Publ. Math. Debrecen 78/3-4 (2011), 743–753 DOI: 10.5486/PMD.2011.5017

# Minkowski-type inequalities for means generated by two functions and a measure

By LÁSZLÓ LOSONCZI (Debrecen) and ZSOLT PÁLES (Debrecen)

**Abstract.** Given two continuous functions  $f, g: I \to \mathbb{R}$  such that g is positive and f/g is strictly monotone, and a probability measure  $\mu$  on the Borel subsets of [0, 1], the two variable mean  $M_{f,g;\mu}: I^2 \to I$  is defined by

$$M_{f,g;\mu}(x,y) := \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\int_0^1 f(tx + (1-t)y)d\mu(t)}{\int_0^1 g(tx + (1-t)y)d\mu(t)}\right) \quad (x,y \in I).$$

The aim of this paper is to study Minkowski-type inequalities for these means, i.e., to find conditions for the generating functions  $f_0, g_0 : I_0 \to \mathbb{R}, f_1, g_1 : I_1 \to \mathbb{R}, \ldots, f_n, g_n : I_n \to \mathbb{R}$ , and for the measure  $\mu$  such that

$$M_{f_0,g_0;\mu}(x_1 + \dots + x_n, y_1 + \dots + y_n) \stackrel{\leq}{[\geq]} M_{f_1,g_1;\mu}(x_1, y_1) + \dots + M_{f_n,g_n;\mu}(x_n, y_n)$$

holds for all  $x_1, y_1 \in I_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n \in I_n$  with  $x_1 + \cdots + x_n, y_1 + \cdots + y_n \in I_0$ . The particular case when the generating functions are power functions, i.e., when the means are generalized Gini means is also investigated.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 39B12, 39B22.

Key words and phrases: generalized Cauchy means, equality and homogeneity problem.

This research has been supported by the Hungarian Scientific Research Fund (OTKA) Grant NK81402 and by the TÁMOP 4.2.1./B-09/1/KONV-2010-0007 project implemented through the New Hungary Development Plan co-financed by the European Social Fund, and the European Regional Development Fund.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper the classes of continuous strictly monotone and continuous positive real-valued functions defined on a nonempty open real interval Iwill be denoted by  $\mathcal{CM}(I)$  and  $\mathcal{CP}(I)$ , respectively. Given two continuous functions  $f, g: I \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $g \in \mathcal{CP}(I)$ ,  $f/g \in \mathcal{CM}(I)$  and a probability measure  $\mu$  on the Borel subsets of [0, 1], the two variable mean  $M_{f,g;\mu}: I^2 \to I$  is defined by

$$M_{f,g;\mu}(x,y) := \left(\frac{f}{g}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\int_0^1 f(tx + (1-t)y)d\mu(t)}{\int_0^1 g(tx + (1-t)y)d\mu(t)}\right) \qquad (x,y \in I).$$

If  $\mu = \frac{\delta_0 + \delta_1}{2}$  (where  $\delta_s$  denotes the Dirac measure concentrated at  $s \in [0, 1]$ ),  $\varphi \in \mathcal{CM}(I)$ , and  $p \in \mathcal{CP}(I)$ , then

$$M_{p\varphi,p;\mu}(x,y) = \varphi^{-1}\left(\frac{p(x)\varphi(x) + p(y)\varphi(y)}{p(x) + p(y)}\right) \qquad (x,y \in I),$$

which was introduced and studied by BAJRAKTAREVIĆ [Baj58], [Baj69]. In the particular case p = 1, we get the well-known quasi-arithmetic means (cf. [HLP34]).

If  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure on [0,1] and  $\varphi, \psi : I \to \mathbb{R}$  are continuously differentiable functions with  $\psi' \in C\mathcal{P}(I)$  and  $\varphi'/\psi' \in C\mathcal{M}(I)$ , then, by the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus, one can easily see that

$$M_{\varphi',\psi';\mu}(x,y) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\varphi'}{\psi'}\right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\varphi(y) - \varphi(x)}{\psi(y) - \psi(x)}\right) & \text{if } x \neq y \\ x & \text{if } x = y \end{cases} \quad (x,y \in I),$$

which is called a Cauchy or difference mean in the literature (cf. [BM00], [Los00]). When  $\psi(x) = x$ , then this mean goes over into a Lagrangian mean (cf. [BM98], [Ber98]).

