Publ. Math. Debrecen 82/3-4 (2013), 641–650 DOI: 10.5486/PMD.2013.5395

### Mixed-type reverse order laws for generalized inverses in rings with involution

By DIJANA MOSIĆ (Niš) and DRAGAN S. DJORDJEVIĆ (Niš)

**Abstract.** We investigate mixed-type reverse order laws for the Moore–Penrose inverse in rings with involution. We extend some well-known results to more general settings, and also prove some new results.

#### 1. Introduction

Many authors have studied the equivalent conditions for the reverse order law  $(ab)^{\dagger} = b^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}$  to hold in setting of matrices, operators,  $C^*$ -algebras or rings [2], [9], [3], [5], [8], [10], [12], [16], [17]. This formula cannot trivially be extended to the other generalized inverses of the product ab. Since the reverse order law  $(ab)^{\dagger} = b^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}$  does not always holds, it is not easy to simplify various expressions that involve the Moore–Penrose inverse of a product. In addition to  $(ab)^{\dagger} = b^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}$ ,  $(ab)^{\dagger}$  may be expressed as  $(ab)^{\dagger} = b^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}$ ,  $(ab)^{\dagger} = b^{*}(a^{*}abb^{*})^{\dagger}a^{*}$ ,  $(ab)^{\dagger} =$  $b^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} - b^{\dagger}[(1-bb^{\dagger})(1-a^{\dagger}a)]^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}$ , etc. These equalities are called mixed-type reverse order laws for the Moore–Penrose inverse of a product and some of them are in fact equivalent (see [4], [12], [14]). In this paper we study necessary and sufficient conditions for mixed-type reverse order laws of the form:  $(ab)^{\dagger} = (a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}$ ,  $(ab)^{\dagger} = b^{\dagger}(abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}$ ,  $(ab)^{\dagger} = b^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}$ ,  $(ab)^{\dagger} = b^{*}(abb^{*})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}$  and  $(ab)^{\dagger} = b^{*}(a^{*}abb^{*})^{\dagger}a^{*}$  in rings with involution.

Let  $\mathcal{R}$  be an associative ring with the unit 1. An involution  $a \mapsto a^*$  in a ring

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W10, 15A09, 46L05.

Key words and phrases: Moore-Penrose inverse, reverse order law, ring with involution.

The authors are supported by the Ministry of Science, Republic of Serbia, grant no. 174007.

 $\mathcal{R}$  is an anti-isomorphism of degree 2, that is,

$$(a^*)^* = a, \quad (a+b)^* = a^* + b^*, \quad (ab)^* = b^*a^*.$$

An element  $a \in \mathcal{R}$  is selfadjoint if  $a^* = a$ .

The Moore–Penrose inverse (or MP-inverse) of  $a \in \mathcal{R}$  is the element  $b \in \mathcal{R}$ , such that the following equations hold [13]:

(1) 
$$aba = a$$
, (2)  $bab = b$ , (3)  $(ab)^* = ab$ , (4)  $(ba)^* = ba$ .

There is at most one *b* such that above conditions hold (see [13]), and such *b* is denoted by  $a^{\dagger}$ . The set of all Moore–Penrose invertible elements of  $\mathcal{R}$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{R}^{\dagger}$ . If *a* is invertible, then  $a^{\dagger}$  coincides with the ordinary inverse of *a*.

If  $\delta \subset \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and b satisfies the equations (i) for all  $i \in \delta$ , then b is an  $\delta$ -inverse of a. The set of all  $\delta$ -inverse of a is denote by  $a\{\delta\}$ . Notice that  $a\{1, 2, 3, 4\} = \{a^{\dagger}\}$ . If  $a\{1\} \neq \emptyset$ , then a is regular.

Now, we state the following useful result.

