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# On a diophantine equation concerning the number of integer points in special domains II 

By L. HAJDU (Debrecen)


#### Abstract

In an earlier paper we considered a family of polynomial diophantine equations which are closely related to the number of integer points in special domains, and we solved some of these equations. In this paper we investigate a more general family of equations. We give some properties of the polynomials involved, and we solve all those equations, which turn to be elliptic ones.


## 1. Introduction

In an earlier paper (cf. [5]) we dealt with the diophantine equation

$$
\begin{align*}
& \#\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}:\left|x_{1}\right|+\left|x_{2}\right| \leq r\right\} \\
= & \#\left\{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}: \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|y_{i}\right| \leq R\right\} . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

As we remarked in [5], this equation has some geometrical and combinatorical aspects. For $n=3$ and $n=4$, equation (1) was completely solved. Further, we made the conjecture that for every $n>2$, equation (1) has only finitely many solutions. In the first part of this paper we will prove this conjecture for $n=6$. In fact we will solve (1) in this case completely.

[^0]Moreover, one can consider the following, more general equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \#\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{k}: \sum_{i=1}^{k}\left|x_{i}\right| \leq r\right\}  \tag{2}\\
= & \#\left\{\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}: \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|y_{i}\right| \leq R\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

Subsequently we will completely solve equation (2) in the cases $(k, n)=$ $(3,4)$ and $(4,6)$.

All three above equations turn out (after certain substitutions) to be elliptic equations. As we mentioned also in [5], the recent bounds concerning the solutions of elliptic equations are still too large, in general, to use them for solving a concrete equation. (For the best known explicit bounds concerning the solutions cf. [6].) Thus, just as in [5], we will use the elliptic equation package of the computational numbertheoretical program package SIMATH (cf. [9]) to solve our equations. We mention here that the elliptic curve package of SIMATH is based on an algorithm developed by J. Gebel, A. Pethő and H. G. Zimmer [3], and independently R. J. Stroeker and N. Tzanakis [10].

## 2. Notation

First we introduce our notation. Let, as in [5],

$$
f_{n}(r)=\#\left\{\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}:\left|x_{1}\right|+\ldots+\left|x_{n}\right| \leq r\right\} \text { for } n=1,2, \ldots
$$

and

$$
f_{n}(r)=1 \text { for } n=0 .
$$

For $n \leq 6$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
f_{0}(r)=1, f_{1}(r)=2 r+1, f_{2}(r)=2 r^{2}+2 r+1, \\
f_{3}(r)=\frac{4}{3} r^{3}+2 r^{2}+\frac{8}{3} r+1, \\
f_{4}(r)=\frac{2}{3} r^{4}+\frac{4}{3} r^{3}+\frac{10}{3} r^{2}+\frac{8}{3} r+1, \\
f_{5}(r)=\frac{4}{15} r^{5}+\frac{2}{3} r^{4}+\frac{8}{3} r^{3}+\frac{10}{3} r^{2}+\frac{46}{15} r+1,
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
f_{6}(r)=\frac{4}{45} r^{6}+\frac{4}{15} r^{5}+\frac{14}{9} r^{4}+\frac{8}{3} r^{3}+\frac{196}{45} r^{2}+\frac{46}{15} r+1 .
$$

One can verify easily that the degree of $f_{n}$ is $n$, and for $n \geq 1$ the polynomials satisfy the following recursion:

$$
f_{n}(r)=2 \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} f_{n-1}(k)+f_{n-1}(r) .
$$

## 3. Results

In this section we formulate our results. ${ }^{1}$ First we give some trivial properties of the polynomials $f_{n}$.

## Theorem 1.

1. If $n$ is odd (resp. even) then the polynomial $f_{n}(r)$ is odd (resp. even) with respect to $-\frac{1}{2}$, that is for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $f_{n}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+r\right)=$ $-f_{n}\left(-\frac{1}{2}-r\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.f_{n}\left(-\frac{1}{2}+r\right)=f_{n}\left(-\frac{1}{2}-r\right)\right)$.
2. For nonnegative integers $n$ and $k$ we have $f_{n}(k)=f_{k}(n)$.