Consider now the setting when  $I = \mathbb{R}_+$  and the functions f, g are power functions, more precisely, for  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ , define

$$f(x) = x^{p}, \qquad g(x) = x^{q} \quad \text{if} \quad p \neq q,$$
  

$$f(x) = x^{p} \ln x, \qquad g(x) = x^{p} \quad \text{if} \quad p = q. \tag{1}$$

Then the mean  $M_{f,g;\mu}$  reduces to the following generalization of the so-called Gini means:

$$G_{p,q;\mu}(x,y) := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\int_{0}^{1} \left(tx + (1-t)y\right)^{p} d\mu(t)}{\int_{0}^{1} \left(tx + (1-t)y\right)^{q} d\mu(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} & \text{if } p \neq q, \\ \\ \exp\left(\frac{\int_{0}^{1} \left(tx + (1-t)y\right)^{p} \ln\left(tx + (1-t)y\right) d\mu(t)}{\int_{0}^{1} \left(tx + (1-t)y\right)^{p} d\mu(t)}\right) & \text{if } p = q. \end{cases}$$

In the particular case when  $\mu = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_0 + \delta_1)$ , the mean  $G_{p,q;\mu}$  goes over into the standard Gini mean (cf. [Gin38]) defined as

$$G_{p,q;\mu}(x,y) = G_{p,q}(x,y) := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{x^p + y^p}{x^q + y^q}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} & \text{if } p \neq q\\ \exp\left(\frac{x^p \ln x + y^p \ln y}{x^p + y^p}\right) & \text{if } p = q \end{cases}$$
(x, y \in \mathbb{R}\_+).

The other particular case of great importance is when  $\mu$  is equal to the Lebesgue measure  $\lambda.$  Then

$$G_{p,q;\lambda}(x,y) = S_{p+1,q+1}(x,y) \qquad (x,y \in \mathbb{R}_+),$$

where  $S_{p,q}$  is the so-called Stolarsky mean (cf.  $\left[ \mathrm{Sto75} \right] \right)$  given by

$$S_{p,q}(x,y) := \begin{cases} \left(\frac{q(x^p - y^p)}{p(x^q - y^q)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-q}} & \text{if } (p-q)pq \neq 0\\ \exp\left(-\frac{1}{p} + \frac{x^p \ln x - y^p \ln y}{x^p - y^p}\right) & \text{if } p = q \neq 0\\ \left(\frac{x^p - y^p}{p(\ln x - \ln y)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if } p \neq 0, q = 0\\ \left(\frac{x^q - y^q}{q(\ln x - \ln y)}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}} & \text{if } p = 0, q \neq 0\\ \sqrt{xy} & \text{if } p = q = 0 \end{cases}$$

In [Los71], the first author obtained Minkowski-type inequalities for Bajraktarević means. Investigating Minkowski-type inequalities for the standard Gini means, CZINDER and the second author obtained the following result (cf. [CP00, Theorem 5]).

**Theorem A.** Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$G_{p_0,q_0}(x_1 + \dots + x_n, y_1 + \dots + y_n) \le G_{p_1,q_1}(x_1, y_1) + \dots + G_{p_n,q_n}(x_n, y_n)$$

holds for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n > 0$  if and only if

- (a)  $0 \le \min\{p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n\},\$
- (b)  $\min\{p_0, q_0\} \le \min\{1, p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n\},\$
- (c)  $\max\{1, p_0 + q_0\} \le \min\{p_1 + q_1, \dots, p_n + q_n\}.$

The particular case  $p_0 = p_1 = \cdots = p_n$ ,  $q_0 = q_1 = \cdots = q_n$ , i.e., when all the Gini means are the same, was investigated by the authors in [LP96]. It is interesting to note that the characterization of the reversed Minkowski-type inequality even in this particular setting is *still unknown*.

In the context of Stolarsky means, in [LP98], we obtained the following result (formulated in the case n = 2 only).