**Theorem 1.1** ([6], [11]). For any  $a \in \mathcal{R}^{\dagger}$ , the following is satisfied:

(a) 
$$(a^{\intercal})^{\intercal} = a;$$

- (b)  $(a^*)^{\dagger} = (a^{\dagger})^*;$
- (c)  $(a^*a)^{\dagger} = a^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger})^*;$
- (d)  $(aa^*)^{\dagger} = (a^{\dagger})^* a^{\dagger};$
- (e)  $a^* = a^{\dagger}aa^* = a^*aa^{\dagger};$
- (f)  $a^{\dagger} = (a^*a)^{\dagger}a^* = a^*(aa^*)^{\dagger};$
- (g)  $(a^*)^{\dagger} = a(a^*a)^{\dagger} = (aa^*)^{\dagger}a.$

The following result is well-known for complex matrices [1] and linear bounded Hilbert space operators [18], and it is equally true in rings with involution.

**Lemma 1.1.** If  $a, b \in \mathcal{R}$  such that a is regular, then

- (a)  $b \in a\{1,3\} \iff a^*ab = a^*;$
- (b)  $b \in a\{1,4\} \iff baa^* = a^*.$

PROOF. (a) Let  $b \in a\{1,3\}$ , then we get  $a^*ab = a^*(ab)^* = (aba)^* = a^*$ . Conversely, the equality  $a^*ab = a^*$  implies

$$(ab)^* = b^*a^* = b^*a^*ab = (ab)^*ab$$
is selfadjoint

and

$$aba = (ab)^*a = (a^*ab)^* = (a^*)^* = a.$$

Hence,  $b \in a\{1, 3\}$ .

Similarly, we can verify the second statement.

The reverse-order law  $(ab)^{\dagger} = b^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}$  was first studied by GALPERIN and WAKSMAN [7]. A Hilbert space version of their result was given by ISU-MINO [9]. Many results concerning the reverse order law  $(ab)^{\dagger} = b^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}$  for complex matrices appeared in TIAN's papers [14] and [15], where the author used mostly properties of the rank of a complex matrices. In [12], a set of equivalent conditions for this reverse order rule for the Moore–Penrose inverse in the setting of  $C^*$ -algebra is studied.

XIONG and QIN [18] investigated the following mixed-type reverse order laws for the Moore–Penrose inverse of a product of Hilbert space operators:  $(ab)^{\dagger} = (a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}, (ab)^{\dagger} = b^{\dagger}(abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}, (ab)^{\dagger} = b^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}$ . They used the technique of block operator matrices. We extend results from [18] to more general settings.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we extend the results from [18] to settings of rings with involution without the hypothesis corresponding to  $R(A^*AB) \subseteq R(B)$ . In Section 3, we consider the following mixed-type reverse order laws for the Moore–Penrose inverse in rings with involution:  $(ab)^{\dagger} = (a^*ab)^{\dagger}a^*, (ab)^{\dagger} = b^*(abb^*)^{\dagger}$  and  $(ab)^{\dagger} = b^*(a^*abb^*)^{\dagger}a^*$ . In this paper we apply a purely algebraic technique.

# 2. Reverse order laws $(a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} = (ab)^{\dagger}, b^{\dagger}(abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = (ab)^{\dagger}$ and $b^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} = (ab)^{\dagger}$

In this section, we consider necessary and sufficient conditions for reverse order laws  $(a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} = (ab)^{\dagger}$ ,  $b^{\dagger}(abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = (ab)^{\dagger}$  and  $b^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} = (ab)^{\dagger}$  to be satisfied in rings with involution. The results in [18] for linear bounded Hilbert space operators are generalized, since we do not use any e hypothesis corresponding to the condition  $R(A^*AB) \subseteq R(B)$  from [18].

**Theorem 2.1.** If  $a, b, a^{\dagger}ab \in \mathcal{R}^{\dagger}$ , then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $a^*ab\mathcal{R} \subseteq a^\dagger ab\mathcal{R};$
- (2)  $(a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} \in (ab)\{1,3\};$
- (3)  $(a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} = (ab)^{\dagger};$
- (4)  $(a^{\dagger}ab)\{1,3\} \cdot a\{1,3\} \subseteq (ab)\{1,3\}.$

PROOF. (2)  $\Longrightarrow$  (1): Since  $(a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} \in (ab)\{1,3\}$ , then  $ab = ab(a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}ab$  and

$$ab(a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} = (ab(a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger})^{*} = (aa^{\dagger}ab(a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger})^{*} = (a^{\dagger})^{*}a^{\dagger}ab(a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^{*},$$

which gives

$$a^*ab = a^*(ab(a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger})ab = a^*(a^{\dagger})^*a^{\dagger}ab(a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^*ab$$
$$= a^{\dagger}aa^{\dagger}ab(a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^*ab = a^{\dagger}ab(a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^*ab.$$

Therefore,  $a^*ab\mathcal{R} = a^{\dagger}ab(a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^*ab\mathcal{R} \subseteq a^{\dagger}ab\mathcal{R}$ .

