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#### Abstract

It is known (cf. [10]) that any topology on a finite set with a prime number of open sets is connected. In this note, a generalization of this result is done by using a new criterion of irreducibility for polynomials with non-negative integer coefficients (cf. [5], [6], [7]), which we apply to polynomials naturally associated to topologies on finite sets.


## 1. Preliminaries

Throughout this note topologies on finite sets are considered, which will be called finite topologies. We will also talk about connected topologies instead of connected topological spaces. If $\langle A, \tau\rangle,\langle B, \sigma\rangle$ are two topological spaces with $A \cap B=\emptyset$, we call sum space the space $\langle A \cup B, \rho\rangle$, where $\rho=\{U \cup V: U \in \tau, V \in \sigma\}$ (cf. [3], [8]). We will say that $\rho$ is the sum topology of $\tau$ and $\sigma$, and we will denote $\rho=\tau \oplus \sigma$. For instance, if $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ and $\tau_{d}(n)$ is the discrete topology on $A$, we have $\tau_{d}(n)=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \tau_{d}(1)$. Moreover, if $B \subseteq A$ we symbolize with $\left.\tau\right|_{B}$ the topology induced by $\tau$ on $B$, i.e. $\left.\tau\right|_{B}=\{U \cap B: U \in \tau\}$.

The following notation will be useful: if $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ and $U \subseteq A$, say $U=\left\{a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right\}$, the symbol $X^{U}$ indicates the monomial $X_{i_{1}} X_{i_{2}} \ldots X_{i_{k}}$. Particularly, we agree that $X^{\emptyset}=1$.
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Given the space $\langle A, \tau\rangle$, with $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$, the polynomial $F_{\tau} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\tau}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)=\sum_{U \in \tau} X^{U} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, if $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}$ and $\tau$ has the Hasse diagram
then

$$
F_{\tau}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, X_{3}\right)=1+X_{1}+X_{2}+X_{1} X_{2}+X_{1} X_{2} X_{3}
$$

If $B \subseteq A$, say $B=\left\{a_{i_{1}}, a_{i_{2}}, \ldots, a_{i_{k}}\right\}$, the result of specializing in 0 the indeterminates $X_{i_{1}}, X_{i_{2}}, \ldots, X_{i_{k}}$ in $F_{\tau}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$ is the polynomial associated to $\left.\tau\right|_{\tilde{B}}$, where $\tilde{B}$ designs the complement to $B$ in $A$.

Given $F \in \mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ and $G \in \mathbb{Z}\left[Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{m}\right]$, we define the tensor product $F \otimes G \in \mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}, Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{m}\right]$ as usual:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (F \otimes G)\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}, Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{m}\right)= \\
& \quad=F\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right) G\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{m}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The following result, whose proof is straightforward, states the fundamental property of the assignation $\tau \mapsto F_{\tau}$.

Proposition 1.1. If $\tau$ is a topology on $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ and $\sigma$ is another topology on $Y=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{m}\right\}$, then

$$
F_{\tau \oplus \sigma}=F_{\tau} \otimes F_{\sigma}
$$

Further we shall consider polynomials with integral coefficients. Let be $F \in \mathbb{Z}[X], F \neq 0$. We will call $F$ non-negative if its coefficients are nonnegative. In what follows we assume $F$ non-negative. Now, we shall treat the divisibility and irreducibility relations between non-negative polynomials through the notions of $(+)$-divisibility and $(+)$-irreducibility, which can be defined in the following terms (cf. [5], [6], [7]):

Definition 1.2. If $G$ is non-negative, we will say that $G$ is a (+)-divisor of $F\left(\left.G\right|_{(+)} F\right)$, if $G$ divides $F(G \mid F)$ and $G^{*}=F / G$ is non-negative. It will be said that $F$ is $(+)$-irreducible if $F \neq 1$ and

$$
\left.G\right|_{(+)} F \Longrightarrow G=1 \text { or } G=F
$$

The following result has an immediate proof.
Proposition 1.3. If $F(p)$ is prime for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$, then $F$ is (+)irreducible.

In order to lay down another useful criterion of ( + )-irreducibility, we introduce certain restrictions of the divisibility relation to the positive integers. To this purpose, let $a, c$ and $p$ be any positive integers with $p \geq 2$.