**Theorem B.** Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the inequality

$$S_{p,q}(x_1 + \dots + x_n, y_1 + \dots + y_n) \stackrel{\leq}{[\geq]} S_{p,q}(x_1, y_1) + \dots + S_{p,q}(x_n, y_n)$$

holds for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n > 0$  if and only if

$$3 \stackrel{\leq}{[\geq]} p+q \quad and \quad 1 \stackrel{\leq}{[\geq]} \min\{p,q\}.$$

In order that the more general inequality

$$S_{p_0,q_0}(x_1 + \dots + x_n, y_1 + \dots + y_n) \stackrel{\leq}{[\geq]} S_{p_1,q_1}(x_1, y_1) + \dots + S_{p_n,q_n}(x_n, y_n)$$

be valid for all  $x_1, \ldots, x_n, y_1, \ldots, y_n > 0$ , CZINDER and the second author obtained necessary conditions and also sufficient conditions for the parameters  $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_n \in \mathbb{R}$  in [CP03].

Motivated by the above preliminaries, the aim of this paper is to study Minkowski-type inequalities for the means  $M_{f,g;\mu}$ , i.e., our purpose is to find

conditions for the generating functions  $f_0, g_0 : I_0 \to \mathbb{R}, f_1, g_1 : I_1 \to \mathbb{R}, \ldots, f_n, g_n : I_n \to \mathbb{R}$ , and for the measure  $\mu$  such that

$$M_{f_0,g_0;\mu}(x_1 + \dots + x_n, y_1 + \dots + y_n) \\ \leq \\ [\geq] M_{f_1,g_1;\mu}(x_1, y_1) + \dots + M_{f_n,g_n;\mu}(x_n, y_n)$$
(2)

be valid for all  $x_1, y_1 \in I_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n \in I_n$  with  $x_1 + \cdots + x_n, y_1 + \cdots + y_n \in I_0$ . In the main results of the paper we give sufficient conditions (which, in a certain sense, are also necessary) for (2) to hold. As an important particular case, we also consider Minkowski-type inequalities involving the generalized Gini means.

# 2. Main results

In order to describe the regularity conditions related the two generating functions f, g of the mean  $M_{f,g;\mu}$  in a convenient way, we say that the pair (f,g) of functions is in the class  $\mathcal{C}_1(I)$  if f, g are continuously differentiable functions such that  $g \in \mathcal{CP}(I)$  and the Wronski determinant

$$\begin{vmatrix} f'(x) & f(x) \\ g'(x) & g(x) \end{vmatrix} = g^2(x) \left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)}\right)' \quad (x \in I)$$
(3)

does not vanish on I. Obviously, the latter condition implies that f/g is strictly monotone, i.e.,  $f/g \in \mathcal{CM}(I)$ . For  $(f,g) \in \mathcal{C}_1(I)$ , we define the *deviation* function  $\mathcal{D}_{f,g}^* : I^2 \to \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\mathcal{D}_{f,g}^{*}(x,y) := \frac{\begin{vmatrix} f(x) & f(y) \\ g(x) & g(y) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} f'(y) & f(y) \\ g'(y) & g(y) \end{vmatrix}} = \frac{g(x)\left(\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} - \frac{f(y)}{g(y)}\right)}{g(y)\left(\frac{f(y)}{g(y)}\right)'} \qquad (x,y \in I).$$
(4)

Clearly, we have that  $\mathcal{D}_{f,g}^*(x,y) \stackrel{<}{=} 0$  if and only if  $x \stackrel{<}{=} y$ .

The next result characterizes the mean  $M_{f,g;\mu}$  via an implicit equation and signifies the role of the function  $\mathcal{D}_{f,g}^*$  (cf. [LP08]).

**Lemma 1.** Let  $(f,g) \in \mathcal{C}_1(I)$  and  $\mu$  be a Borel probability measure on [0,1]. Then for all  $x, y \in I$  and  $u \in [x, y]$ ,

$$M_{f,g;\mu}(x,y) \stackrel{\leq}{=} u \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \int_0^1 \mathcal{D}_{f,g}^* \big( tx + (1-t)y, u \big) d\mu(t) \stackrel{\leq}{=} 0.$$
 (5)

As a consequence of (5), we have the identity

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{D}_{f,g}^* \big( tx + (1-t)y, M_{f,g;\mu}(x,y) \big) d\mu(t) = 0 \quad (x,y \in I).$$
(6)

By Lemma 2 below, the function  $\mathcal{D}_{f,g}^*$  is also connected to the sequence of means  $M_{f,g;m_k}$ , where  $(m_k)$  is the sequence of measures defined by

$$m_k := \left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right)\delta_0 + \frac{1}{k}\delta_1 \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$
(7)

For its proof, the reader should consult [LP08].