(1)  $\Longrightarrow$  (4): The assumption  $a^*ab\mathcal{R} \subseteq a^\dagger ab\mathcal{R}$  implies that  $a^*ab = a^\dagger abx$ , for some  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ . Now, for any  $(a^\dagger ab)^{(1,3)} \in (a^\dagger ab)\{1,3\}$  and  $a^{(1,3)} \in a\{1,3\}$ ,

$$a^*ab = a^{\dagger}abx = a^{\dagger}ab(a^{\dagger}ab)^{(1,3)}(a^{\dagger}abx) = a^{\dagger}ab(a^{\dagger}ab)^{(1,3)}a^*ab.$$
(1)

Applying the involution to (1), we obtain

$$b^*a^*a = b^*a^*aa^{\dagger}ab(a^{\dagger}ab)^{(1,3)} = b^*a^*ab(a^{\dagger}ab)^{(1,3)}.$$
(2)

Multiplying the equality (2) by  $a^{(1,3)}$  from the right side, we get

$$b^*a^* = b^*a^*ab(a^\dagger ab)^{(1,3)}a^{(1,3)},\tag{3}$$

by  $a^*aa^{(1,3)} = a^*(aa^{(1,3)})^* = (aa^{(1,3)}a)^* = a^*$ . From the equality (3) and Lemma 1.1, we deduce that  $(a^{\dagger}ab)^{(1,3)}a^{(1,3)} \in (ab)\{1,3\}$ , for any  $(a^{\dagger}ab)^{(1,3)} \in (a^{\dagger}ab)\{1,3\}$  and  $a^{(1,3)} \in a\{1,3\}$ . So,  $(a^{\dagger}ab)\{1,3\} \cdot a\{1,3\} \subseteq (ab)\{1,3\}$ .

(4)  $\Longrightarrow$  (2): Obviously, because  $(a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger} \in (a^{\dagger}ab)\{1,3\}$  and  $a^{\dagger} \in a\{1,3\}$ .

 $(2) \iff (3)$ : It is easy to check this equivalence.

Using Lemma 1.1(b), we can prove the following theorem in the same way as Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.2.** If  $a, b, abb^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{R}^{\dagger}$ , then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $bb^*a^*\mathcal{R} \subseteq bb^\dagger a^*\mathcal{R};$
- (2)  $b^{\dagger}(abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger} \in (ab)\{1,4\};$
- (3)  $b^{\dagger}(abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger} = (ab)^{\dagger};$
- (4)  $b\{1,4\} \cdot (abb^{\dagger})\{1,4\} \subseteq (ab)\{1,4\}.$

In the following result, we consider some equivalent conditions for mixed-type reverse order law  $(ab)^{\dagger} = b^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}$  to hold.

**Theorem 2.3.** If  $a, b, a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{R}^{\dagger}$ , then the following statements are equivalent:

(1)  $a^*ab\mathcal{R} \subseteq a^\dagger ab\mathcal{R}$  and  $bb^*a^*\mathcal{R} \subseteq bb^\dagger a^*\mathcal{R}$ ;

- (2)  $b^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} \in (ab)\{1,3,4\};$
- (3)  $b^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} = (ab)^{\dagger};$
- (4)  $b\{1,3\} \cdot (a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})\{1,3\} \cdot a\{1,3\} \subseteq (ab)\{1,3\}$  and  $b\{1,4\} \cdot (a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})\{1,4\} \cdot a\{1,4\} \subseteq (ab)\{1,4\}.$

PROOF. (2) 
$$\Longrightarrow$$
 (1): The condition  $b^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} \in (ab)\{3\}$  gives  
 $abb^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} = (abb^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger})^{*} = (aa^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger})^{*}$   
 $= (a^{\dagger})^{*}a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{*}.$ 

Using this equality and the hypothesis  $b^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} \in (ab)\{1\}$ , we have

$$\begin{split} a^*ab &= a^*(abb^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger})ab = a^*(a^{\dagger})^*a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^*ab \\ &= a^{\dagger}aa^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^*ab = a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^*ab, \end{split}$$

which yields  $a^*ab\mathcal{R} \subseteq a^\dagger ab\mathcal{R}$ .

