

## On locally monomial functions

By ATTILA GILÁNYI (Debrecen)

**Abstract.** In the present paper the equation

$$\Delta_y^n f(x) - n!f(y) = o(y^\alpha) \quad ((x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0), x \leq 0 \leq x + ny),$$

for real functions, where  $n$  is a natural number and  $\alpha$  a non-negative real number, is considered.

### 1. Introduction

The subject of this paper is related to the study of real polynomial and monomial functions with the aid of the Dinghas interval-derivative and the operator  $\tilde{D}$  defined below. In the sequel, in the Introduction we assume that  $f$  is a real function.

For real numbers  $x, y$  write

$$\Delta_y^1 f(x) = f(x + y) - f(x)$$

and, for  $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$ ,

$$\Delta_y^{n+1} f(x) = \Delta_y^1(\Delta_y^n f(x)).$$

For a non-negative integer  $n$  we say that  $f$  is a polynomial function of degree  $n$  if  $\Delta_y^{n+1} f(x) = 0$  for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ;  $f$  is called a monomial function

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary 39B22; Secondary 26A24.

*Key words and phrases:* monomial function, polynomial function, stability, interval-derivative.

Research supported by the Hungarian National Science Foundation no. OTKA T-016846.

of degree  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if  $\Delta_y^n f(x) = n!f(y)$ , ( $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ). A monomial function of degree 1 is considered as an additive function, as well. (For polynomial and monomial functions we refer to [10].)

If, for a positive integer  $n$  and for a real number  $\xi$ , the limit

$$D^n f(\xi) := \lim_{\substack{(x,y) \rightarrow (\xi,0) \\ x \leq \xi \leq x+ny}} \frac{\Delta_y^n f(x)}{y^n}$$

exists, then  $D^n f(\xi)$  is said to be the  $n^{\text{th}}$  Dinghas interval-derivative of  $f$  at  $\xi$  (cf. [1]). We consider, furthermore, the operator

$$\tilde{D}^n f(\xi) := \lim_{\substack{(x,y) \rightarrow (\xi,0) \\ x \leq \xi \leq x+ny}} \frac{\Delta_y^n f(x) - n!f(y)}{y^n},$$

as far as it exists.

Polynomial and monomial functions can be characterized by the operators above: A. SIMON and P. VOLKMANN proved in [6] that for a non-negative integer  $n$ , a function is a polynomial function of degree  $n$  if and only if its  $(n+1)^{\text{th}}$  Dinghas derivative is zero at all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . It was shown in [2] that for a positive integer  $n$ , a function  $f$  is a monomial function of degree  $n$  if and only if  $\tilde{D}^n f(\xi) = 0$  for all  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}$ . It was also proved in [2] that for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the property  $\tilde{D}^n f(0) = 0$  implies  $f(l y) - l^n f(y) = o(y^n)$ , ( $y \searrow 0$ ) for any integer  $l$ .

The investigation of the local properties of the operators  $D$  and  $\tilde{D}$  are motivated by the result mentioned above. The following two problems in this field are due to P. Volkmann: given  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , does the property  $D^{n+1} f(0) = 0$  imply that there exists a polynomial function  $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree  $n$  such that  $f(z) - p(z) = o(z^n)$ , ( $z \rightarrow 0$ ); and similarly does  $\tilde{D}^n f(0) = 0$  imply that there exists a monomial function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree  $n$  such that  $f(z) - g(z) = o(z^n)$ , ( $z \rightarrow 0$ )? A. SIMON and P. VOLKMANN in [7] gave a positive answer to the first question in the case when  $n = 1$ . Furthermore, they proved the following more general theorem: for an arbitrary non-negative real number  $\alpha \neq 1$  if

$$\lim_{\substack{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0) \\ x \leq 0 \leq x+2y}} \frac{\Delta_y^2 f(x)}{y^\alpha} = 0,$$

then there exists a polynomial function  $p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree 1 such that  $f(z) - p(z) = o(|z|^\alpha)$ , ( $z \rightarrow 0$ ).

