

On homogeneous submanifolds of negatively curved Riemannian manifolds

By REZA MIRZAIE (Qazvin)

Abstract. We give a description of the orbits of some isometric actions on Riemannian manifolds of negative curvature.

1. Introduction

The authors of [4] gave a description of homogeneous submanifolds of the Hyperbolic space $H^n(c)$, $c < 0$. Among other results they proved: *If G is a connected subgroup of the isometries of $H^n(c)$ and the fixed point set of the action of G on M is empty, then either there is a geodesic orbit or all orbits are included in horospheres centered at the same point at infinity (so there is a class $[\gamma]$ of asymptotic geodesics such that $G[\gamma] = [\gamma]$).* A similar result is true if G is a connected and solvable subgroup of the isometries of a simply connected Riemannian manifold M of negative curvature. We use this result as a tool to study topological properties of some cohomogeneity two Riemannian manifolds of negative curvature. We recall that if G is a closed and connected subgroup of the isometries of a Riemannian manifold M , the number $\dim M - \max_{x \in M} \dim G(x)$ is called the cohomogeneity of the action of G on M . When the cohomogeneity is small, we expect close geometrical and topological relations between M , G and G -orbits of M . If M has negative curvature and the cohomogeneity is zero (M is a homogeneous G -manifold), S. KOBAYASHI proved that M must be simply connected [10].

Mathematics Subject Classification: 53C30, 57S25.

Key words and phrases: Riemannian manifold, Lie group, isometry.

If M is negatively curved and the cohomogeneity is one, it is proved that either M is simply connected or the fundamental group of M is isomorphic to Z^p for some positive integer p . In the later case, if $p > 1$ then each orbit is diffeomorphic to $R^{n-1-p} \times T^p$, $n = \dim M$, and M is diffeomorphic to $R^{n-p} \times T^p$. If $p = 1$ then there is an orbit diffeomorphic to S^1 and the other orbits are covered by $S^{n-2} \times R$ [15].

There is no complete classification result on cohomogeneity two Riemannian manifolds of negative curvature. But there are some results under conditions on G and curvature of M . Let $\text{Fix}(G, M) = \{x \in M : G(x) = x\}$. If $\text{Fix}(G, M) \neq \emptyset$ and M is negatively curved and of cohomogeneity two under the action of G , then M is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times R^{n+1}$ or $B^2 \times R^n$ (B^2 is the mobius band), and the principal orbits are diffeomorphic to S^n [12]. Also we have studied cohomogeneity two Riemannian manifolds of constant negative curvature [13]. In Theorem 3.3 of the present paper, we study cohomogeneity two Riemannian G -manifolds of negative curvature under the conditions that the singular orbits (if there is any) are the fixed points of G and G is non-semisimple.

2. Preliminaries

First we mention some definitions and facts which we will use in the proofs. If M is a Riemannian manifold, we denote by $\text{Iso}(M)$ the Lie group of all isometries of M . If $\delta \in \text{Iso}(M)$, the squared displacement function $d_\delta^2 : M \rightarrow M$ is defined by

$$d_\delta^2(x) = d(x, \delta x)$$

Fact 2.1 (See [1]). *If M is a simply connected Riemannian manifold of negative curvature and $\delta \in \text{Iso}(M)$, then one of the followings is true:*

- (1) d_δ^2 has no minimum point.
- (2) Minimum point set of d_δ^2 is equal to the fixed point set of δ .
- (3) Minimum point set of d_δ^2 is the image of a geodesic γ translated by δ (i.e., there is a positive number t_0 such that for all t , $\delta(\gamma(t)) = \gamma(t + t_0)$).

Isometries (1), (2), and (3) are called parabolic, elliptic, and axial, respectively.

We recall (see [8]) that infinity $M(\infty)$ of a simply connected Riemannian manifold M of non-positive curvature is the classes of asymptotic geodesics. For each geodesic γ we denote by $[\gamma]$ the asymptotic class of geodesics containing γ . If $x \in M$ then there is a unique (up to parametrization) geodesic γ_x in the

class $[\gamma]$ containing x , and there is a unique hypersurface S_x containing x and perpendicular to all elements of $[\gamma]$. S_x is called a horosphere related to $[\gamma]$.