Similarly, we can prove that  $b^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} \in (ab)\{1,4\}$  implies  $bb^*a^*\mathcal{R} \subseteq bb^{\dagger}a^*\mathcal{R}$ .

(1)  $\implies$  (4): From  $a^*ab\mathcal{R} \subseteq a^\dagger ab\mathcal{R}$ , by  $b\mathcal{R} = bb^\dagger \mathcal{R}$ , we get  $a^*abb^\dagger \mathcal{R} \subseteq a^\dagger abb^\dagger \mathcal{R}$ . Thus,  $a^*abb^\dagger = a^\dagger abb^\dagger x$ , for some  $x \in \mathcal{R}$ . Then, for any  $(a^\dagger abb^\dagger)^{(1,3)} \in (a^\dagger abb^\dagger)\{1,3\}, a^{(1,3)} \in a\{1,3\}$  and  $b^{(1,3)} \in b\{1,3\}$ , we obtain

$$a^{*}abb^{\dagger} = a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{(1,3)}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger}x) = a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{(1,3)}a^{*}abb^{\dagger}.$$
 (4)

If we apply the involution to (4), we see that

$$bb^{\dagger}a^{*}a = bb^{\dagger}a^{*}aa^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{(1,3)} = bb^{\dagger}a^{*}abb^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{(1,3)}.$$
 (5)

Multiplying the equality (5) from the left side by  $b^*$  and from the right side by  $a^{(1,3)}$ , it follows

$$b^*a^* = b^*a^*abb^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{(1,3)}a^{(1,3)}$$

Notice that this equality and

$$bb^{(1,3)} = (bb^{(1,3)})^* = (bb^{\dagger}bb^{(1,3)})^* = bb^{(1,3)}bb^{\dagger} = bb^{\dagger}$$
(6)

imply

$$b^*a^* = b^*a^*abb^{(1,3)}(a^\dagger abb^\dagger)^{(1,3)}a^{(1,3)}.$$
(7)

By (7) and Lemma 1.1, we observe that  $b^{(1,3)}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{(1,3)}a^{(1,3)} \in (ab)\{1,3\}$ , for any  $(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{(1,3)} \in (a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})\{1,3\}$ ,  $a^{(1,3)} \in a\{1,3\}$  and  $b^{(1,3)} \in b\{1,3\}$ . Hence,  $b\{1,3\} \cdot (a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})\{1,3\} \cdot a\{1,3\} \subseteq (ab)\{1,3\}$ .

In the similar way, we can show that  $bb^*a^*\mathcal{R} \subseteq bb^\dagger a^*\mathcal{R}$  gives  $b^*a^* = b^{(1,4)}(a^\dagger abb^\dagger)^{(1,4)}a^{(1,4)}abb^*a^*$ , for any  $(a^\dagger abb^\dagger)^{(1,4)} \in (a^\dagger abb^\dagger)\{1,4\}$ ,  $a^{(1,4)} \in a\{1,4\}$  and  $b^{(1,4)} \in b\{1,4\}$ , i.e.  $b\{1,4\} \cdot (a^\dagger abb^\dagger)\{1,4\} \cdot a\{1,4\} \subseteq (ab)\{1,4\}$ .

$$(4) \Longrightarrow (2) \iff (3)$$
: Obviously.

# 3. Reverse order laws $(a^*ab)^{\dagger}a^* = (ab)^{\dagger}$ , $b^*(abb^*)^{\dagger} = (ab)^{\dagger}$ and $b^*(a^*abb^*)^{\dagger}a^* = (ab)^{\dagger}$

In this section, we give the equivalent conditions related to reverse order laws  $(a^*ab)^{\dagger}a^* = (ab)^{\dagger}, b^*(abb^*)^{\dagger} = (ab)^{\dagger}$  and  $b^*(a^*abb^*)^{\dagger}a^* = (ab)^{\dagger}$  in settings of rings with involution.

