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#### Abstract

For any positive integer $n$, let $\sigma(n)$ be the sum of the positive divisors of $n$. It is known that almost all odd numbers can be represented in the form $\sigma(m)-m$ for some natural number $m$. In this paper, we prove that the number of even numbers which are less than $x$ and not of the form $\sigma(m)-m$ is at least $0.06 x+o(x)$. This improves the lower bound $\frac{1}{48} x+o(x)$ obtained by Banks and Luca.


## 1. Introduction

For any positive integer $n$, let $\sigma(n)$ be the sum of divisors function, and let $\phi(n)$ be the Euler totient function. A positive integer $n$ is called an aliquot number if $n=\sigma(m)-m$ for some positive integer $m$, otherwise it is called a nonaliquot number. Nonaliquot numbers are also known as untouchable numbers (see [3, B10]). In this paper we study the set of nonaliquot numbers defined by

$$
N_{a}(x)=\{1 \leq n \leq x: n \text { is a nonaliquot number }\} .
$$

It is easy to see that almost all odd numbers are aliquot numbers, and thus $\left|N_{a}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1}{2} x+o(x)$. Indeed, it is well known that almost all even numbers can be represented as the sum of two distinct primes (for example, see Vaughan [5]). If $2 n=p+q$ for distinct primes $p$ and $q$, then $2 n+1=\sigma(p q)-p q$. Hence $2 n+1$ is an aliquot number.

Concerning lower bounds, Erdős [2] showed that $\left|N_{a}(x)\right| \geq c x$ for some positive constant $c$ and all sufficiently large $x$. Banks and Luca [1] proved that

$$
\left|N_{a}(x)\right| \geq \frac{x}{48}(1+o(1))=0.020833 \cdots x, \quad x \rightarrow \infty
$$

P. G. Walsh commented in this review [MR2148946] on the paper [1] that it would be interesting to know if this is indeed the correct constant.

The main result of this paper is the following.
Theorem 1. For any positive integer $M$, we have

$$
\left|N_{a}(x)\right| \geq g_{M} x+o_{M}(x),
$$

where

$$
g_{M}=\sum_{d \mid M} \frac{\phi(M / d)}{M / d} \max \left\{0, \frac{1}{2 d}-\frac{1}{\sigma(2 d)-2 d}\right\}
$$

Taking $M=2^{6} \times 3^{5} \times 5^{4} \times 7^{3} \times 11^{2} \times 13 \times 17 \times 19 \times 23 \times 29 \times 31 \times 37 \times 41$, we have $g_{M}>0.0602757$. Let $g=\sup g_{M}$. One can prove that $g_{M}<g$ for any positive integer $M$. We conjecture that $g<0.07$.

Question 1. Is it true that $\left|N_{a}(x)\right|=g x+o(x)$ ?
Question 2. Are there a positive proportion even numbers which are aliquot numbers?

Question 3. What is an approximate numerical value for the constant $g$ ?
Question 4. Is the constant $g$ irrational?

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

For a set $U$ of positive integers and $x>0$, let

$$
U(x)=\{a \leq x: a \in U\} .
$$

First we state the following lemma.
Lemma 1. Let $k$ be a positive integer. Then $|\{n \leq x: k \mid \sigma(n)\}|=x+o_{k}(x)$.

Lemma 1 is a weak form of [4, Lemma 4]. Erdős [2] proved that for any fixed prime $p,|\{n \leq x: p \mid \sigma(n)\}|=x+o_{p}(x)$.

Now we return to the proof of Theorem 1.
Let $M$ be a given integer. Let $2 n$ be an even number such that $2 n \leq x$ and $2 n=\sigma(m)-m$ for some positive integer $m$. If $m$ is odd, then $\sigma(m)$ is odd, and in this case Banks and LUCA [1] proved that the number of such $2 n \leq x$ is $o(x)$. Now we assume that $m$ is even. Then $\sigma(m)-m \geq m / 2$. So $m \leq 2 x$ since $2 n \leq x$. By Lemma 1, the number of $m \leq 2 x$ with $2 M \nmid \sigma(m)$ is $o(x)$. Next, we assume that $2 M \mid \sigma(m)$. Let
$H_{M}(x)=\{2 n \leq x: 2 n=\sigma(m)-m$ for some integer $m$ with $2 M \mid \sigma(m)\}$.
For $d \mid M$ let

$$
A_{d}(x)=\{2 n \leq x:(n, M)=d\}
$$

and $B_{d}(x)=A_{d}(x) \cap H_{M}(x)$. For $2 n \in A_{d}(x)$, let $n=d n_{1}$. Then $n_{1} \leq x /(2 d)$ and $\left(n_{1}, M / d\right)=1$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\phi(M / d)}{M / d} \frac{x}{2 d}-\phi(M / d) \leq\left|A_{d}(x)\right| \leq \frac{\phi(M / d)}{M / d} \frac{x}{2 d}+\phi(M / d) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $2 n \in B_{d}(x)$, we have $2 n=\sigma(m)-m$ with $(m, 2 M)=2 d$ since $2 M \mid \sigma(m)$. Let $m=2 d m_{1}$. Then $\left(m_{1}, M / d\right)=1$ and

$$
2 n=\sigma(m)-m=\sigma\left(2 d m_{1}\right)-2 d m_{1} \geq \sigma(2 d) m_{1}-2 d m_{1}
$$

As $2 n \leq x$ we have

$$
m_{1} \leq \frac{x}{\sigma(2 d)-2 d}
$$

Since $\left(m_{1}, M / d\right)=1$, the number of $m$ with $\sigma(m)-m=2 n \in B_{d}(x)$ is less than

$$
\frac{\phi(M / d)}{M / d} \frac{x}{\sigma(2 d)-2 d}+\phi(M / d)
$$

Then

$$
\left|B_{d}(x)\right| \leq \frac{\phi(M / d)}{M / d} \frac{x}{\sigma(2 d)-2 d}+\phi(M / d)
$$

It is also clear that

$$
\left|B_{d}(x)\right| \leq\left|A_{d}(x)\right| \leq \frac{\phi(M / d)}{M / d} \frac{x}{2 d}+\phi(M / d)
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|B_{d}(x)\right| \leq \frac{\phi(M / d)}{M / d} \min \left\{\frac{1}{2 d}, \frac{1}{\sigma(2 d)-2 d}\right\} x+\phi(M / d) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (1) and (2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \qquad \begin{aligned}
\left|A_{d}(x) \backslash B_{d}(x)\right| & \geq \frac{\phi(M / d)}{M / d}\left(\frac{1}{2 d}-\min \left\{\frac{1}{2 d}, \frac{1}{\sigma(2 d)-2 d}\right\}\right) x-2 \phi(M / d) \\
\text { aus } & =x \frac{\phi(M / d)}{M / d} \max \left\{0, \frac{1}{2 d}-\frac{1}{\sigma(2 d)-2 d}\right\}-2 \phi(M / d)
\end{aligned} \\
& \begin{aligned}
\left|N_{a}(x)\right| & =\sum_{d \mid M}\left|A_{d}(x) \backslash B_{d}(x)\right|+o(x)
\end{aligned} \\
& \geq x \sum_{d \mid M} \frac{\phi(M / d)}{M / d} \max \left\{0, \frac{1}{2 d}-\frac{1}{\sigma(2 d)-2 d}\right\}-2 \sum_{d \mid M} \phi(M / d)+o(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus

Since $\sum_{d \mid M} \phi(M / d)=M=o(x)$, this completes the proof.
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