Surprisingly, the answer to the question related to the operator  $\tilde{D}^n f(0)$  is negative. A counterexample is given by  $F : (-1, 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $F(x) = x \ln(-\ln|x|)$  for  $x \neq 0$ ,  $F(0) = 0$ . (See [3] and [7].) In the present paper the relation

$$\lim_{\substack{(x,y) \rightarrow (0,0) \\ x \leq 0 \leq x+ny}} \frac{\Delta_y^n f(x) - n!f(y)}{y^\alpha} = 0,$$

or in other words

$$(1) \quad \Delta_y^n f(x) - n!f(y) = o(y^\alpha) \quad ((x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0), x \leq 0 \leq x + ny)$$

is studied (it is strongly related to some results in [7]), and a function  $f$  satisfying (1) is called a locally monomial function of degree  $n$  with order  $\alpha$ , at 0.

In the second part of the paper we show that if, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\alpha > n$ , a function  $f$  is a locally monomial function of degree  $n$  with order  $\alpha$ , at 0, then there exists a monomial function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree  $n$  such that

$$(2) \quad f(x) - g(x) = o(|x|^\alpha) \quad (x \rightarrow 0).$$

For some similar results on monomial functions of degree 1 and 2 we refer to [8] and [9].

In the third part of the paper we prove that if  $f$  is a locally monomial function of degree 1 with order  $\alpha$  (i.e. a locally additive function with order  $\alpha$ ), at 0, then even for  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$  there exists a monomial function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree 1 (i.e. an additive function), such that (2) holds.

The results in the paper lead to the conjecture that for an arbitrary  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\alpha \neq n$  if the function  $f$  satisfies (1) then there exists a monomial function of degree  $n$  with property (2), but it may occur that exactly when  $\alpha = n$  (i.e. in the case of the operator  $\tilde{D}$ ) there exists no such monomial function.

## 2. Locally monomial functions of degree $n$ with order $\alpha > n$

**Lemma 1.** For  $n, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\lambda \geq 2$  put

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_0^{(0)} & \cdots & \alpha_0^{(\lambda n)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \alpha_{(\lambda-1)n}^{(0)} & \cdots & \alpha_{(\lambda-1)n}^{(\lambda n)} \end{pmatrix},$$

where for  $i = 0, \dots, (\lambda-1)n$  and  $k = -i, \dots, \lambda n - i$

$$\alpha_i^{(i+k)} = \begin{cases} (-1)^k \binom{n}{n-k}, & \text{if } 0 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $a_i$  denote the  $i^{\text{th}}$  row in  $A$ , ( $i = 0, \dots, (\lambda-1)n$ ). Furthermore, let  $b = (\beta^{(0)} \dots \beta^{(\lambda n)})$ , where

$$\beta^{(k)} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{k}{\lambda}} \binom{n}{n-\frac{k}{\lambda}}, & \text{if } \lambda \mid k \\ 0, & \text{if } \lambda \nmid k \end{cases}$$

for  $k = 0, \dots, \lambda n$ .

There are positive integers  $K_0, \dots, K_{(\lambda-1)n}$  such that

$$(3) \quad K_0 a_0 + \dots + K_{(\lambda-1)n} a_{(\lambda-1)n} = b,$$

and

$$(4) \quad K_0 + \dots + K_{(\lambda-1)n} = \lambda^n.$$

**PROOF.** It is trivial that the lemma holds for  $n = 1$ ,  $\lambda \geq 2$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $K_0 = \dots = K_{\lambda-1} = 1$ .

For  $n, \lambda \geq 2$ ,  $n, \lambda \in \mathbb{N}$  the existence of positive integers satisfying (3) was proved in Lemma 2 in [3]. The numbers  $K_0, \dots, K_{(\lambda-1)n}$  satisfy

$$(1 + x + \dots + x^{\lambda-1})^n = K_0 + K_1 x^1 + \dots + K_{(\lambda-1)n} x^{(\lambda-1)n} \quad (x \in \mathbb{R}),$$

therefore, substituting  $x = 1$  we get (4).