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $a, b, a^*ab \in \mathcal{R}^{\dagger}$ , then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $a^{\dagger}ab\mathcal{R} \subseteq a^*ab\mathcal{R};$
- (2)  $(a^*ab)^{\dagger}a^* \in (ab)\{1,3\};$
- (3)  $(a^*ab)^{\dagger}a^* = (ab)^{\dagger};$
- (4)  $(a^*ab)\{1,3\} \cdot (a^{\dagger})^*\{1,3\} \subseteq (ab)\{1,3\}.$

PROOF. (2)  $\Longrightarrow$  (1): Using the assumption  $(a^*ab)^{\dagger}a^* \in (ab)\{1,3\}$ , we have

$$ab(a^*ab)^{\dagger}a^* = (ab(a^*ab)^{\dagger}a^*)^* = (aa^{\dagger}ab(a^*ab)^{\dagger}a^*)^*$$
$$= ((a^{\dagger})^*a^*ab(a^*ab)^{\dagger}a^*)^* = aa^*ab(a^*ab)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger},$$

and

$$a^{\dagger}ab = a^{\dagger}(ab(a^*ab)^{\dagger}a^*)ab = a^{\dagger}aa^*ab(a^*ab)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}ab = a^*ab(a^*ab)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}ab.$$

Thus, the condition (1) is satisfied.

 $(1) \Longrightarrow (4)$ : First, by the inclusion  $a^{\dagger}ab\mathcal{R} \subseteq a^*ab\mathcal{R}$ , we conclude that  $a^{\dagger}ab = a^*aby$ , for some  $y \in \mathcal{R}$ . Further, for any  $(a^*ab)^{(1,3)} \in (a^*ab)\{1,3\}$  and  $a' \in (a^{\dagger})^*\{1,3\}$ , we get

$$a^{\dagger}ab = a^*aby = a^*ab(a^*ab)^{(1,3)}(a^*aby) = a^*ab(a^*ab)^{(1,3)}a^{\dagger}ab.$$
(8)

When we apply the involution to (8), we observe that

$$b^*a^{\dagger}a = b^*a^{\dagger}aa^*ab(a^*ab)^{(1,3)} = b^*a^*ab(a^*ab)^{(1,3)}.$$
(9)

Since  $a' \in (a^{\dagger})^* \{1, 3\}$ , by the equality (6) and Theorem 1.1,

$$a^{\dagger}aa' = a^*[(a^{\dagger})^*a'] = a^*(a^{\dagger})^*[(a^{\dagger})^*]^{\dagger} = a^{\dagger}aa^* = a^*.$$
(10)

If we multiply the equality (9) from the right side by a' and use (10), we obtain

$$b^*a^* = b^*a^*ab(a^*ab)^{(1,3)}a',$$

which implies, by Lemma 1.1,  $(a^*ab)^{(1,3)}a' \in (ab)\{1,3\}$ , for any  $(a^*ab)^{(1,3)} \in (a^*ab)\{1,3\}$  and  $a' \in (a^{\dagger})^*\{1,3\}$ , that is, the condition (4) holds.

647

(4)  $\implies$  (2): By Theorem 1.1,  $a^* = [((a^{\dagger})^{\dagger}]^* = [((a^{\dagger})^*]^{\dagger} \in (a^{\dagger})^* \{1,3\}$  and this implication follows.

$$(2) \iff (3)$$
: Obviously.

In the same manner as in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we can verify the following results.

**Theorem 3.2.** If  $a, b, abb^* \in \mathcal{R}^{\dagger}$ , then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $bb^{\dagger}a^{*}\mathcal{R} \subseteq bb^{*}a^{*}\mathcal{R};$
- (2)  $b^*(abb^*)^{\dagger} \in (ab)\{1,4\};$
- (3)  $b^*(abb^*)^{\dagger} = (ab)^{\dagger};$
- $(4) \ (b^{\dagger})^* \{1,4\} \cdot (abb^*) \{1,4\} \subseteq (ab)\{1,4\}.$

Necessary and sufficient conditions related to the reverse order law  $(ab)^{\dagger} = b^*(a^*abb^*)^{\dagger}a^*$  are studied in the next result.