The above statements can be proved simply e.g. by induction, and we omit the details.

Now we turn to the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{2}(r)=f_{6}(R) \text { in } r, R \in \mathbb{Z}, r, R \geq 0,  \tag{3}\\
& f_{3}(r)=f_{4}(R) \text { in } r, R \in \mathbb{Z}, r, R \geq 0, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{4}(r)=f_{6}(R) \text { in } r, R \in \mathbb{Z}, r, R \geq 0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we would like to mention that for all the remaining pairs $(n, k)$ (that is for $(n, k) \notin\{(2,3),(2,6),(3,4),(4,6)\})$ the equation

$$
f_{n}(r)=f_{k}(R) \text { in } r, R \in \mathbb{Z}, r, R \geq 0
$$

does not seem to be an elliptic equation. Hence to solve this equation for the remaining pairs $(n, k)$, some other method should be used. (In fact in [5] to solve equation (1) for $(n, k)=(2,4)$ we used the arguments of Á. Pintér [8] and B. M. M. de Weger [13].)

We also remark that very recently B. Brindza and Á. Pintér (cf. [1]) obtained finiteness results concerning the solutions of equations of the type $f(x)=g(y)$, where $f$ and $g$ are polynomials with integer coefficients. However, in [1] $f$ and $g$ are of some special kind, and unfortunately the method

[^1]of B. Brindza and Á. Pintér does not seem to be applicable for our equations.

First we will prove that the only solutions of (3) are $(r, R)=(0,0)$, $(2,1)$ and $(6,2)$. In fact we will prove more, we show that the only solutions of (3) in integers are $(r, R)=(-7,2),(-7,-3),(-3,1),(-3,-2),(-1,0)$, $(-1,-1),(0,0),(0,-1),(2,1),(2,-2),(6,2)$ and $(6,-3)$.

This statement will follow from Theorem 2. Put $x=90 R^{2}+90 R+435$ and $y=4050 r+2025$. Then from equation (3) we get the elliptic equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3}-288225 x+47165625=y^{2} \quad \text { in integers } x, y . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following
Theorem 2. The only integer solutions of equation (6) are ( $x, \pm y$ ) $=$ $(40,5975),(-375,10125),(165,2025),(2271,105381),(435,2025)$, ( $-600,2025$ ), ( 3891,240489 ), ( 129,3483 ), $(23115,3513375),(85,4825)$, $(975,26325),(-240,10125),(475,4175),(-456,9153),(615,10125)$, $(-51,7857)$ and $(57475,13778425)$.

As a simple consequence of Theorem 2 we obtain our statement concerning the solutions of (3).

We will also prove that the only nonnegative integer solutions of (4) are $(r, R)=(0,0)$ and $(4,3)$. We shall prove more, namely that the only solutions of (4) in integers are $(r, R)=(0,0),(0,-1),(4,3)$ and $(4,-4)$. This statement will follow from Theorem 3. Put $x=2 r+1$ and $y=$ $2 R^{2}+2 R+4$. Then from equation (4) we get the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3}+5 x+10=y^{2} \quad \text { in integers } x, y . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following
Theorem 3. The only integer solutions of equation (7) are $(x, \pm y)=$ $(1,4),(-1,2),(9,28)$ and $(6,16)$.

Our statement concerning the solutions of (4) now follows as a simple consequence.

We will prove as well that the only nonnegative integer solutions of (5) are $(r, R)=(0,0)$ and $(6,4)$. We will prove more again, namely that the only solutions of (5) in integers are $(r, R)=(-7,4),(-7,-5),(-1,0)$, $(-1,-1),(0,0),(0,-1),(6,4)$ and $(6,-5)$. This statement will follow from

Theorem 4. Put $x=30 R^{2}+30 R+145$ and $y=450 r^{2}+450 r+900$. Then from equation (5) we get the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{3}-32025 x+2405000=y^{2} \quad \text { in integers } x, y \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have the following
Theorem 4. The only integer solutions of equation (8) are ( $x, \pm y$ ) $=$ $(200,2000),(55,900),(145,900),(-200,900),(655,16200),(745,19800)$, $(100,450),(-55,2000),(-145,2000)$ and $(158600,63161800)$.