Fact 2.2. *Let M be a simply connected Riemannian manifold of negative curvature.*

- a) *If g is an axial isometry of M then the geodesic γ with the property $g(\gamma) = \gamma$ is unique.*
- b) *If g is a parabolic isometry of M then there is a unique class of asymptotic geodesics $[\gamma]$ such that $g[\gamma] = [\gamma]$.*

PROOF. (a) is a direct consequence of Proposition 4.2(3) in [1].

(b) By Lemma 6.1 in [7], g has a fixed point in $M(\infty)$, so there is a class $[\gamma]$ of asymptotic geodesics such that $g[\gamma] = [\gamma]$, and by Proposition 6.4 in [7], $[\gamma]$ is unique (because if not, g must be elliptic or axial). \square

Fact 2.3. *Let G be a connected and solvable Lie subgroup of isometries of a simply connected and negatively curved Riemannian manifold M . Then one of the followings is true:*

- (1) $\text{Fix}(G, M) \neq \emptyset$.
- (2) *There is a unique G -invariant geodesic.*
- (3) *There is a unique class of asymptotic geodesics $[\gamma]$ such that $G[\gamma] = [\gamma]$.*

PROOF. For the proof of existence, see Theorem 5 in [3]. Uniqueness in (2), (3) comes from Fact 2.2. \square

Corollary 2.4. *If M is a simply connected Riemannian manifold of negative curvature and G is a closed and connected subgroup of $\text{Iso}(M)$ such that $\text{Fix}(G, M) = \emptyset$, then there is at most one totally geodesic G -orbit in M .*

PROOF. The proof of this corollary is as like as the proof of Lemma 3.1 of [4] which we rewrite it for facility. Denote by $\bar{\nabla}$ and ∇ the Riemannian connections of M and submanifolds of M . Suppose that $G(q'), G(q)$ are distinct totally geodesic orbits of M . Consider a point $p \in G(q')$ such that $d(q, G(q')) = d(q, p)$. Let γ be a minimizing geodesic such that $\gamma(0) = p, \gamma(1) = q$. Then, $\gamma'(0)$ is perpendicular to $G(q')(= G(p))$ at the point p . If N is a G -orbit and $a \in N$, then the tangent space $T_a N$ is generated by

$$\{Y(a) : Y \text{ is a vector field in the Lie algebra of } G\}.$$

Consider a vector field Y in the Lie algebra of G and put $g(t) = \langle Y(\gamma(t)), \gamma'(t) \rangle$. Then

$$g'(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \langle Y(\gamma(t)), \gamma'(t) \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\gamma'(t)} Y, \gamma'(t) \rangle$$

Since Y is a Killing vector field (see [14], p. 255) then $g'(t) = 0$. Since $\gamma'(0)$ is perpendicular to $G(p)$ then $g(0) = 0$, so for each $t \in I$, $g(t) = 0$. Then for each $t \in I$, $\gamma'(t)$ is perpendicular to $G(\gamma(t))$. Since $G(q) \neq q$, there is a vector field X in the Lie algebra of G such that $X(q) \neq 0$. Put

$$f(t) = -\langle S_{\gamma'(t)}(X(\gamma(t))), X(\gamma(t)) \rangle$$

Where $S_{\gamma'(t)}$ is the shape operator of $G(\gamma(t))$. $G(p)$ and $G(q)$ are totally geodesic, then

$$f(0) = f(1) = 0 \quad (*)$$

The vector field $X(\gamma(t))$ is a Jacobi vector field along γ (see [14], p. 252, Lemma 26). Thus

$$X'' + R(\gamma', X)\gamma' = 0$$

and X is a Killing vector field, so

$$-\langle \bar{\nabla}_X X, \gamma'(t) \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\gamma'} X, X \rangle$$

Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= -\langle \bar{\nabla}_X X - \nabla_X X, \gamma'(t) \rangle = -\langle \bar{\nabla}_X X, \gamma'(t) \rangle = \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\gamma'} X, X \rangle \\ &\Rightarrow f'(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\gamma'} X, X \rangle = \langle X'', X \rangle + \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\gamma'(t)} X, \bar{\nabla}_{\gamma'(t)} X \rangle \\ &= -\langle R(\gamma'(t), X)\gamma'(t), X \rangle + \langle \bar{\nabla}_{\gamma'} X, \bar{\nabla}_{\gamma'} X \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Since M is negatively curved then $f'(t) > 0$, which is a contradiction by (*). \square