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\alpha \geq 0$  be a real,  $n$  be an arbitrary natural number and  $f$  be a real function with property (1). Then we have*

$$(5) \quad f(lz) - l^n f(z) = o(|z|^\alpha) \quad (z \rightarrow 0).$$

for any integer  $l$ .

PROOF. In the special case  $\alpha = n$  Theorem 1 was proved in [2]. The proof, given here, is similar, with some technical simplifications.

Let  $\alpha \geq 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be given numbers and let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy (1). We show relation (5) in two steps.

I. At first we prove, by induction on  $l$ , that (1) implies

$$(6) \quad f(lz) = l^n f(z) + o(z^\alpha) \quad (z \searrow 0)$$

for any  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The case  $l = 1$  is trivial.

Let  $l > 1$  be an arbitrary integer and suppose that

$$(7) \quad f(jy) - j^n f(y) = o(y^\alpha) \quad (y \searrow 0)$$

has already been proved for  $j = 1, \dots, l - 1$ .

We define the real functions  $\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_{(l-1)n}$  and  $\varepsilon$  as follows:

$$(8) \quad \varepsilon_i(z) := \Delta_z^n f(-iz) - n!f(z) \quad (i = 0, \dots, (l-1)n; z \in \mathbb{R})$$

and

$$(9) \quad \varepsilon(z) := \Delta_{lz}^n f(-(l-1)nz) - n!f(lz) \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Using the notation of Lemma 1 for  $\lambda = l$  and by the well-known formula

$$\Delta_y^n f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} f(x + ky) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R})$$

we get that these equations can be written as

$$(10) \quad \begin{aligned} \varepsilon_i(z) &= \sum_{k=0}^{ln} \alpha_i^{(k)} f((n-k)z) - n!f(z) \\ &\quad (i = 0, \dots, (l-1)n; z \in \mathbb{R}) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\varepsilon(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{ln} \beta^{(k)} f((n-k)z) - n!f(lz) \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}).$$

By Lemma 1 there exist positive integers  $K_1, \dots, K_{(l-1)n}$  for which

$$K_0 a_0 + \dots + K_{(l-1)n} a_{(l-1)n} - b = 0$$

and

$$K_0 + \dots + K_{(l-1)n} = l^n.$$

Therefore, by the equations in (8) and (9) we obtain

$$n! \left( f(lz) - l^n f(z) \right) = K_0 \varepsilon_0(z) + \dots + K_{(l-1)n} \varepsilon_{(l-1)n}(z) - \varepsilon(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}).$$

To prove (6), we show that for  $k = 0, \dots, (l-1)n$

$$(12) \quad \varepsilon_k(z) = o(z^\alpha) \quad (z \searrow 0)$$

and

$$(13) \quad \varepsilon(z) = o(z^\alpha) \quad (z \searrow 0).$$

If we choose  $x = -(l-1)nz$  and  $y = lz$  for  $z > 0$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{R}$ , then  $x \leq 0 \leq x + ny$ , so (1) and (9) imply (13).

If we replace  $(x, y)$  by

$$(0, z), (-z, z), \dots, (-nz, z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}, z > 0),$$

then  $x \leq 0 \leq x + ny$ , therefore, from (1) and (8) we have (12) for  $k = 0, \dots, n$ . In the case  $l = 2$  property (12) is already proved. If  $l > 2$  for  $k = 0, \dots, (l-1)n$  we prove it by induction on  $k$ . The proof is done for  $0 \leq k \leq n$ . Let  $n < k \leq (l-1)n$  be an arbitrary fixed integer and suppose that

$$(14) \quad \varepsilon_r(z) = o(z^\alpha) \quad (z \searrow 0)$$

is true for  $r = 0, \dots, k-1$ . Set

$$\tilde{l} = \left[ \frac{k-1}{n} \right] + 1,$$

where  $[\ ]$  denotes the integer part of a real number and we define  $\tilde{\varepsilon} : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows:

$$(15) \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}(z) := \Delta_{\tilde{l}z}^n f(-kz) - n!f(\tilde{l}z).$$