Our statement concerning the solutions of (5) now follows immediately.

## 4. Proofs of the Theorems

As was previously remarked, we omit the easy proof of Theorem 1.
To the proof of our Theorems 2, 3 and 4, we need a Lemma and some new notation. In fact we will use the usual notations concerning elliptic curves, but for the convenience of the reader we give them here as well. For a more detailed study of elliptic curves we refer to [3] and [4].

Let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $\mathbb{Q}$ defined by

$$
E: \quad y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b \quad(a, b \in \mathbb{Z})
$$

with nonzero discriminant. Let $r$ denote the rank, $g$ the number of torsion points and $j$ the $j$-invariant (or modular invariant) of $E$. For any point $P$ of $E$ denote by $\hat{h}(P)$ the canonical height (or Néron-Tate height) of $P$. $\hat{h}$ is a positive definite quadratic form; denote by $\lambda_{1}$ its smallest eigenvalue.

Choose a basis $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}$ of the Mordell-Weyl group of $E$. Now every point $P$ of $E$ has a unique representation of the form

$$
P=\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i} P_{i}+P_{r+1}\left(n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

where $P_{r+1}$ is some torsion point. Let

$$
N=\max _{1 \leq i \leq r}\left|n_{i}\right| .
$$

Denote by $\mu_{\infty}$ the height of $E$, i.e.

$$
\mu_{\infty}=\log \max \left\{|a|^{1 / 2},|b|^{1 / 3}\right\} .
$$

Denote by $\wp$ Weierstrass' $\wp$ function corresponding to $E$, and let $P$ be any point of $E$. Then we have

$$
P=\left(\wp(u), \wp^{\prime}(u)\right)
$$

for some complex number $u$ with $|u| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Here $u$ is called the elliptic logarithm of $P$. Denote by $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ the real and the complex period of $E$, respectively, and let $\tau= \pm \omega_{2} / \omega_{1}$, such that $\operatorname{Im}(\tau)>0$. Let $j=\frac{j_{1}}{j_{2}}$ with $j_{1}, j_{2} \in \mathbb{Z},\left(j_{1}, j_{2}\right)=1$ and put $h=\log \max \left\{4\left|a j_{2}\right|, 4\left|b j_{2}\right|,\left|j_{1}\right|,\left|j_{2}\right|\right\}$. Choose real numbers $V_{1}, \ldots, V_{r}$ with

$$
\log V_{i} \geq \max \left\{\hat{h}\left(P_{i}\right), h, \frac{3 \pi\left|u_{i}\right|^{2}}{\omega_{1}^{2} \operatorname{Im}(\tau)}\right\} \quad \text { for } i=1, \ldots, r
$$

where $u_{i}$ is the elliptic logarithm of $P_{i}, i=1, \ldots, r$.
It is well-known that already from a result of L. J. Mordell [7], by a famous theorem of A. Thue [11], it follows that the number of integer points on $E$ is finite.

Using the following Lemma (due to J. Gebel, A. Pethő and H. G. Zimmer [3]), one can find, at least in principle, all the integer points on a given elliptic curve. We remark that we used this Lemma in [5] as well.

Lemma. Preserving the above notations, let $P=\sum_{i=1}^{r} n_{i} P_{i}+P_{r+1}$ be an integral point on the elliptic curve $E$, where $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}$ is a basis of the Mordell-Weyl group of $E$, and $P_{r+1}$ is a torsion point. Then the maximum

$$
N=\max _{1 \leq i \leq r}\left\{\left|n_{i}\right|\right\}
$$

satisfies the inequality

$$
N \leq \max \left\{2^{r+2} \sqrt{c_{1} c_{2}}\left(\log \left(c_{2}(r+2)^{r+2}\right)\right)^{(r+2) / 2}, \frac{2 \max _{1 \leq i \leq r}\left\{V_{i}\right\}}{r+1}\right\}
$$

where

$$
c_{1}=\max \left\{\frac{\log \left(g c_{1}{ }^{\prime}\right)}{\lambda_{1}}, 1\right\} \text { and } c_{2}=\max \left\{\frac{C}{\lambda_{1}}, 10^{9}\right\}\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^{r+1} \prod_{i=1}^{r} \log V_{i}
$$

with

$$
c_{1}^{\prime}=\frac{2^{\frac{13}{6}}}{\omega_{1}} \text { and } C=2.9 \cdot 10^{6 r+6} \cdot 4^{2 r^{2}} \cdot(r+1)^{2 r^{2}+9 r+12.3} .
$$

Proof. This statement is proved in [3] (see the Theorem in [3] on page 180) using a lower bound for linear forms in elliptic logarithms, due to S. David [2].