**Theorem 3.3.** If  $a, b, a^*abb^* \in \mathcal{R}^{\dagger}$ , then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $a^{\dagger}ab\mathcal{R} \subseteq a^*ab\mathcal{R}$  and  $bb^{\dagger}a^*\mathcal{R} \subseteq bb^*a^*\mathcal{R}$ ;
- (2)  $b^*(a^*abb^*)^{\dagger}a^* \in (ab)\{1,3,4\};$
- (3)  $b^*(a^*abb^*)^{\dagger}a^* = (ab)^{\dagger};$
- (4)  $(b^{\dagger})^* \{1,3\} \cdot (a^*abb^*) \{1,3\} \cdot (a^{\dagger})^* \{1,3\} \subseteq (ab) \{1,3\}$  and  $(b^{\dagger})^* \{1,4\} \cdot (a^*abb^*) \{1,4\} \cdot (a^{\dagger})^* \{1,4\} \subseteq (ab) \{1,4\}.$

PROOF. (2)  $\Longrightarrow$  (1): From  $b^*(a^*abb^*)^{\dagger}a^* \in (ab)\{3\}$ ,

$$abb^{*}(a^{*}abb^{*})^{\dagger}a^{*} = (abb^{*}(a^{*}abb^{*})^{\dagger}a^{*})^{*} = ((a^{\dagger})^{*}a^{*}abb^{*}(a^{*}abb^{*})^{\dagger}a^{*})^{*}$$
$$= aa^{*}abb^{*}(a^{*}abb^{*})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}.$$

Now, by  $b^*(a^*abb^*)^{\dagger}a^* \in (ab)\{1\},\$ 

$$a^{\dagger}ab = a^{\dagger}(abb^*(a^*abb^*)^{\dagger}a^*)ab = a^{\dagger}aa^*abb^*(a^*abb^*)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}ab$$
$$= a^*abb^*(a^*abb^*)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger}ab$$

implying  $a^{\dagger}ab\mathcal{R} \subseteq a^*ab\mathcal{R}$ .

Analogously, we can prove the implication  $b^*(a^*abb^*)^{\dagger}a^* \in (ab)\{1,4\} \implies bb^{\dagger}a^*\mathcal{R} \subseteq bb^*a^*\mathcal{R}.$ 

(1)  $\Longrightarrow$  (4): If  $a^{\dagger}ab\mathcal{R} \subseteq a^*ab\mathcal{R}$ , by  $b\mathcal{R} = bb^*\mathcal{R}$ , we see  $a^{\dagger}abb^*\mathcal{R} \subseteq a^*abb^*\mathcal{R}$ and  $a^{\dagger}abb^* = a^*abb^*y$ , for some  $y \in \mathcal{R}$ . For any  $(a^*ab)^{(1,3)} \in (a^*ab)\{1,3\}$ ,  $a' \in (a^{\dagger})^*\{1,3\}$  and  $b' \in (b^{\dagger})^*\{1,3\}$ , then

$$a^{\dagger}abb^{*} = a^{*}abb^{*}(a^{*}abb^{*})^{(1,3)}(a^{*}abb^{*}y) = a^{*}abb^{*}(a^{*}abb^{*})^{(1,3)}a^{\dagger}abb^{*}.$$
 (11)

Applying the involution to (11), it follows

$$bb^*a^{\dagger}a = bb^*a^{\dagger}aa^*abb^*(a^*abb^*)^{(1,3)} = bb^*a^*abb^*(a^*abb^*)^{(1,3)}.$$
 (12)

From the condition  $b' \in (b^{\dagger})^* \{1, 3\}$  and the equality (10), we obtain

$$bb' = b(b^{\dagger}bb') = bb^*$$

Now, multiplying (12) from the left side by  $b^{\dagger}$  and from the right side by a', we get, by (10) and the last equality,

$$b^*a^* = b^*a^*abb'(a^*abb^*)^{(1,3)}a'.$$

Thus, by Lemma 1.1,  $b'(a^*abb^*)^{(1,3)}a' \in (ab)\{1,3\}$ , for any  $(a^*ab)^{(1,3)} \in (a^*ab)\{1,3\}$ ,  $a' \in (a^{\dagger})^*\{1,3\}$  and  $b' \in (b^{\dagger})^*\{1,3\}$ , which is equivalent to  $(b^{\dagger})^*\{1,3\} \cdot (a^*abb^*)\{1,3\} \cdot (a^{\dagger})^*\{1,3\} \subseteq (ab)\{1,3\}$ .