Remark 2.5. If M is a Riemannian manifold and G is a connected subgroup of $\text{Iso}(M)$, and if \tilde{M} is the universal Riemannian covering manifold of M with the covering map $\kappa : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$, then there is a connected covering \tilde{G} of G with the covering map $\pi : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$, such that \tilde{G} acts isometrically on \tilde{M} and

- (1) Each deck transformation δ of the covering $\kappa : \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ maps \tilde{G} -orbits on to \tilde{G} -orbits.
- (2) If $x \in M$ and $\tilde{x} \in \tilde{M}$ then $\kappa(\tilde{G}(\tilde{x})) = G(x)$.
- (3) $\text{Fix}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{M}) = \kappa^{-1}(\text{Fix}(G, M))$.
- (4) If G is non-semisimple then \tilde{G} is non-semisimple.
- (5) The deck transformation group, which we denote it by Δ , centralizes \tilde{G} (i.e., for each $\delta \in \Delta$ and $\tilde{g} \in \tilde{G}$, $\delta\tilde{g} = \tilde{g}\delta$).

PROOF. \tilde{G} can be defined in a similar way in [2] pages 63, 64. (1), (2), (3) and (4) are simple consequences of the definition of \tilde{G} . The proof of (5) can be made as a similar way in the proof of Theorem 9.1 in [2]. \square

Remark 2.6. Let \tilde{M} be a complete and simply connected Riemannian manifold of strictly negative curvature (curvature is $\leq c < 0$, for a constant number c) and let S be a horosphere in \tilde{M} related to asymptotic class of geodesics $[\gamma]$. The function $f : \tilde{M} \rightarrow R, f(p) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} d(p, \gamma(t)) - t$, is called a Bussmann function.

(a) For each point $p \in \tilde{M}$ there is a point $\eta_s(p)$ in S , which is the unique point of S nearest p , and the following map is a homeomorphism:

$$\phi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow S \times R, \quad \phi(p) = (\eta_s(p), f(p)).$$

(b) If g is an isometry of \tilde{M} such that $g[\gamma] = [\gamma]$ (g leaves invariant the horosphere foliation related to $[\gamma]$) then $gS = S$ or g is axial and the axes of g belongs to $[\gamma]$.

PROOF. For (a) see [7], p. 57, 58, Propositions 3.2 and 3.4. Proof of (b) is as like as the proof of Lemma 3 in [3]. \square

Lemma 2.7 (See [13]). *Let M be a Riemannian manifold of negative curvature, $n = \dim M \geq 3$, and \tilde{M} be its universal covering. If there is a geodesic γ on \tilde{M} and an element δ in the center of the deck transformation group Δ , such that $\delta\gamma = \gamma$, then M is diffeomorphic to one of the following spaces*

$$S^1 \times R^{n-1}, \quad B^2 \times R^{n-2}$$

where, B^2 is the mobius band.

3. Results

In the present section we study topological properties of some cohomogeneity two Riemannian manifolds of negative curvature. We refer to [2] and [11] for definitions and details about singular and principal orbits of the actions of Lie groups on manifolds.

Theorem 3.1 (See [13]). *Let M^{n+2} be a complete negatively curved and non-simply connected Riemannian manifold which is of cohomogeneity two under the action of a closed and connected Lie subgroup of isometries. If $\text{Fix}(G, M) \neq \emptyset$ then*

- (a) M is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times R^{n+1}$ or $B^2 \times R^n$ (B^2 is the mobius band).
- (b) $\text{Fix}(G, M)$ is diffeomorphic to S^1 .
- (c) Each principal orbit is diffeomorphic to S^n .