**Lemma 2.** Let  $(f,g) \in \mathcal{C}_1(I)$ . Then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} k \big[ M_{f,g;m_k}(x,y) - y \big] = \mathcal{D}^*_{f,g}(x,y) \qquad (x,y \in I).$$
(8)

Now we can formulate our main result which gives a sufficient condition for the general Minkowski-type inequality (2) which does not involve the measure  $\mu$ .

**Theorem 3.** Let  $I_0, I_1, \ldots, I_n$  be open real intervals, and let  $(f_i, g_i) \in C_1(I_i)$  for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ . Then the following three assertions are equivalent:

(i) For all Borel probability measures  $\mu$  on [0, 1],

$$M_{f_0,g_0;\mu}(x_1 + \dots + x_n, y_1 + \dots + y_n) \\ \leq \\ [\geq] M_{f_1,g_1;\mu}(x_1, y_1) + \dots + M_{f_n,g_n;\mu}(x_n, y_n)$$
(9)

holds for all  $x_1, y_1 \in I_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n \in I_n$  with  $x_1 + \cdots + x_n, y_1 + \cdots + y_n \in I_0$ . (ii) For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

 $M_{f_0,g_0;m_k}(x_1 + \dots + x_n, y_1 + \dots + y_n)$ 

$$\stackrel{\leq}{[\geq]} M_{f_1,g_1;m_k}(x_1,y_1) + \dots + M_{f_n,g_n;m_k}(x_n,y_n) \quad (10)$$

holds for all  $x_1, y_1 \in I_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n \in I_n$  with  $x_1 + \cdots + x_n, y_1 + \cdots + y_n \in I_0$ (where  $(m_k)$  is the sequence of measures defined by (7)).

(iii)

$$\mathcal{D}_{f_0,g_0}^*(x_1 + \dots + x_n, y_1 + \dots + y_n) \stackrel{\leq}{[\geq]} \mathcal{D}_{f_1,g_1}^*(x_1, y_1) + \dots + \mathcal{D}_{f_n,g_n}^*(x_n, y_n)$$
(11)

holds for all  $x_1, y_1 \in I_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n \in I_n$  with  $x_1 + \cdots + x_n, y_1 + \cdots + y_n \in I_0$ .

PROOF. The implication (i) $\Longrightarrow$ (ii) is obvious. To prove (ii) $\Longrightarrow$ (iii), for  $x_1, y_1 \in I_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n \in I_n$  with  $x_1 + \cdots + x_n, y_1 + \cdots + y_n \in I_0$ , use (10) and Lemma 2 to get

$$\mathcal{D}_{f_0,g_0}^*(x_1 + \dots + x_n, y_1 + \dots + y_n)$$

$$= \lim_{k \to \infty} k \left[ M_{f_0,g_0;m_k}(x_1 + \dots + x_n, y_1 + \dots + y_n) - (y_1 + \dots + y_n) \right]$$

$$\stackrel{\leq}{\leq} \lim_{k \to \infty} k \left[ \left( M_{f_1,g_1;m_k}(x_1, y_1) - y_1 \right) + \dots + \left( M_{f_n,g_n;m_k}(x_n, y_n) - y_n \right) \right]$$

$$= \mathcal{D}_{f_1,g_1}^*(x_1, y_1) + \dots + \mathcal{D}_{f_n,g_n}^*(x_n, y_n),$$

which proves (11).