Similarly, we show that  $bb^{\dagger}a^*\mathcal{R} \subseteq bb^*a^*\mathcal{R}$  gives  $(b^{\dagger})^*\{1,4\} \cdot (a^*abb^*)\{1,4\} \cdot (a^{\dagger})^*\{1,4\} \subseteq (ab)\{1,4\}.$ 

 $(4) \implies (2) \iff (3)$ : These parts can be check easy.

If we state in the proved results the elements  $a^*$ ,  $(a^{\dagger})^*$ ,  $a^{\dagger}$ ,  $b^*$ ,  $(b^{\dagger})^*$  or  $b^{\dagger}$  instead a or b, we obtain various mixed-type reverse order laws for the Moore–Penrose inverses in rings with involution.

By the results presenting in Section 2 and Section 3, we can get the following consequence.

**Corollary 3.1.** If  $a, b, ab, a^{\dagger}ab, abb^{\dagger}, a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger}, a^{*}ab, abb^{*}, a^{*}abb^{*} \in \mathcal{R}^{\dagger}$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1)  $(ab)^{\dagger} = b^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger};$
- (2)  $(ab)^{\dagger} = (a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} = b^{\dagger}(abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger};$
- (3)  $(ab)^{\dagger} = b^* (a^* abb^*)^{\dagger} a^*;$
- (4)  $(ab)^{\dagger} = (a^*ab)^{\dagger}a^* = b^*(abb^*)^{\dagger};$
- (5)  $a^*ab\mathcal{R} \subseteq a^{\dagger}ab\mathcal{R}$  and  $bb^*a^*\mathcal{R} \subseteq bb^{\dagger}a^*\mathcal{R}$ ;
- (6)  $b^{\dagger}(a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} \in (ab)\{1,3,4\};$

- (7)  $b\{1,3\} \cdot (a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})\{1,3\} \cdot a\{1,3\} \subseteq (ab)\{1,3\}$  and  $b\{1,4\} \cdot (a^{\dagger}abb^{\dagger})\{1,4\} \cdot a\{1,4\} \subseteq (ab)\{1,4\};$
- (8)  $(a^{\dagger}ab)^{\dagger}a^{\dagger} \in (ab)\{1,3\}$  and  $b^{\dagger}(abb^{\dagger})^{\dagger} \in (ab)\{1,4\};$
- (9)  $(a^{\dagger}ab)\{1,3\} \cdot a\{1,3\} \subseteq (ab)\{1,3\}$  and  $b\{1,4\} \cdot (abb^{\dagger})\{1,4\} \subseteq (ab)\{1,4\};$
- (10)  $a^{\dagger}ab\mathcal{R} \subseteq a^*ab\mathcal{R}$  and  $bb^{\dagger}a^*\mathcal{R} \subseteq bb^*a^*\mathcal{R}$ ;
- (11)  $b^*(a^*abb^*)^{\dagger}a^* \in (ab)\{1,3,4\};$
- (12)  $(b^{\dagger})^* \{1,3\} \cdot (a^*abb^*) \{1,3\} \cdot (a^{\dagger})^* \{1,3\} \subseteq (ab) \{1,3\}$  and  $(b^{\dagger})^* \{1,4\} \cdot (a^*abb^*) \{1,4\} \cdot (a^{\dagger})^* \{1,4\} \subseteq (ab) \{1,4\};$
- (13)  $(a^*ab)^{\dagger}a^* \in (ab)\{1,3\}$  and  $b^*(abb^*)^{\dagger} \in (ab)\{1,4\}$ ;
- $(14) \ (a^*ab)\{1,3\} \cdot (a^{\dagger})^*\{1,3\} \subseteq (ab)\{1,3\} \text{ and } (b^{\dagger})^*\{1,4\} \cdot (abb^*)\{1,4\} \subseteq (ab)\{1,4\}.$

PROOF. The equivalences of conditions (1)–(4) follow as in [12, Theorem 2.6] for elements of  $C^*$ -algebras. The rest follows from these equivalences and theorems in Section 2 and Section 3.