By the $p$-expansion of $c$ we mean the representation of $c$ in base $p$, let us say

$$
c=\left(c_{m} c_{m-1} \ldots c_{0}\right)_{p}=c_{m} p^{m}+c_{m-1} p^{m-1}+\cdots+c_{0}
$$

where $0 \leq c_{k}<p ; k=0,1, \ldots, m$, and $c_{m} \neq 0$. The non-negative polynomial

$$
c_{\langle p\rangle}=c_{m} X^{m}+c_{m-1} X^{m-1}+\cdots+c_{0}
$$

is called $p$-polynomial of $c$.
With this terminology at hands we can now state the following definition:

Definition 1.4. We will say that $c$ is a $p$-divisor of $a\left(\left.c\right|_{p} a\right)$ if $c$ divides $a(c \mid a)$ and, for each $k=0,1, \ldots$, the integers $a, c y c^{*}=a / c$ verify

$$
a_{k}=c_{k} c_{0}^{*}+c_{k-1} c_{1}^{*}+\cdots+c_{0} c_{k}^{*}
$$

where $a_{k}, c_{k} y c_{k}^{*} ; k=0,1, \ldots$, denote the digits of the $p$-expansions of $a, c$ and $c^{*}$ respectively. It will be said that $a$ is $p$-irreducible if $a \neq 1$ and

$$
\left.c\right|_{p} a \Longrightarrow c=1 \text { or } c=a
$$

Analogously to Proposition 1.3, we deduce the following result:
Proposition 1.5. If $a$ is prime, then $a$ is p-irreducible.
It should be observed that a divisor $c$ of $a$ is a $p$-divisor of $a$ if and only if the product of the $p$-expansions of $c$ and $c^{*}$ can be made, by operating on the base $p$, without carries.

Examples 1.6. The 4-divisors of 30 are 1, 5, 6, 30, because in base 4, we have $5=(11)_{4}, 6=(21)_{4}, 30=(132)_{4}$ and $(132)_{4}=(11)_{4}(21)_{4}$. Note that in the products between 2 and $15\left((2)_{4}(33)_{4}\right), 3$ and $10\left((3)_{4}(22)_{4}\right)$ there are carries. Besides, 6 is 4 -irreducible since in the product $(2)_{4}(3)_{4}$ there is a carry.

A criterion to distinguish among the divisors of $a$ those which are $p$-divisors, can be given by considering the sum of the digits of the $p$ expansions. The integer

$$
|c|_{p}=c_{m}+c_{m-1}+\cdots+c_{0}
$$

is called $p$-height of $c=\left(c_{m} c_{m-1} \cdots c_{0}\right)_{p}$. A theorem of C. de Polignac (cf. [4], pg. 269) establishes that the product (operating in base $p$ ) of the $p$ expansions of $a$ and $c$ is made without carries if and only if $|a c|_{p}=|a|_{p}|c|_{p}$. This result can be restated as follows:

Theorem 1.7. Suppose that $c \mid a$ and let $c^{*}=a / c$. Then

$$
\left.c\right|_{p} a \Longleftrightarrow|a|_{p}=|c|_{p}\left|c^{*}\right|_{p}
$$

The maximum of the coefficients of $F$ is called radius of $F$ and is denoted by $r(F)$. Symbolically, we have $r(F)=\max _{0 \leq i \leq n} a_{i}$ whenever $F=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} X^{i}$.

The (+)-irreducibility criterion above mentioned can now be established in the following terms (cf. [5], [6], [7]):

Theorem 1.8. Let $F$ be with integral coefficients, and let $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p>r(F)$. Then

$$
F \text { is }(+) \text {-irreducible } \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad F(p) \text { is } p \text {-irreducible. }
$$

## 2. Results

In the sequel, $\tau$ denotes an arbitrary topology on $A=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$. Let $F_{\tau} \in \mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ be the polynomial (1) associated to $\tau$. Then, the following theorem holds:

Theorem 2.1. $\tau$ is connected if and only if $F_{\tau}$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{Z}\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$.

Proof. $\Longleftarrow)$ If $\tau$ is not connected and $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{r} \quad(r \geq 2)$ are the connected components of $\langle A, \tau\rangle$, then we have

$$
\tau=\left.\left.\left.\tau\right|_{A_{1}} \oplus \tau\right|_{A_{2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \tau\right|_{A_{r}}
$$

and according to Proposition 1.1

$$
F_{\tau}=F_{\left.\tau\right|_{A_{1}}} \otimes F_{\left.\tau\right|_{A_{2}}} \otimes \cdots \otimes F_{\left.\tau\right|_{A_{r}}}
$$