(iii) $\Longrightarrow$ (i) Let  $u_1, v_1 \in I_1, \ldots, u_n, v_n \in I_n$  with  $u_1 + \cdots + u_n, v_1 + \cdots + v_n \in I_0$ . Substituting

$$x_i := tu_i + (1-t)v_i, \quad y_i := M_{f_i, g_i; \mu}(u_i, v_i) \qquad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

into (11) and integrating on [0, 1] with respect to t by the measure  $\mu$ , we get

$$\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{f_{0},g_{0}}^{*} \left( t(u_{1} + \dots + u_{n}) + (1 - t)(v_{1} + \dots + v_{n}), y_{1} + \dots + y_{n} \right) d\mu(t) \\
\stackrel{\leq}{[\geq]} \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{f_{1},g_{1}}^{*} \left( tu_{1} + (1 - t)v_{1}, y_{1} \right) d\mu(t) + \dots \\
+ \int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{D}_{f_{n},g_{n}}^{*} \left( tu_{n} + (1 - t)v_{n}, y_{n} \right) d\mu(t).$$
(12)

By Lemma 1 and the choice of  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$ , the right hand side of this inequality is zero. Thus, we obtain from (12) that

$$\int_0^1 \mathcal{D}^*_{f_0,g_0} \big( t(u_1 + \dots + u_n) + (1-t)(v_1 + \dots + v_n), y_1 + \dots + y_n \big) d\mu(t) \stackrel{\leq}{[\geq]} 0.$$

This inequality, by Lemma 1 again, yields that

which proves (9) on the domain indicated.

The following result concerns generalized quasi-arithmetic means when a stronger statement can be obtained.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $I_0, I_1, \ldots, I_n$  be open intervals with  $I_1 + \cdots + I_n \subseteq I_0$ . Assume that  $f_i : I_i \to I_0$  are continuously differentiable functions such that  $f'_i(x) \neq 0$  if  $x \in I_i$   $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$  (the latter conditions ensure that  $(f_i, 1) \in \mathcal{C}_1(I_i)$  for  $i = 0, 1, \ldots, n$ ). Then the following three assertions are equivalent:

(i) For all Borel probability measures  $\mu$  on [0, 1],

$$M_{f_0,1;\mu}(x_1 + \dots + x_n, y_1 + \dots + y_n) \stackrel{\leq}{[\geq]} M_{f_1,1;\mu}(x_1, y_1) + \dots + M_{f_n,1;\mu}(x_n, y_n)$$

holds for all  $x_1, y_1 \in I_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n \in I_n$ .

(ii) For all 
$$k \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$M_{f_0,1;m_k}(x_1 + \dots + x_n, y_1 + \dots + y_n) \stackrel{\leq}{[\geq]} M_{f_1,1;m_k}(x_1, y_1) + \dots + M_{f_n,1;m_k}(x_n, y_n)$$

holds for all  $x_1, y_1 \in I_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n \in I_n$  (where  $m_k$  is the sequence of measures defined by (7)).

(iii)

$$\mathcal{D}_{f_0,1}^*(x_1 + \dots + x_n, y_1 + \dots + y_n) \stackrel{\leq}{[\geq]} \mathcal{D}_{f_1,1}^*(x_1, y_1) + \dots + \mathcal{D}_{f_n,1}^*(x_n, y_n)$$
(13)

holds for all  $x_1, y_1 \in I_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n \in I_n$ .

(iv) The function  $F: f_1(I_1) \times \cdots \times f_n(I_n) \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$F(u_1, \dots, u_n) := f_0 \left( f_1^{-1}(u_1) + \dots + f_n^{-1}(u_n) \right) \qquad (u_i \in f_i(I_i), \ i = 1, \dots, n)$$

is  $\frac{concave}{[convex]}$  on its domain provided that  $f_0$  is increasing and  $\frac{convex}{[concave]}$  on its domain provided that  $f_0$  is decreasing.

PROOF. The equivalence (i) $\iff$ (ii) $\iff$ (iii) follows from the previous theorem. To complete the proof we show that (iii) and (iv) are equivalent too. Assume, for the sake of definiteness that  $f_0$  is increasing and the upper inequality sign holds in (13). By known characterizations of differentiable concave functions (see [RV73, p. 98, Theorem A], or [NP06, p. 141, Theorem 3.9.1], F is concave if and only if

$$F(u) - F(v) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \partial_i F(v)(u_i - v_i)$$
(14)

holds for all  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n), v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  in the domain of F, where  $\partial_i F$  denotes the partial derivative of F with respect to its *i*th variable. A simple calculation shows that

$$\partial_i F(v) = f_0' \left( f_1^{-1}(v_1) + \dots + f_n^{-1}(v_n) \right) \frac{1}{f_i' \left( f_i^{-1}(v_i) \right)}$$

Dividing (14) by  $f'_0(f_1^{-1}(v_1) + \dots + f_n^{-1}(v_n)) > 0$  and then substituting  $f_i^{-1}(u_i) =: x_i, f_i^{-1}(v_i) =: y_i$ , we obtain exactly (13), which proves the equivalence we claimed.

