^{1} \frac{x^{p-2} \varphi(x)}{1+x^{p-1}} d x-\ln 2 \frac{\varphi(0)}{p-1}, \\
\int_{1}^{\infty} \ln \left(x+x^{p}\right)\left[\frac{\varphi(x)}{1+p x^{p-1}}\right]^{\prime} d x=-\ln 2 \frac{\varphi(1)}{1+p}-\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x+x^{p}} d x \\
=\ln 2 \frac{\varphi(1)}{1+p}-\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi(x)}{x} d x+\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{x^{p-2} \varphi(x)}{1+x^{p-1}} d x \\
\varphi(0) \int_{0}^{1} \ln \left(x+x^{p}\right)\left[\left(1+p x^{p-1}\right)^{-1}\right]^{\prime} d x= \\
=\varphi(0) \int_{0}^{1} \ln \left(x+x^{p}\right) d\left[\left(1+p x^{p-1}\right)^{-1}-1\right] \\
=-\ln 2 \frac{p \varphi(0)}{1+p}+\varphi(0) \int_{0}^{1} \frac{p x^{p-2}}{1+x^{p-1}} d x=-\ln 2 \frac{p \varphi(0)}{1+p}+\ln 2 \frac{p \varphi(0)}{p-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\left(x+x_{+}^{p}\right)^{-1}, \varphi(x)\right\rangle=-\left\langle x_{-}^{-1}, \varphi(x)\right\rangle+\int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-1}[\varphi(x)-\varphi(0) H(1-x)] d x+ \\
& \quad-\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{p-2} \varphi(x)}{1+x^{p-1}} d x=\left\langle x^{-1}, \varphi(x)\right\rangle-\left\langle\frac{x^{p-2} H(x)}{1+x^{p-1}}, \varphi(x)\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and equation (9) follows.
Example 2.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(x+x_{+}^{p}\right)=\delta(x) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the real line for $p=3,4, \ldots$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\prime}\left(x+x_{+}^{p}\right)=\delta^{\prime}(x)-\delta(x) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the real line for $p=2,3, \ldots$.
Proof. The distribution $\delta(x)$ is the first and the distribution $\delta^{\prime}(x)$ is the second derivative of the continuous function $x_{+}$. Using equations (5) and (6), equation (10) follows immediately for $p=2,3, \ldots$.

Using equations (5) and (6) again have

$$
\delta^{\prime}\left(x+x_{+}^{p}\right)=\frac{1}{1+p x_{+}^{p-1}} \delta(x)=\delta^{\prime}(x)-\delta(x)
$$

giving equation (11) for $p=3,4, \ldots$.

Example 3.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(x+x^{2}+x_{+}^{p}\right)=\delta(x+1)+\delta(x) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the real line for $p=2,3, \ldots$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\prime}\left(x+x^{2}+x_{+}^{p}\right)=\delta^{\prime}(x+1)+2 \delta(x+1)+\delta^{\prime}(x)+2 \delta(x) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the real line for $p=3,4, \ldots$.
Proof. The function $x+x^{2}+x_{+}^{p}$ has zeros at the points $x=-1,0$. Using equations (5) and (6) on neighbourhoods of these points, equation (12) follows immediately for $p=2,3, \ldots$.

Using equations (5) and (6) again neighbourhoods of these points we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta^{\prime}\left(x+x^{2}+x_{+}^{p}\right) & =\frac{1}{1+2 x+p x_{+}^{p-1}} \delta^{\prime}(x+1)+\frac{1}{1+2 x+p x_{+}^{p-1}} \delta^{\prime}(x) \\
& =\delta^{\prime}(x+1)+2 \delta(x+1)+\delta^{\prime}(x)+2 \delta(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

giving equation (13) for $p=3,4, \ldots$.
Example 4.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x+x_{+}^{p}+i 0\right)^{-1}=(x+i 0)^{-1}-\frac{x^{p-2} H(x)}{1+x^{p-1}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the real line for $p=2,3, \ldots$.
Proof. The distribution $(x+i 0)^{-1}$ is defined by

$$
(x+i 0)^{-1}=x^{-1}-i \pi \delta(x),
$$

see Gel'fand and Shilov [3]. Using equations (9) and (10) it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(x+x_{+}^{p}+i 0\right)^{-1}=\left(x+x_{+}^{p}\right)^{-1}-i \pi \delta\left(x+x_{+}^{p}\right) \\
=x^{-1}-\frac{x^{p-2} H(x)}{1+x^{p-1}}-i \pi \delta(x)=(x+i 0)^{-1}-\frac{x^{p-2} H(x)}{1+x^{p-1}},
\end{gathered}
$$

giving equation (14) for $p=2,3, \ldots$.
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