# A note on the Diophantine equation $\binom{x}{4}=\binom{y}{2}$ 

By ÁKOS PINTÉR (Debrecen)

## 1. Introduction

Let $n$ be a rational integer with $n \geq 3$. Brindza [B] proved that the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{x}{n}=\binom{y}{2} \quad \text { in integers } x, y \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has only finitely many solutions and all them can be, at least in principle, effectively determined. See also [Ki] and [P]. In 1967, Avanesov [A] showed that for $n=3$, the equation

$$
\binom{x}{3}=\binom{y}{2} \quad \text { in integers } x \geq 3, y \geq 2
$$

possesses only the solutions $(x, y)=(3,2),(5,5),(10,16),(22,56)$ and $(36,120)$. The purpose of this note is to give a simple resolution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{x}{4}=\binom{y}{2} \quad \text { in integers } x \geq 4, y \geq 2 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem. All the integer solutions $(x, y)$ to the equation (2) are $(x, y)=(4,2),(6,6)$ and $(10,21)$.

This provides an answer to a question of Guy [G, Section D3].
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For $n=5$, it is easy to see that $\binom{15}{5}=\binom{78}{2}$ and $\binom{19}{5}=\binom{153}{2}$. However, it seems to be a harder problem to determine all solutions of the equation $\binom{x}{5}=\binom{y}{2}$ in positive integers $x, y$.

## 2. Proof of the Theorem

Equation (2) leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{2}-3 x+1\right)^{2}+2=3(2 y-1)^{2} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The left hand side of (3) can be factorized over $K=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-2})$. Denote by $O_{K}$ the ring of integers of $K$. As is known, $\{1, \sqrt{-2}\}$ is an integral basis for $O_{K}$ and $O_{K}$ is a unique factorization ring.

The greatest common divisor in $O_{K}$ of the factors $x^{2}-3 x+1+\sqrt{-2}$ and $x^{2}-3 x+1-\sqrt{-2}$ divides $-2 \sqrt{-2}=(\sqrt{-2})^{3}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{2}-3 x+1+\sqrt{-2}  \tag{4}\\
& =(\sqrt{-2})^{\alpha} \cdot(1+\sqrt{-2})^{\beta} \cdot(1-\sqrt{-2})^{\gamma} \cdot(-1)^{\delta} \cdot(a+b \sqrt{-2})^{2},
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in\{0,1\}$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. On taking the norm with respect to $K / \mathbb{Q}$, in view of $(3)$ we get $\alpha=\beta \cdot \gamma=0$. Since $(a+b \sqrt{-2})^{2}=$ $a^{2}-2 b^{2}+2 a b \sqrt{-2}$, it is easy to exclude $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)=(0,0,0,0)$ and $(0,0,0,1)$. Summarizing, we get four possibilities: $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)=(0,1,0,0)$, $(0,0,1,0),(0,1,0,1)$ and $(0,0,1,1)$. On equating the coefficients in the basis $\{1, \sqrt{-2}\}$ of the left and right hand sides of (4), after some straightforward calculations we get in the second and third cases the equations

$$
(a-13 b)^{2}+(2 x-3)^{2}=19(3 b)^{2}
$$

and

$$
(3 a-b)^{2}+(2 x-3)^{2}=19 b^{2}
$$

respectively. Since $19 \equiv-1 \bmod 4$, these equations are not solvable. If $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)=(0,1,0,0)$ then (4) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}-2 b^{2}-4 a b=x^{2}-3 x+1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}-2 b^{2}+2 a b=1 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{align*}
& 4\left(a^{2}-2 b^{2}-4 a b\right)+5\left(a^{2}-2 b^{2}+2 a b\right) \\
& \quad=(3 a-b)^{2}-19 b^{2}=(2 x-3)^{2} \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

As is known (see [C]), the general solution of the equation $u^{2}+19 v^{2}=$ $w^{2}$ in integers $u, v, w$ can be written as

$$
u=\left(m^{2}-19 n^{2}\right) d, \quad v=2 m n \cdot d, \quad w=\left(m^{2}+19 n^{2}\right) d
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m, n, d \in \mathbb{Z}, m+n \equiv 1 \bmod 2 \text { and }(m, n)=1 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
u=\frac{m^{2}-19 n^{2}}{2} d, \quad v=m n \cdot d, \quad w=\frac{m^{2}+19 n^{2}}{2} d
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
m, n, d \in \mathbb{Z}, m \equiv n \equiv 1 \bmod 2 \text { and }(m, n)=1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using these formulas we have by (7)

$$
2 x-3=d\left(m^{2}-19 n^{2}\right), \quad b=d \cdot 2 m n, \quad 3 a-b=d\left(m^{2}+19 n^{2}\right)
$$

with (8) or

$$
2 x-3=\frac{m^{2}-19 n^{2}}{2} d, \quad b=d \cdot m n, \quad 3 a-b=\frac{m^{2}+19 n^{2}}{2} d
$$

with (9). Substituting these values into the equation (6) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{2}\left(m^{4}+16 n m^{3}-6 n^{2} m^{2}+304 n^{3} m+361 n^{4}\right)=9 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{2}\left(m^{4}+16 n m^{3}-6 n^{2} m^{2}+304 n^{3} m+361 n^{4}\right)=36.01 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the program package KANT [Ka] and the BAKER-DAVENPORT reduction algorithm (see $[\mathrm{BD}])$ we get the solutions $(d, m, n)=( \pm 3, \pm 1,0)$ for (10) and $(d, m, n)=( \pm 1,1,-1),( \pm 1,-1,1)$ and $( \pm 6, \pm 1,0)$ for (11), respectively. It is easy to see that they lead to $x=3$ and $x=6$.

In the remaining case $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)=(0,0,1,1)$,(4) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
a^{2}-2 b^{2}-2 a b=1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 b^{2}-a^{2}-4 a b=x^{2}-3 x+1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the argument above we have

$$
(2 x-3)^{2}+19(3 b)^{2}=(a-13 b)^{2},
$$

whence

$$
2 x-3=\left(m^{2}-19 n^{2}\right) d, \quad 3 b=2 m n \cdot d, \quad a-13 b=\left(m^{2}+19 n^{2}\right) d
$$

with (8), or

$$
2 x-3=\frac{m^{2}-19 n^{2}}{2} d, \quad 3 b=m n \cdot d, \quad a-13 b=\frac{m^{2}+19 n^{2}}{2} d
$$

with (9). Substituting these values into the equation (12) we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{2}\left(3 m^{4}+48 n m^{3}+302 n^{2} m^{2}+912 n^{3} m+1083 n^{4}\right)=3 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
d^{2}\left(3 m^{4}+48 n m^{3}+302 n^{2} m^{2}+912 n^{3} m+1083 n^{4}\right)=12 . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using KANT and the Baker-Davenport reduction algorithm again we have the solutions $(d, m, n)=( \pm 1,6,1),( \pm 1,-6,1),( \pm 1, \pm 1,0)$ for (14), and $(d, m, n)=( \pm 2,6,-1),( \pm 2,-6,1),( \pm 2, \pm 1,0),( \pm 1,3,-1)$, $( \pm 1,-3,1)$ for (15). They lead to $x=2,4$ and 10 . By assumption $x \geq 4$, hence we have $x=4,6$ and 10 . For $x=4,6$ and 10 , we get from (2) that $y=2,6,21$, respectively. This completes the proof of the theorem.
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Added in proof: The problem above has been solved independently by B. de Weger, A binomial diophantine equation, to appear in Quart. J. Math. Oxford.
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