# 3. Minkowski-type inequalities for generalized Gini means

**Theorem 5.** Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the following three assertions are equivalent:

(i) For all Borel probability measures  $\mu$  on [0, 1],

$$G_{p_0,q_0;\mu}(x_1 + \dots + x_n, y_1 + \dots + y_n) \le G_{p_1,q_1;\mu}(x_1, y_1) + \dots + G_{p_n,q_n;\mu}(x_n, y_n)$$
(15)

holds for all  $x_1, y_1, ..., x_n, y_n > 0$ .

(ii) For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$G_{p_0,q_0;m_k}(x_1 + \dots + x_n, y_1 + \dots + y_n)$$
  
$$\leq G_{p_1,q_1;m_k}(x_1, y_1) + \dots + G_{p_n,q_n;m_k}(x_n, y_n) \quad (16)$$

holds for all  $x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n > 0$ .

- (iii) (a)  $0 \le \min\{p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n\},\$ 
  - (b)  $\min\{p_0, q_0\} \le \min\{1, p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n\},\$
  - (c)  $\max\{1, p_0, q_0\} \le \max\{p_i, q_i\}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, n).$  (17)

Concerning the reversed Minkowski inequality, we have the following result.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $n \geq 2$  and  $p_0, p_1, \ldots, p_n, q_0, q_1, \ldots, q_n \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the following three assertions are equivalent:

(i) For all Borel probability measures  $\mu$  on [0, 1],

$$G_{p_0,q_0;\mu}(x_1 + \dots + x_n, y_1 + \dots + y_n) \ge G_{p_1,q_1;\mu}(x_1, y_1) + \dots + G_{p_n,q_n;\mu}(x_n, y_n)$$
(18)  
holds for all  $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n > 0$ .

(ii) For all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$G_{p_0,q_0;m_k}(x_1 + \dots + x_n, y_1 + \dots + y_n)$$
  

$$\geq G_{p_1,q_1;m_k}(x_1, y_1) + \dots + G_{p_n,q_n;m_k}(x_n, y_n) \quad (19)$$
  
holds for all  $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n > 0.$ 

(iii) (a) 
$$1 \ge \max\{p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n\},$$
  
(b)  $\max\{p_0, q_0\} \ge \max\{0, p_1, q_1, \dots, p_n, q_n\},$  (20)  
(c)  $\min\{0, p_0, q_0\} \ge \min\{p_i, q_i\},$   $(i = 1, \dots, n).$ 

PROOF OF THEOREM 5 AND THEOREM 6. The equivalence of conditions (i) and (ii) in both theorems is a consequence of the equivalence of conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem 3. To elaborate the third equivalent condition of Theorem 3, observe that if f, g are defined by (1), then the function  $\mathcal{D}_{f,g}^*$  is of the form

$$\mathcal{D}_{f,g}^*(x,y) = y\delta_{p,q}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right) \qquad (x,y \in \mathbb{R}_+),$$

where

$$\delta_{p,q}(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{t^p - t^q}{p - q} & \text{if } p \neq q \\ t^p \ln t & \text{if } p = q \end{cases} \qquad (t \in \mathbb{R}_+).$$
(21)

Thus, by Theorem 3, inequalities (15) and (18) are satisfied if and only if

$$(y_1 + \dots + y_n)\delta_{p_0,q_0}\left(\frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{y_1 + \dots + y_n}\right) \stackrel{\leq}{[\geq]} y_1\delta_{p_1,q_1}\left(\frac{x_1}{y_1}\right) + \dots + y_n\delta_{p_n,q_n}\left(\frac{x_n}{y_n}\right)$$

holds for all  $x_1, y_1, \ldots, x_n, y_n > 0$ . With the notation  $u_i := x_i/y_i$  and  $t_i := y_i/(y_1 + \cdots + y_n)$ , the above inequality is satisfied if and only if

$$\delta_{p_0,q_0}(t_1u_1 + \dots + t_nu_n) \stackrel{\leq}{[\geq]} t_1\delta_{p_1,q_1}(u_1) + \dots + t_n\delta_{p_n,q_n}(u_n)$$
(22)

for all  $u_1, ..., u_n, t_1, ..., t_n > 0$  with  $t_1 + \dots + t_n = 1$ .

