Publ. Math. Debrecen 89/1-2 (2016), 253–256 DOI: 10.5486/PMD.2016.7578

## On the exponential Diophantine equation $(a^n - 1)(b^n - 1) = x^2$

By KATSUMASA ISHII (Tokyo)

**Abstract.** Let *a* and *b* be two distinct fixed positive integers such that  $\min(a, b) > 1$ . We give a necessary and sufficient condition for Diophantine equation  $(a^n-1)(b^n-1) = x^2$ with  $a \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  and  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  to have positive integer solutions.

Let  $\mathbb{N}^+$  be the set of all positive integers. Let a and b be two distinct fixed positive integers such that  $\min(a, b) > 1$  and consider the exponential Diophantine equation

$$(a^n - 1)(b^n - 1) = x^2, \quad x, n \in \mathbb{N}^+.$$
 (1)

There are many results concerned with (1) (for example, see [2], [3], [4], [5] and [6]). SZALAY [6] considered the case where (a, b) = (2, 3), (2, 5) and  $(2, 2^k)$ , and HAJDU and SZALAY [3] considered the case where (a, b) = (2, 6) and  $(a, a^k)$ . LE [5] treated the more general case, that is where a = 2 and  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , and showed that in this case (1) has no solution.

Recently LAN and SZALAY [4] showed that (1) has no solution if  $a \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$  and  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . In this note we consider the case where  $a \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  and  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ .

Let d be a positive integer which is not a square. Then the Pell equation

$$u^2 - dv^2 = 1, \quad u, v \in \mathbb{N}^+$$

has infinitely many solutions (u, v). If  $(u_1, v_1)$  denotes the smallest non-trivial positive solution, then every positive solution  $(u_k, v_k)$  can be generated by

$$u_k + v_k \sqrt{d} = (u_1 + v_1 \sqrt{d})^k.$$

Our main result is the following.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 11D41, 11D61.

Key words and phrases: exponential Diophantine equation, Pell equation.

## Katsumasa Ishii

**Theorem.** Suppose that  $a \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$  and  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . Then the equation  $(a^n - 1)(b^n - 1) = x^2$  has positive integer solution (x, n) if and only if  $(a, b) = (u_r, u_s)$  with non-square  $d \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  satisfying  $u_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ ,  $r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and s is odd. In this case a solution is  $(x, n) = (dv_r v_s, 2)$ .

In order to prove this, we need some lemmata. The first lemma is concerned with the sequence  $u_k$ , and is due to LAN and SZALAY [4].

**Lemma 1.** Let *d* be a positive integer which is not a square.

- (1) If k is even, then each prime factor p of  $u_k$  satisfies  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$ .
- (2) If k is odd, then  $u_1|u_k$ .
- (3) If  $q \in \{2, 3, 5\}$ , then  $q|u_k$  implies  $q|u_1$ .

PROOF. See Lemma 1 in [4].

Furthermore, we need two results on Diophantine equations.

**Lemma 2.** Let p be an odd prime with p > 3. Then the equation

$$X^p + 1 = 2Y^2, \quad X, Y \in \mathbb{N}^+$$

has only the solution (X, Y) = (1, 1).

**PROOF.** By Theorem 1 in [1] the equation

$$x^p + y^p = 2z^2$$

has no solution in nonzero pairwise coprime integers with x > y except  $(x, y, z) = (3, -1, \pm 11)$  when p = 5. Therefore, the lemma follows.

Lemma 3. The equation

$$X^3 + 1 = 2Y^2, \quad X, Y \in \mathbb{N}^+$$

has only the solutions (X, Y) = (1, 1) and (23, 78).

**PROOF.** This is one of the results of [7].

Proof of the Theorem. Put  $d = \gcd(a^n - 1, b^n - 1)$ . Then

$$a^n - 1 = dy^2, \quad b^n - 1 = dz^2$$

for some y and z. Since  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  we have  $z \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , which yields that  $z^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Therefore,  $d \equiv b^n - 1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

254

## On the exponential Diophantine equation $(a^n - 1)(b^n - 1) = x^2$ 255

Furthermore, if  $y \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , then  $y^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$  and hence  $a^n = dy^2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , which contradicts that  $a \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ . Therefore, we have  $y \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and hence  $2^n \equiv a^n = dy^2 + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . This implies that n is even.