Since

$$k - n \leq n \left[ \frac{k-1}{n} \right]$$

for  $x = -kz$  and  $y = \tilde{l}z$ , we have  $x \leq 0$  and

$$x + ny = -kz + n \left( \left[ \frac{k-1}{n} \right] + 1 \right) z \geq 0,$$

hence (1) implies

$$(16) \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}(z) = o(z^\alpha) \quad (z \searrow 0).$$

Let  $c = (\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{n+k})$  be a vector with components  $\gamma_0 = \dots = \gamma_{n+k-\tilde{l}n-1} = 0$  and write

$$\gamma_{n+k-\tilde{l}n+j} = \begin{cases} (-1)^{\frac{j}{\tilde{l}}} \binom{n}{n-\frac{j}{\tilde{l}}}, & \text{if } \tilde{l} \mid j \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for  $j = 0, \dots, \tilde{l}n$ . The simple inequality

$$\left[ \frac{k-1}{n} \right] n \leq k-1$$

yields

$$(17) \quad \begin{aligned} n+k-\tilde{l}n &= n+k - \left[ \frac{k-1}{n} \right] n - n \\ &\geq k - (k-1) = 1, \end{aligned}$$

and then the components  $\gamma_{n+k-\tilde{l}n}, \gamma_{n+k-\tilde{l}n+1}, \dots, \gamma_{n+k}$  of the vector  $c$ , defined above, exist.

It is easy to see, like in (10) and (11), that (15) can be written in the following form:

$$(18) \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{n+k} \gamma_j f((n-j)z) - n!f(\tilde{l}z).$$

Let us omit the components  $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{n+k-\tilde{l}n-1}$  of the vector  $c$  and denote the resulting vector by  $\tilde{b} = (\tilde{\beta}^{(0)} \dots \tilde{\beta}^{(\tilde{l}n)})$ . It can be seen from the definition of  $c$  that  $\tilde{b}$  equals  $b = (\beta^{(0)} \dots \beta^{(\tilde{l}n)})$  which was given for  $n$  and  $\lambda = \tilde{l}$  in Lemma 2.2. It is also easy to see, since we have cancelled only zeroes from  $c$ , that (18) can be written as follows:

$$(19) \quad \tilde{\varepsilon}(z) = \sum_{j=0}^{\tilde{l}n} \tilde{\beta}^{(j)} f(n - (n+k-\tilde{l}n+j)z) - n!f(\tilde{l}z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}).$$

Let us now consider the functions  $\varepsilon_{n+k-\tilde{l}n}, \varepsilon_{n+k-\tilde{l}n+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_k$  and the corresponding coefficient vectors  $a_{n+k-\tilde{l}n}, a_{n+k-\tilde{l}n+1}, \dots, a_k$  from (10). It follows from the definition of these vectors (see Lemma 1) that for their components  $i = n+k-\tilde{l}n, n+k-\tilde{l}n+1, \dots, k$

$$\alpha_i^{(0)} = \alpha_i^{(1)} = \dots = \alpha_i^{n+k-\tilde{l}n-2} = \alpha_i^{n+k-\tilde{l}n-1} = 0.$$

If we omit these components from these vectors and denote them, in the order above, by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_0 &= (\tilde{\alpha}_0^{(0)} \dots \tilde{\alpha}_0^{(\tilde{l}n)}) \\ &\vdots \\ \tilde{a}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n} &= (\tilde{\alpha}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n}^{(0)} \dots \tilde{\alpha}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n}^{(\tilde{l}n)}), \end{aligned}$$

then we can write the functions  $\varepsilon_{n+k-\tilde{l}n}, \varepsilon_{n+k-\tilde{l}n+1}, \dots, \varepsilon_k$  in the form

$$(20) \quad \begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{n+k-\tilde{l}n}(z) &= \sum_{s=0}^{\tilde{l}n} \tilde{\alpha}_0^{(s)} f(n - (n+k-\tilde{l}n+s)z) - n!f(z) \\ &\vdots \\ \varepsilon_k(z) &= \sum_{s=0}^{\tilde{l}n} \tilde{\alpha}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n}^{(s)} f(n - (n+k-\tilde{l}n+s)z) - n!f(z). \end{aligned}$$