Remark 3.2. By Theorem 3.7 (a) in [15], if M is a non-simply connected and complete Riemannian manifold which is of cohomogeneity one under the action of a connected and closed subgroup of isometries, and if there is not any singular orbit, then there are positive integers p, s such that M is diffeomorphic to $R^p \times R^{s+1}$ and each orbit is diffeomorphic to $R^p \times R^s$, $p + s = \dim M - 1$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let M^{n+2} be a complete Riemannian manifold of strictly negative curvature and let G be a closed, connected and non-semisimple subgroup of isometries of M^{n+2} . If M is a cohomogeneity two G -manifold such that the singular orbits (if there is any) are fixed points of G . Then one of the following is true:*

- (1) M is simply connected (diffeomorphic to R^{n+2}).
- (2) M is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times R^{n+1}$ or $B^2 \times R^n$ (B^2 is the mobius band). Each principal orbit is diffeomorphic to S^n . Union of singular orbits ($\text{Fix}(G, M)$) is diffeomorphic to S^1 .
- (3) M is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times R^2$ or $B^2 \times R$. All orbits are diffeomorphic to S^1 .
- (4) $\pi_1(M) = Z^p$ for some positive integer p , and all orbits are diffeomorphic to $R^{n-p} \times T^p$.
- (5) M is a parabolic manifold homeomorphic to $M_1 \times R$. Where, M_1 is a cohomogeneity one G -manifold and there is a horosphere S in the universal Riemannian covering of M such that M_1 is diffeomorphic to $\frac{S}{\pi_1(M)}$.

PROOF. Following Remark 2.5, let \widetilde{M} be the universal Riemannian covering manifold of M with the deck transformation group Δ and let \widetilde{G} be the corresponding connected covering of G which acts isometrically and by cohomogeneity two on \widetilde{M} . If $\text{Fix}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{M}) \neq \emptyset$ then $\text{Fix}(G, M) \neq \emptyset$, so by Theorem 3.1, we get the parts (1) or (2) of the theorem. Now, we suppose that

$$\text{Fix}(\widetilde{G}, \widetilde{M}) = \emptyset \quad (**)$$

By assumptions of the theorem, if there is a singular orbit, it must be a fixed point, so by (*) all \widetilde{G} -orbits in \widetilde{M} must be n -dimensional. Since G is non-semisimple, \widetilde{G} is non-semisimple. Let H be a solvable normal subgroup of \widetilde{G} and put $N = \text{Fix}(H, \widetilde{M})$. We consider following two cases separately:

- (a) $N = \emptyset$
- (b) $N \neq \emptyset$

(a): By Fact 2.3, one of the following is true:

(a-i) There is a unique geodesic γ such that $H(\gamma) = \gamma$.

(a-ii) There is a unique class of asymptotic geodesics $[\gamma]$ such that $H[\gamma] = [\gamma]$.

(a-i): From normality of H in \tilde{G} and uniqueness of γ , we get that $\tilde{G}(\gamma) = \gamma$. Since $\text{Fix}(\tilde{G}, \tilde{M}) = \emptyset$ then γ is a \tilde{G} -orbit in \tilde{M} . But all orbits are n -dimensional and the orbit γ is of dimension one. Thus all orbits are of dimension one and $n = 1$. Each $\delta \in \Delta$ maps \tilde{G} -orbits onto \tilde{G} -orbits. So $\delta(\gamma)$ is a \tilde{G} -orbit. Since by Corollary 2.4, γ is the unique geodesic orbit, then $\delta(\gamma) = \gamma$. Thus $\Delta\gamma = \gamma$ and $\pi_1(M) = Z$ (see [6], Theorem 3.4 pa. 261). Now, by Lemma 2.7, M is diffeomorphic to $S^1 \times R^2$ or $B^2 \times R$. Since all G -orbits of M are regular (and diffeomorphic to each other) and the G -orbit $\frac{\gamma}{\Delta}$ is diffeomorphic to $\frac{\gamma}{Z} = \frac{R}{Z} = S^1$, all G -orbits are diffeomorphic to S^1 . This is the part (3) of the theorem.