Now put n = 2m. Then  $u^2 - dv^2 = 1$  has two solutions  $(a^m, y)$  and  $(b^m, z)$ and hence  $(a^m, y) = (u_r, v_r)$  and  $(b^m, z) = (u_s, v_s)$  for some r and s. If s is even, then each prime factor p of b satisfies  $p \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8}$  by Lemma 1(1), which is impossible since  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . Therefore, s must be odd. This implies that  $u_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  by Lemma 1(3). Furthermore, if r is odd, then we have  $a \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  by Lemma 1(2) and  $u_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , a contradiction. Therefore, r is even. Put r = 2t. Then  $u_r + v_r\sqrt{d} = (u_t + v_t\sqrt{d})^2$  and hence  $a^m = u_t^2 + dv_t^2$ . Since  $u_t^2 - dv_t^2 = 1$  we have  $a^m + 1 = 2u_t^2$ .

Now notice that m is odd by Result 2 of [2]. By Lemma 2, m must be 1 or a power of 3. Suppose that  $m = 3^e$  and  $a_0 = a^{3^{e-1}}$ . By Lemma 3, we have  $a_0 = 23$  and  $u_t = 78$  (and hence e must be 1, that is, a = 23). Furthermore, since  $78^2 - dv_t^2 = 1$  we have  $dv_t^2 = 6083 = 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 79$ , which yields that d = 6083 and  $v_t = 1$ . Therefore,  $gcd(23^6 - 1, b^6 - 1) = 6083$ , which implies that b must be even. Then  $b^6 - 1 \not\equiv 6083z^2 \pmod{8}$ , a contradiction. Therefore, we have m = 1.

Now suppose that  $r \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Then t is even and hence  $u_t \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  by Lemma 1(1). Then  $u_r = u_t^2 + dv_t^2 = 2u_t^2 - 1 \not\equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ , which contradicts that  $a \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $(a, b) = (u_r, u_s)$  with  $d \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ ,  $u_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ ,  $r \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and s is odd. Then  $(a^n - 1)(b^n - 1) = x^2$  has solution  $(x, n) = (dv_r v_s, 2)$ . Note that  $b \equiv u_t \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  by Lemma 1(2) and hence  $a = 2u_t^2 - 1 \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$ . This completes the proof.

*Remark.* Actually there exists  $d \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  with  $u_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ . For example,  $u_1 = 6$  for d = 35. Therefore, there exist infinitely many pairs (a, b) such that (1) has the solution. In the case of d = 35 the first few pairs (a, b) are  $(u_2, u_3) = (71, 846), (u_2, u_5) = (71, 120126), (u_6, u_5) = (1431431, 120126)$  and so on.

## References

- M. A. BENNETT and C. M. SKINNER, Ternary Diophantine equation via Galois representations and modular forms, *Canad. J. Math.* 56 (2004), 23–54.
- [2] J. H. E. COHN, The Diophantine equation  $(a^n 1)(b^n 1) = x^2$ , Period. Math. Hungar. **44** (2002), 169–175.
- [3] L. HAJDU and L. SZALAY, On the Diophantine equations  $(2^n 1)(6^n 1) = x^2$  and  $(a^n 1)(b^{kn} 1) = x^2$ , *Period. Math. Hungar.* **40** (2000), 141–145.

K. Ishii : On the exponential Diophantine equation...

- [4] L. LAN and L. SZALAY, On the exponential diophantine equation  $(a^n 1)(b^n 1) = x^2$ , Publ. Math. Debrecen 77 (2010), 465–470.
- [5] M. H. LE, A note on the exponential diophantine equation  $(2^n 1)(b^n 1) = x^2$ , Publ. Math. Debrecen **74** (2009), 401–403.
- [6] L. SZALAY, On the diophantine equation  $(2^n 1)(3^n 1) = x^2$ , Publ. Math. Debrecen 57 (2000), 1–9.
- [7] W. ROBERT and VAN DER WAALL, On the diophantine equation  $x^2 + x + 1 = 3y^2$ ,  $x^3 1 = 2y^2$  and  $x^3 + 1 = 2y^2$ , Simon Stevin 46 (1972), 39–51.

KATSUMASA ISHII 6-3-201, MIYASAKA 2-CHOME SETAGAYA-KU TOKYO, 156-0051 JAPAN

E-mail: 9652okok@jcom.zaq.ne.jp

(Received August 19, 2015; revised January 22, 2016)

256