One can see that  $\tilde{a}_0, \dots, \tilde{a}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n}$  are equal to the vectors

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= (\alpha_0^{(0)} \dots \alpha_0^{(\tilde{l}n)}) \\ &\vdots \\ a_{(\tilde{l}-1)n} &= (\alpha_{(\tilde{l}-1)n}^{(0)} \dots \alpha_{(\tilde{l}-1)n}^{(\tilde{l}n)}), \end{aligned}$$

defined for  $n$  and  $\lambda = \tilde{l}$  in Lemma 1. So by this lemma, there exist positive integers  $\tilde{K}_0, \dots, \tilde{K}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n}$  such that  $\tilde{K}_0 \tilde{a}_0 + \dots + \tilde{K}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n} \tilde{a}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n} - \tilde{b} = 0$  and  $\tilde{K}_0 + \dots + \tilde{K}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n} = \tilde{l}^n$ . Thus (19) and (20) imply

$$\begin{aligned} &\tilde{K}_0 \varepsilon_{n+k-\tilde{l}n}(z) + \tilde{K}_1 \varepsilon_{n+k-\tilde{l}n+1}(z) + \dots + \tilde{K}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n} \varepsilon_k(z) \\ &= \tilde{\varepsilon}(z) + n!f(\tilde{l}z) - n!\tilde{l}^n f(z) \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} (21) \quad \varepsilon_k(z) &= -\frac{1}{\tilde{K}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n}} \left( \tilde{K}_0 \varepsilon_{n+k-\tilde{l}n}(z) + \tilde{K}_1 \varepsilon_{n+k-\tilde{l}n+1}(z) + \dots \right. \\ &\left. \dots + \tilde{K}_{(\tilde{l}-1)n-1} \varepsilon_{k-1}(z) + \tilde{\varepsilon}(z) + n!(f(\tilde{l}z) - \tilde{l}^n f(z)) \right) \quad (z \in \mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

From

$$\tilde{l} = \left\lfloor \frac{k-1}{n} \right\rfloor + 1 \leq \frac{k-1}{n} + 1 \leq \frac{(l-1)n-1+n}{n} < l$$

together with the inductive hypothesis (7) we get:

$$f(\tilde{l}z) - \tilde{l}^n f(z) = o(z^\alpha) \quad (z \searrow 0).$$

By (14) and (17)

$$\varepsilon_r(z) = o(z^\alpha) \quad (z \searrow 0)$$

for  $r = n+k-\tilde{l}n, \dots, k-1$ . Combining (21), (16) and the previous two formulae we get

$$\varepsilon_k(z) = o(z^\alpha) \quad (z \searrow 0).$$

II. Now we prove that under our assumptions  $f(0) = 0$  and

$$(22) \quad f(-z) - (-1)^n f(z) = o(|z|^\alpha) \quad (z \rightarrow 0).$$

We consider the functions

$$\varepsilon_0(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} f(kz) - n! f(z)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_1(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} f((k-1)z) - n! f(z),$$

defined in (8). By the well-known formulae

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} k^n - n! = 0$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} (k-1)^n - n! = 0$$

we can write the functions  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $\varepsilon_1$  in the form

$$(23) \quad \varepsilon_0(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} (f(kz) - k^n f(z))$$

and

$$(24) \quad \varepsilon_1(z) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} (f((k-1)z) - (k-1)^n f(z)).$$

In the first part of the proof we have shown that  $\varepsilon_0(z) = o(z^\alpha)$ ,  $\varepsilon_1(z) = o(z^\alpha)$  and  $f(lz) - l^n f(z) = o(z^\alpha)$ , ( $z \searrow 0$ ,  $l = 1, \dots, n$ ). This relation together with (23) implies  $f(0) = 0$ , therefore, applying (24) we get

$$f(-z) - (-1)^n f(z) = o(z^\alpha) \quad (z \searrow 0)$$

which yields (22).