The domain of parameters when (22) is valid on the indicated domain was characterized in the paper [Pál82]. As it was proved in [Pál82], (22) holds with  $\leq$  and  $\geq$  inequality signs if and only if condition (iii) of Theorem 5 and Theorem 6 holds, respectively.

#### References

[Baj58] M. BAJRAKTAREVIĆ, Sur une équation fonctionnelle aux valeurs moyennes, Glasnik Mat.-Fiz. Astronom. Društvo Mat. Fiz. Hrvatske Ser. II 13 (1958), 243–248.

753

- [Baj69] M. BAJRAKTAREVIĆ, Über die Vergleichbarkeit der mit Gewichtsfunktionen gebildeten Mittelwerte, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. 4 (1969), 3–8.
- [Ber98] L. R. BERRONE, The mean value theorem: functional equations and Lagrangian means, Epsilon 14, no. 1(40) (1998), 131–151.
- [BM98] L. R. BERRONE and J. MORO, Lagrangian means, Aequationes Math. 55, no. 3 (1998), 217–226.
- [BM00] L. R. BERRONE and J. MORO, On means generated through the Cauchy mean value theorem, Aequationes Math. 60, no. 1–2 (2000), 1–14.
- [CP00] P. CZINDER and ZS. PÁLES, A general Minkowski-type inequality for two variable Gini means, Publ. Math. Debrecen 57 (2000), 203–216.
- [CP03] P. CZINDER and Zs. PÁLES, Minkowski-type inequalities for two variable Stolarsky means, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 69, no. 1–2 (2003), 27–47.
- [Gin38] C. GINI, Di una formula compressiva delle medie, Metron 13 (1938), 3–22.
- [HLP34] G. H. HARDY, J. E. LITTLEWOOD and G. PÓLYA, Inequalities, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1934, (first edition), 1952 (second edition).
- [Los71] L. LOSONCZI, Subadditive Mittelwerte, Arch. Math. (Basel) 22 (1971), 168–174.
- [Los00] L. LOSONCZI, Equality of Cauchy mean values, *Publ. Math. Debrecen* **57** (2000), 217–230.
- [LP96] L. LOSONCZI and Zs. PÁLES, Minkowski's inequality for two variable Gini means, Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 62 (1996), 413–425.
- [LP98] L. LOSONCZI and Zs. PÁLES, Minkowski's inequality for two variable difference means, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126, no. 3 (1998), 779–789.
- [LP08] L. LOSONCZI and ZS. PÁLES, Comparison of means generated by two functions and a measure, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 345, no. 1 (2008), 135–146.
- [NP06] C. P. NICULESCU and L.-E. PERSSON, Convex Functions and Their Applications, CMS Books in Mathematics/Ouvrages de Mathématiques de la SMC, 23, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2006, A contemporary approach.
- [Pál82] Zs. PÁLES, A generalization of the Minkowski inequality, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 90, no. 2 (1982), 456–462.
- [RV73] A. W. ROBERTS and D. E. VARBERG, Convex Functions, Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. 57, Academic Press, New York – London, 1973.
- [Sto75] K. B. STOLARSKY, Generalizations of the logarithmic mean, Math. Mag. 48 (1975), 87–92.

LÁSZLÓ LOSONCZI FACULTY OF ECONOMICS UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN KASSAI ÚT 26 H-4028 DEBRECEN HUNGARY

E-mail: losi@math.klte.hu

ZSOLT PÁLES INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF DEBRECEN H-4010 DEBRECEN, P. O. BOX 12 HUNGARY

*E-mail:* pales@math.klte.hu

(Received October 1, 2010)