Now we will prove Theorems 2, 3 and 4 . As the proofs are similar, we will give them simultaneously.

Proof of the Theorems. We will follow the discussion in [4] and [5], and we preserve the above notations. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{1}=\left\{(x, y) \mid(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}^{2}, x^{3}-288225 x+47165625=y^{2}\right\} \cup\{\mathcal{O}\}, \\
& E_{2}=\left\{(x, y) \mid(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}^{2}, x^{3}+5 x+10=y^{2}\right\} \cup\{\mathcal{O}\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
E_{3}=\left\{(x, y) \mid(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}^{2}, x^{3}-32025 x+2405000=y^{2}\right\} \cup\{\mathcal{O}\},
$$

where $\mathcal{O}$ denotes the point at infinity. In the sequel we determine some parameters of $E_{1}, E_{2}$ and $E_{3}$ using SIMATH. Writing $E_{i}$ we will always suppose that $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, and $p\left(E_{i}\right)$ will denote the corresponding parameter $p$ of $E_{i}$. The modular invariant of $E_{i}$ is

|  | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ | $E_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $j\left(E_{i}\right)=j_{1}\left(E_{i}\right) / j_{2}\left(E_{i}\right)=$ | $\frac{19930747648}{4300641}$ | $\frac{270}{1}$ | $\frac{-4982686912}{544071}$ |

and the height of $E_{i}$ is

|  | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ | $E_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mu_{\infty}\left(E_{i}\right)=$ | $6.28574835 \ldots$ | $0.80471895 \ldots$ | $5.18713606 \ldots$ |

To use our Lemma, one has to know a basis as well as the torsion group of $E_{i}$. Using SIMATH, it turns out that the only torsion point of $E_{i}$ is $\mathcal{O}$, hence $g\left(E_{i}\right)=1$. The rank of $E_{i}$ is

|  | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ | $E_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r\left(E_{i}\right)=$ | 3 | 1 | 3 |

We can determine a basis $B\left(E_{i}\right)$ of the Mordell-Weyl group of $E_{i}$. We obtain $B\left(E_{1}\right)=\left\{P_{1}=(165,2025), P_{2}=(435,2025), P_{3}=(975,26325)\right\}$, $B\left(E_{2}\right)=\left\{P_{4}=(1,4)\right\}$ and $B\left(E_{3}\right)=\left\{P_{5}=(55,900), P_{6}=(145,900)\right.$, $\left.P_{7}=(100,450)\right\}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \hat{h}\left(P_{1}\right)=1.09722796 \ldots, \hat{h}\left(P_{2}\right)=1.22682755 \ldots, \hat{h}\left(P_{3}\right)=1.98354011 \ldots \text {, } \\
& \hat{h}\left(P_{4}\right)=0.12837506 \ldots \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\hat{h}\left(P_{5}\right)=1.67154020 \ldots, \hat{h}\left(P_{6}\right)=1.71887124 \ldots, \\
\hat{h}\left(P_{7}\right)=1.84960414 \ldots
\end{gathered}
$$

Hence we get

|  | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ | $E_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\lambda_{1}\left(E_{i}\right)=$ | $0.79418680 \ldots$ | $0.12837506 \ldots$ | $1.51120454 \ldots$ |

The real and the complex periods of $E_{i}$ are

|  | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ | $E_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\omega_{1}\left(E_{i}\right)=$ | $0.41216398 \ldots$ | $2.52921076 \ldots$ | $0.51927608 \ldots$ |

and

|  | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ | $E_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\omega_{2}\left(E_{i}\right)=$ | $i \cdot 0.31380448 \ldots$ | $1.26460538 \ldots+$ | $0.25963804 \ldots+$ |
| $i \cdot 0.90405376 \ldots$ | $i \cdot 0.08867484 \ldots$ |  |  |

respectively, whence

|  | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ | $E_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\operatorname{Im}\left(\tau\left(E_{i}\right)\right)=$ | $0.76135834 \ldots$ | $0.35744500 \ldots$ | $0.17076627 \ldots$ |