Finally, (6) and (22) prove Theorem 1.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\delta > 0$  be a real number and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If the function  $f : [-\delta, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies the property*

$$(25) \quad \Delta_y^n f(x) - n!f(y) = 0 \quad (x \in [-\delta, 0], y, x + ny \in [0, \delta]),$$

*then for any integer  $l$  there exists a real number  $\delta_l > 0$  such that*

$$(26) \quad f(lz) - l^n f(z) = 0 \quad (z \in [-\delta_l, \delta_l]).$$

PROOF. Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be given and let  $f : [-\delta, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function satisfying (25). We prove that for an arbitrary integer  $l$  with  $\delta_l = \frac{\delta}{|l|^n}$  equation (26) holds.

The proof can be done in a similar way as in the proof of Theorem 1, therefore, we give the outline of the argument, only.

At first, we show by induction on  $l$  that for any  $l \in \mathbb{N}$

$$(27) \quad f(lz) - l^n f(z) = 0 \quad \left( z \in \left[ -\frac{\delta}{ln}, \frac{\delta}{ln} \right] \right).$$

For  $l > 1$  we define the functions

$$\varepsilon_0, \dots, \varepsilon_{(l-1)n} \text{ and } \varepsilon : \left[ -\frac{\delta}{ln}, \frac{\delta}{ln} \right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

by the same formula as in (8) and (9) and we use a similar method as in the proof of Theorem 1, to show that

$$\varepsilon_k(z) = 0 \quad \left( z \in \left[ -\frac{\delta}{ln}, \frac{\delta}{ln} \right] \right)$$

for  $k = 0, \dots, (l-1)n$  and

$$\varepsilon(z) = 0 \quad \left( z \in \left[ -\frac{\delta}{ln}, \frac{\delta}{ln} \right] \right).$$

By Lemma 1

$$n! \left( f(lz) - l^n f(z) \right) = K_0 \varepsilon_0(z) + \dots + K_{(l-1)n} \varepsilon_{(l-1)n}(z) - \varepsilon(z) \\ \left( z \in \left[ -\frac{\delta}{ln}, \frac{\delta}{ln} \right] \right),$$

which proves (27).

To prove

$$(28) \quad f(-z) - (-1)^n f(z) = 0 \quad \left( z \in \left[ -\frac{\delta}{n}, \frac{\delta}{n} \right] \right)$$

we consider the functions  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $\varepsilon_1$  on the interval  $\left[ -\frac{\delta}{n^2}, \frac{\delta}{n^2} \right]$ . Here we have

$$\varepsilon_0(z) = 0 \quad \left( z \in \left[ -\frac{\delta}{n^2}, \frac{\delta}{n^2} \right] \right)$$

and

$$\varepsilon_1(z) = 0 \quad \left( z \in \left[ -\frac{\delta}{n^2}, \frac{\delta}{n^2} \right] \right),$$

therefore, we get, by the method used in the second part of the proof of Theorem 1, that

$$f(-z) - (-1)^n f(z) = 0 \quad \left( z \in \left[ -\frac{\delta}{n^2}, \frac{\delta}{n^2} \right] \right),$$

from which with

$$f(2z) - 2^n f(z) = 0 \quad \left( z \in \left[ -\frac{\delta}{2n}, \frac{\delta}{2n} \right] \right)$$

(28) follows.

Finally, (28) together with (27) implies (26).

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\delta > 0$  be a real number and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If the function  $f : [-\delta, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies property (25), then there exists a real number  $\bar{\delta} > 0$  such that*

$$(29) \quad \Delta_y^n f(x) - n! f(y) = 0$$

for  $x, y, x + ny \in [-\bar{\delta}, \bar{\delta}]$ .

PROOF. Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  be given numbers and let  $f : [-\delta, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function with property (25). Let, furthermore,  $\bar{\delta} = \frac{\delta}{2n}$  and  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  be fixed numbers for which  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{x} + n\bar{y} \in [-\bar{\delta}, \bar{\delta}]$ .