from where we obtain that $F_{\tau}$ is reducible.
$\Longrightarrow)$ Suppose that $F_{\tau}=G H$, with $G$ and $H$ polynomials of positive degree. Because $F$ is linear in each of its indeterminates, each of
these appears in $G$ or in $H$ and then $F_{\tau}=G \otimes H$. By specializing in 0 the indeterminates of $H$, which up to a permutation can be supposed $X_{k+1}, X_{k+2}, \ldots, X_{n}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\tau}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}, 0,0, \ldots, 0\right)=G\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{k}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the left hand side of (2) is the polynomial associated to $\left.\tau\right|_{\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=F_{\left.\tau\right|_{\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar reasoning, this time for $H$, leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=F_{\left.\tau\right|_{\left\{a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right\}}} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3) and (4) we obtain

$$
\tau=\left.\left.\tau\right|_{\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{k}\right\}} \oplus \tau\right|_{\left\{a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right\}},
$$

that is, $\tau$ is disconnected.
Note 2.2. Theorem 2.1 remains valid if $F_{\tau}$ is considered as a polynomial with coefficients on $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and the irreducibility is understood in $\mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$.

Let $\hat{F}_{\tau}(X)=F_{\tau}(X, X, \ldots, X)$ be the non-negative polynomial obtained by replacing by $X$ the indeterminates of (1). We can now, by using the notion of $(+)$-irreducibility, prove a sufficient condition of connectedness for a finite topology $\tau$.

Theorem 2.3. If $\hat{F}_{\tau}$ is (+)-irreducible, then $\tau$ is connected.
Proof. If $\tau$ is disconnected, say $\tau=\tau_{1} \oplus \tau_{2}$, then Proposition 1.1 implies

$$
F_{\tau}=F_{\tau_{1}} \otimes F_{\tau_{2}}
$$

from where

$$
\hat{F}_{\tau}=\hat{F}_{\tau_{1}} \hat{F}_{\tau_{2}}
$$

with $\hat{F}_{\tau_{1}}$ and $\hat{F}_{\tau_{2}}$ of positive degree, which completes the proof.
The converse of Theorem 2.3 is false. In fact, the topology $\tau$ on $A=$ $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right\}$, which has the following Hasse diagram is obviously connected and $\hat{F}_{\tau}(X)=1+X+X^{2}+X^{3}=(1+X)\left(1+X^{2}\right)$ is reducible.

Remark 2.4. In order to establish a converse of Theorem 2.3, it would be useful to characterize the polynomials $\hat{F}_{\tau}=\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_{i} X^{i}$ with $\tau$ a topology on a set of cardinal $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Excepting immediate properties (for instance, $\left.0 \leq a_{i} \leq\binom{ n}{i} ; i=0,1, \ldots, n\right)$, we have been unable generally to answer this question, which remains an interesting open problem.

Next, we state two important consequences of Theorem 2.3.

Corollary 2.5. If $\hat{F}_{\tau}(p)$ is prime for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\tau$ is connected.
Proof. According to what has been stated in the previous section, $\hat{F}_{\tau}$ is $(+)$-irreducible when $\hat{F}_{\tau}(p)$ is prime for some $p \in \mathbb{N}$.

Corollary 2.6. Let be $r_{k}=|\{U \in \tau:|U|=k\}| ; k=0,1, \ldots, n$ and $r=\max _{0 \leq k \leq n} r_{k}$. If $p>r$, then

$$
\hat{F}_{\tau}(p) p \text {-irreducible } \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \tau \text { connected }
$$

Proof. By virtue of the definition of $r_{k}$, we can write $\tilde{F}_{\tau}(X)=$ $\sum_{k=0}^{n} r_{k} X^{k}$. Now, the conclusion follows from Theorem 1.8.

From Corollary 2.5 or from Corollary 2.6 and Theorem 1.7 we deduce the following known result:

Corollary 2.7. If $|\tau|$ is prime, then $\tau$ is a connected topology.
In some cases we can establish sufficient conditions for the (+)-reducibility of $\hat{F}_{\tau}$ based on criteria of disconnectedness of the associated topology. In the sequel an example of this situation is given.

Proposition 2.8. Let $\tau$ be a topology on $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ such that $|\tau|>2^{n-1}+1$. Then, $\hat{F}_{\tau}$ is $(+)$-reducible.

Proof. Any connected topology $\tau$ on $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ verifies $|\tau| \leq$ $2^{n-1}+1$, (cf. [10]). Because of Theorem 2.3, $\hat{F}_{\tau}$ cannot be $(+$ )-irreducible.