(a-ii) As like as (a-i), we get from normality of H in \tilde{M} and uniqueness of $[\gamma]$ that $\tilde{G}[\gamma] = [\gamma]$. First, suppose that there is an axial element $\delta \in \Delta$ and let λ be the unique geodesic such that $\delta\lambda = \lambda$. If $g \in \tilde{G}$, $\delta(g\lambda) = g\delta\lambda = g\lambda$. Then, we get from uniqueness of λ that $g\lambda = \lambda$. So, λ is a \tilde{G} -orbit and we get part (3) of the theorem in the same way as (a-i). Now, suppose that all elements of Δ are non-axial. Since elements of Δ and \tilde{G} are commutative we get that $\Delta[\gamma] = [\gamma]$. Non-identity elements of Δ are fixed point free, so they are parabolic and M is a parabolic manifold. By Remark 2.6, for each $\delta \in \Delta$ and each horosphere S related to the asymptotic class $[\gamma]$, $\delta S = S$. Fix a horosphere S related to $[\gamma]$. Put $M_1 = \frac{S}{\Delta}$ and let η_s and f be the maps defined in Remark 2.6. The homeomorphism $\phi : \tilde{M} \rightarrow S \times R$ mentioned in Remark 2.6, induces a homeomorphism $\phi_1 : \frac{\tilde{M}}{\Delta} = M \rightarrow \frac{S}{\Delta} \times R = M_1 \times R$, such that $\phi_1(x) = (\kappa\eta_s(\tilde{x}), f(\tilde{x}))$, $\tilde{x} \in \kappa^{-1}(x)$. Now, we show that for each $g \in \tilde{G}$, $gS = S$. If $gS \neq S$ then we get from Remark 2.6, that g is axial isometry and there is a unique geodesic λ in $[\gamma]$ such that g translates it. Since the members of Δ and g are commutative, we get from uniqueness of λ that for each $\delta \in \Delta$, $\delta\lambda = \lambda$. But intersection of λ and S is a one point set. So, we get from $\delta S = S$ that δ has a fixed point, which is a contradiction for non-identity δ . Therefore, $gS = S$. This means that all \tilde{G} -orbits of \tilde{M} are included in horospheres. Thus, S is a cohomogeneity one \tilde{G} -manifold and $\frac{S}{\Delta}$ is a cohomogeneity one G -manifold. This is part (5) of the theorem.

(b): N is a nontrivial totally geodesic submanifold of \tilde{M} . If $g \in \tilde{G}$, $h \in H$ and $x \in N$ then

$$g^{-1}hg(x) = x \Rightarrow hg(x) = g(x) \Rightarrow g(x) \in N$$

Thus $\tilde{G}(N) = N$. All orbits are of dimension n . So if $x \in N$ then

$$n = \dim \tilde{G}(x) \leq \dim N < \dim \tilde{M} = n + 2 \Rightarrow \dim N = n \text{ or } n + 1$$

Now, consider two cases $\dim N = n$ and $\dim N = n + 1$ separately.

(b-j) $\dim N = n$.

In this case, N is a \tilde{G} -orbit. If $n = 1$, in a similar way in (a-i) we get part (3) of the theorem. Suppose $n \geq 2$ and put $N_1 = \kappa(N)$. By Corollary 2.4, N is the unique totally geodesic \tilde{G} -orbit in \tilde{M} . Thus, for each $\delta \in \Delta$, $\delta(N) = N$, so $N_1 = \frac{N}{\Delta}$. But N_1 is a totally geodesic G -orbit in M , so it must be simply connected (since by Kobayashi's theorem in [10] homogeneous manifolds of negative curvature are simply connected). Therefore, Δ is trivial and M is simply connected. This is the part (1) of the theorem.

(b-jj) $\dim N = n + 1$

Since all orbits are of dimension n , N is a negatively curved cohomogeneity one \tilde{G} -manifold. Consider following two cases:

(b-jj-1): There is a $\delta \in \Delta$ and $x \in \tilde{M}$ such that $\delta\tilde{G}(x) \neq \tilde{G}(x)$.

(b-jj-2): For each $\delta \in \Delta$ and $x \in \tilde{M}$, $\delta\tilde{G}(x) = \tilde{G}(x)$.