We have

|  | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ | $E_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{1}{ }^{\prime}\left(E_{i}\right)<$ | 10.89335407 | 1.77519733 | 8.64636044 |

and

|  | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ | $E_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{1}\left(E_{i}\right)<$ | 3.00704175 | 4.47058465 | 1.42742985 |

Moreover, we obtain

|  | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ | $E_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $h\left(E_{i}\right)<$ | 34.32974491 | 5.59842196 | 29.28618986 |

Therefore we may choose

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{i}=e^{h\left(E_{1}\right)} & =811369682662500 \text { for } i=1,2,3, \\
V_{i} & =e^{h\left(E_{2}\right)}=270 \text { for } i=4,
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
V_{i}=1.67 \cdot 10^{22} \text { for } i=5,6,7 .
$$

For the constant $C\left(E_{i}\right)$ we obtain

|  | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ | $E_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $C\left(E_{i}\right)<$ | $6.28 \cdot 10^{69}$ | $4.80 \cdot 10^{20}$ | $6.28 \cdot 10^{69}$ |

whence

|  | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ | $E_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{2}\left(E_{i}\right)<$ | $2.78 \cdot 10^{79}$ | $1.64 \cdot 10^{23}$ | $2.56 \cdot 10^{79}$ |

Using the above parameters, our Lemma yields the estimates

|  | $E_{1}$ | $E_{2}$ | $E_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $N\left(E_{i}\right)<$ | $1.48 \cdot 10^{47}$ | $2.93 \cdot 10^{15}$ | $9.74 \cdot 10^{46}$ |

Now using B. M. M. De Weger's method (see [12]), these initial bounds can be reduced, and using SIMATH again, we obtain all the integral points on $E_{i}$. In the following tables we give these integral points as well as their coordinates in the above calculated basis of $E_{i}$.

All integer points on $E_{1}$ :

| $(x, \pm y)$ | coeff. of $P_{1}$ | coeff. of $P_{2}$ | coeff. of $P_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(40,5975)$ | 1 | -2 | 0 |
| $(-375,10125)$ | -1 | 1 | 0 |
| $(165,2025)$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $(2271,105381)$ | 2 | 0 | 0 |
| $(435,2025)$ | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $(-600,2025)$ | -1 | -1 | 0 |
| $(3891,240489)$ | 0 | -2 | 0 |
| $(129,3483)$ | -1 | 1 | -1 |
| $(23115,3513375)$ | -2 | 1 | -1 |
| $(85,4825)$ | -1 | 0 | 1 |
| $(975,26325)$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $(-240,10125)$ | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| $(475,4175)$ | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| $(-456,9153)$ | 1 | -1 | -1 |
| $(615,10125)$ | 0 | -1 | -1 |
| $(-51,7857)$ | -1 | -1 | -1 |
| $(57475,13778425)$ | 2 | -2 | -1 |

All integer points on $E_{2}$ :

| $(x, \pm y)$ | coeff. of $P_{4}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $(1,4)$ | 1 |
| $(-1,2)$ | -2 |
| $(9,28)$ | -3 |
| $(6,16)$ | 4 |

All integer points on $E_{3}$ :

| $(x, \pm y)$ | coeff. of $P_{5}$ | coeff. of $P_{6}$ | coeff. of $P_{7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $(200,2000)$ | 1 | -1 | 0 |
| $(55,900)$ | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| $(145,900)$ | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| $(-200,900)$ | -1 | -1 | 0 |
| $(655,16200)$ | 0 | -1 | 1 |
| $(745,19800)$ | 1 | 0 | -1 |
| $(100,450)$ | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| $(-55,2000)$ | -1 | 0 | -1 |
| $(-145,2000)$ | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| $(158600,63161800)$ | -1 | -1 | 2 |
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