Next, we will show some simple applications.
Examples 2.9. 1) The (+)-reducibility of the polynomial $F(X)=1+$ $X+X^{2}+X^{3}$ can be established through Theorem 1.8 by observing that $F=\hat{F}_{\tau}$ where $\tau$ is the disconnected topology with diagram
2) Any topology $\tau$ on $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}\right\}$ such that $\hat{F}_{\tau}(X)=1+X+$ $3 X^{2}+3 X^{3}+X^{4}$ is connected because $\hat{F}_{\tau}(9)=9001$ is prime. We arrive to the same conclusion by observing that $\hat{F}_{\tau}(4)=501$ is 4 -irreducible. In fact, $501=3 \cdot 167$ or, in base $4,(13311)_{4}=(3)_{4}(2213)_{4}$; thus, $|501|_{4}=$ $9 \neq 3 \cdot 8=|3|_{4}|167|_{4}$, which implies (according to Theorem 1.7) that 501 is 4 -irreducible.
3) There exists a topology $\tau$ on $\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4}, a_{5}\right\}$ such that $\hat{F}_{\tau}(X)=$ $1+3 X+5 X^{2}+5 X^{3}+3 X^{4}+X^{5}$. Since $|\tau|=18>17=2^{5-1}+1$, it results from Corollary 2.8 that $\hat{F}_{\tau}$ is $(+)$-reducible. In fact, we find that $\hat{F}_{\tau}(X)=(1+X)\left(1+X+X^{2}\right)^{2}$.

Note 2.10. A result analogous to the previous theorem can be obtained (cf. [7]) by considering polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[X]$ (i.e. the polynomials whose coefficients are integers modulo $p$, with $p$ not necessarily prime). More precisely, let $F, G$ be arbitrary non-zero polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}[X]$. We say that $G$ is a divisor of $F(G \mid F)$, if there exists $H \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[X]$ such that $F=G H$; in this case $H$ is called a complement of $G$ in $F$ ( $H$ is not necessarily unique when $p$ is not prime). We denote by $\hat{F}$ the polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ whose coefficient of order $k$ is the minimal non-negative integer in the class corresponding to the coefficient of order $k$ of $F$. The following definitions ( $p$-divisibility, $p$-irreducibility and $p$-height) are convenient.

We say that $G$ is a $p$-divisor of $F\left(\left.G\right|_{p} F\right)$, if $G \mid F$ and there exists a complement $H$ of $G$ in $F$ such that $\hat{F}=\hat{G} \hat{H}$. We say that $F$ is $p$-irreducible if $F \neq 1$ and

$$
\left.G\right|_{p} F \Longrightarrow G=1 \text { or } G=F
$$

The $p$-height of $F$ is the integer defined by $|F|_{p}=\hat{F}(1)$.
In the first place, we have the following analogous of Theorem 1.7:
Theorem 1.7*. Assume that $G \mid F$. Then $\left.G\right|_{p} F \Longleftrightarrow|F|_{p}=$ $|G|_{p}|H|_{p}$ for some complement $H$ of $G$ in $F$.

Theorems 1.7 and $1.7^{*}$ imply the following analogous of Theorem 1.8:

Theorem 1.8*. $\hat{F}$ is $(+)$-irreducible $\Longleftrightarrow F$ is $p$-irreducible.
Now, if we consider $F_{\tau}$ as a polynomial in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}\left[X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$, we have $\hat{F}_{\tau} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[X]$. Thus, we obtain the following analogous of Theorem 2.3:

Theorem 2.3*. If $\hat{F}_{\tau}$ is p-irreducible, then $\tau$ is connected.
Remark 2.11. Here we will briefly indicate how the results obtained up to now can be transferred to a more general context. If $\mathcal{A}$ is a family of sets such that $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A$ is finite, we can, similarly to what was made above for a finite topology, associate to $\mathcal{A}$ a polynomial $F_{\mathcal{A}}\left(X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right)$, where $n=\left|\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A\right|$. Now, if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are two families where $\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A$ and $\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B$ are finite and disjoint (disjoint families, to be brief), there can be defined a "product" $\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}$ as follows:

$$
\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}=\{A \cup B: A \in \mathcal{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}\}
$$

With respect to this product, the associated polynomials have the following property (corresponding to Proposition 1.1):

$$
F_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}}=F_{\mathcal{A}} \otimes F_{\mathcal{B}} .
$$

This allows, after making an appropriate change in the terminology, an immediate generalization of the results previously obtained. For instance, if we say that a family $\mathcal{A} \neq\{\emptyset\}$ is irreducible when there do not exist disjoint families $\mathcal{B} \neq\{\emptyset\}$ and $\mathcal{C} \neq\{\emptyset\}$ such that $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{C}$, one can prove the following analogous to Theorem 2.3:

$$
\hat{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(+) \text {-irreducible } \Longrightarrow \mathcal{A} \text { irreducible, }
$$

where $\hat{F}_{\mathcal{A}}(X)=F_{\mathcal{A}}(X, X, \ldots, X)$.
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