#### References

- A. BEN-ISRAEL and T. N. E. GREVILLE, Generalized Inverses: Theory and Applications, 2nd ed., Springer, New York, 2003.
- [2] R. H. BOULDIN, The pseudo-inverse of a product, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 25 (1973), 489–495.
- [3] D. S. DJORDJEVIĆ, Further results on the reverse order law for generalized inverses, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 29 (4) (2007), 1242–1246.
- [4] N.Č. DINČIĆ, D. S. DJORDJEVIĆ and D. MOSIĆ, Mixed-type reverse order law and its equivalencies, *Studia Math.* **204** (2011), 123–136.
- [5] D. S. DJORDJEVIĆ and N.Č. DINČIĆ, Reverse order law for the Moore–Penrose inverse, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 361 (1) (2010), 252–261.
- [6] D. S. DJORDJEVIĆ and V. RAKOČEVIĆ, Lectures on generalized inverses, Faculty of Sciences and Mathematics, University of Niš, 2008.
- [7] A. M. GALPERIN and Z. WAKSMAN, On pseudo-inverses of operator products, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 33 (1980), 123–131.
- [8] T. N. E. GREVILLE, Note on the generalized inverse of a matrix product, SIAM Rev. 8 (1966), 518-521.
- [9] S. IZUMINO, The product of operators with closed range and an extension of the reverse order law, *Tohoku Math. J.* 34 (1982), 43–52.
- [10] J. J. KOLIHA, D. S. DJORDJEVIĆ and D. CVETKOVIĆ, Moore–Penrose inverse in rings with involution, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 426 (2007), 371–381.
- [11] D. MOSIĆ and D.S. DJORDJEVIĆ, Moore–Penrose-invertible normal and Hermitian elements in rings, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 431 (5–7) (2009), 732–745.
- [12] D. MOSIĆ and D. S. DJORDJEVIĆ, Reverse order law for the Moore–Penrose inverse in C\*-algebras, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 22 (2011), 92–111.

650 D. Mosić and D. S. Djordjević : Mixed-type reverse order laws...

- [13] R. PENROSE, A generalized inverse for matrices, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 51 (1955), 406–413.
- [14] Y. TIAN, The reverse-order law  $(AB)^{\dagger} = B^{\dagger}(A^{\dagger}ABB^{\dagger})^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$  and its equivalent equalities, J. Math. Kyoto. Univ. 45 (4) (2005), 841–850.
- [15] Y. TIAN, On mixed-type reverse-order laws for Moore–Penrose inverse of a matrix product, Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 58 (2004), 3103–3116.
- [16] Y. TIAN, Using rank formulas to characterize equalities for Moore–Penrose inverses of matrix product, Appl. Math. Comput. 147 (2004), 581–600.
- [17] Y. TIAN, The equivalence between  $(AB)^{\dagger} = B^{\dagger}A^{\dagger}$  and other mixed-type reverse-order laws, Internat. J. Math. Edu. Sci. Technol. **37**(3) (2006), 331–339.
- [18] Z. XIONG and Y. QIN, Mixed-type reverse-order laws for the generalized inverses of an operator product, Arab. J. Sci. Eng. 36 (2011), 475–486.

DIJANA MOSIĆ UNIVERSITY OF NIŠ FACULTY OF SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS P.O. BOX 224, 18000 NIŠ SERBIA

E-mail: dijana@pmf.ni.ac.rs

DRAGAN S. DJORDJEVIĆ UNIVERSITY OF NIŠ FACULTY OF SCIENCES AND MATHEMATICS P.O. BOX 224, 18000 NIŠ SERBIA

E-mail: dragan@pmf.ni.ac.rs

(Received November 30, 2011)