(b-jj-1) From the fact that δ maps orbits on to orbits, we get that $\delta\tilde{G}(x) = \tilde{G}(y)$, $y \in \tilde{M}$ (i.e., $\tilde{G}(x) \cap \tilde{G}(y) = \emptyset$). By Proposition 4.2 in [15], the minimum point set of the following function is at most the image of a geodesic

$$f_\delta : \tilde{M} \rightarrow R, \quad f_\delta(x) = d^2(x, \delta(x))$$

So we can find a geodesic γ such that the image of γ is not the minimum point set of f_δ and $\gamma(0) \in G(x)$, $\gamma(1) \in G(y)$. Put $g(t) = f_\delta(\gamma(t))$. Since the elements of Δ and \tilde{G} are commutative, f_δ is constant along orbits (because $f_\delta(gx) = d^2(gx, \delta gx) = d^2(gx, g\delta x) = d^2(x, \delta x) = f_\delta(x)$). Since $\delta(\gamma(0)) \in G(\gamma(1))$, then $f_\delta(\delta\gamma(0)) = f_\delta(\gamma(1))$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} g(0) &= f_\delta(\gamma(0)) = d^2(\gamma(0), \delta(\gamma(0))) \\ &= d^2(\delta(\gamma(0)), \delta^2(\gamma(0))) = f_\delta(\delta\gamma(0)) = f_\delta(\gamma(1)) = g(1) \end{aligned}$$

Since g is strictly convex (see [1]), it has a unique minimum point $t_0 \in (0, 1)$. Therefore, $\tilde{G}(\gamma(t_0))$ is the minimum point set of f_δ , which must be a geodesic. Then $\tilde{G}(\gamma(t_0))$ is a (geodesic) one dimensional \tilde{G} -orbit. Then in a similar way in (a - i) we get part (3) of the theorem.

(b-jj-2): Put $N_1 = \kappa(N)$. Since for each $\delta \in \Delta$, $\delta(N) = N$ then $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(N_1)$. N_1 is a cohomogeneity one G -manifold of negative curvature, without singular orbits. So, by Remark 3.2, each G -orbit in N_1 is diffeomorphic to $T^p \times R^s$, $p + s = \dim N - 1 = n$, and N_1 is diffeomorphic to $T^p \times R^{s+1}$. These yield to the part (4) of the theorem. □

References

- [1] R. L. BISHOP and B. O'NEILL, Manifolds of negative curvature, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **145** (1969), 1–49.
- [2] G. E. BREDON, Introduction to compact transformation groups, *Academic Press, New York, London*, 1972.
- [3] W. BYERS, Isometry group of manifolds of negative curvature, *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **54** (1976), 281–285.
- [4] A. J. DI SCALA and C. OLMOS, The geometry of homogeneous submanifolds of hyperbolic space, *Math. Z.* **237** (2001), 199–209.
- [5] A. J. DI SCALA and C. OLMOS, A geometric proof of the Karpelevich-Mostow theorem, *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.* **41** (2009), 634–638.
- [6] M. P. DO CARMO, Riemannian Geometry, *Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Berlin*, 1992.
- [7] P. EBERLIN and B. O'NEIL, Visibility manifolds, *Pacific J. Math.* **46** (1973), 45–109.
- [8] P. EBERLIN, Geodesic follows in manifolds of nonpositive curvature, www.math.unc.edu/faculty/pbe/ams.
- [9] F. I. KARPELEVICH, Surfaces of transitivity of semisimple group of motions of a symmetric space, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, n. Ser.* **93** (1953), 401–404.
- [10] S. KOBAYASHI, Homogeneous Riemannian manifolds of negative curvature, *Toho. Math. J.* **14** (1962), 413–415.
- [11] P. W. MICHOR, Isometric actions of Lie groups and invariants, Lecture course at the University of Vienna, <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/michor/tgbook.ps>.
- [12] R. MIRZAIE, On negatively curved G -manifolds of low cohomogeneity, *Hokkaido Math. J.* **38** (2009), 797–803.
- [13] R. MIRZAIE, On Riemannian manifolds of constant negative curvature, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* **48** (2011), 23–31.
- [14] B. O'NEIL, Semi Riemannian geometry with applications to Relativity, *Academic Press, New York, Berkeley*, 1983.
- [15] F. PODESTA and A. SPIRO, Some topological properties of cohomogeneity one Riemannian manifolds with negative curvature, *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.* **14** (1996), 69–79.

REZA MIRZAIE
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 FACULTY OF SCIENCES
 IMAM KHOMEINI INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY (IKIU)
 QAZVIN
 IRAN

E-mail: mirzaie@ikiu.ac.ir

(Received February 14, 2011; revised June 4, 2012)