It is trivial, that in the case when  $\bar{y} = 0$  equation (29) holds. For an arbitrary function  $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  we have the simple formula

$$\Delta_y^n \varphi(x) = (-1)^n \Delta_{-y}^n \varphi(x + ny) \quad (x, y \in \mathbb{R}),$$

so by

$$f(-y) - (-1)^n f(y) = 0 \quad (y \in [-\bar{\delta}, \bar{\delta}]),$$

which was proved in Theorem 2, we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_y^n f(x) - n!f(y) &= (-1)^n (\Delta_{-y}^n f(x + ny) - n!f(-y)) \\ &\quad (x, y, x + ny \in [-\bar{\delta}, \bar{\delta}]). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we may suppose that  $\bar{y} \in (0, \bar{\delta}]$ .

In the case when  $\bar{x} \in [-\bar{\delta}, 0]$  and  $\bar{x} + n\bar{y} \in [0, \bar{\delta}]$ , (29) comes from (1). If  $\bar{x}, \bar{x} + n\bar{y} \in [-\bar{\delta}, 0]$  and  $\bar{y} \in (0, \bar{\delta}]$  since

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_y^n f(x) - n!f(y) &= (-1)^n \Delta_{-y}^n f(-x) - (-1)^n n!f(-y) \\ &= \Delta_y^n f(-x - ny) - n!f(y) \quad (x, y, x + ny \in [-\bar{\delta}, \bar{\delta}]) \end{aligned}$$

with  $\tilde{x} = -\bar{x} - n\bar{y}$  we get  $\tilde{x}, \bar{y}, \tilde{x} + n\bar{y} \in (0, \bar{\delta}]$ , which means that we may suppose that  $\bar{x} \in (0, \bar{\delta}]$ . Therefore, it is sufficient to prove (29) for  $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in (0, \bar{\delta}]$ .

If  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  have these properties, then there exist natural numbers  $m$  such that  $\bar{x} - m\bar{y} \leq 0$ . Let  $m_0$  be the smallest natural number with this property and we define  $x_\mu^* = \bar{x} - (m_0 - \mu)\bar{y}$  for  $\mu = 0, \dots, m_0$ .

We prove by induction on  $\mu$  that by

$$(30) \quad c_\mu := \Delta_{\bar{y}}^n f(x_\mu^*) - n!f(\bar{y})$$

$c_\mu = 0$  for  $\mu = 0, \dots, m_0$ , which with  $\mu = m_0$  implies

$$\Delta_{\bar{y}}^n f(\bar{x}) - n!f(\bar{y}) = 0,$$

which is our statement.

By (25), obviously,  $c_0 = 0$ .

Let  $\mu \in \{1, \dots, m_0\}$  and suppose that  $c_\nu = 0$  is already proved for  $\nu = 0, \dots, \mu - 1$ . Taking

$$x = x_\mu^* - i\bar{y}, \quad y = \bar{y} \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

and

$$x = x_\mu^* - n\bar{y}, \quad y = 2\bar{y},$$

respectively, the inductive hypothesis and (25) lead to

$$\Delta_{\bar{y}}^n f(x_\mu^* - i\bar{y}) - n!f(\bar{y}) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

and

$$\Delta_{2\bar{y}}^n f(x_\mu^* - n\bar{y}) - n!f(2\bar{y}) = 0.$$

It is easy to see that with the notation of Lemma 1 (for  $\lambda = 2$ ) we can write these equations as follows

$$(31) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \alpha_i^{(k)} f(x_\mu^* + (n-k)\bar{y}) - n!f(\bar{y}) = 0 \quad (i = 1, \dots, n)$$

and

$$(32) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \beta^{(k)} f(x_\mu^* + (n-k)\bar{y}) - n!f(2\bar{y}) = 0.$$

Furthermore, (30) has the form

$$(33) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{2n} \alpha_0^{(k)} f(x_\mu^* + (n-k)\bar{y}) - n!f(\bar{y}) = c_\mu.$$

By Lemma 1 for  $a_i = (\alpha_i^{(0)}, \dots, \alpha_i^{(2n)})$ ,  $(i = 0, \dots, n)$  and  $b = (\beta^{(0)}, \dots, \beta^{(2n)})$  there exist positive integers  $K_0, \dots, K_n$  such that  $K_0 a_0 + \dots + K_n a_n - b = 0$  and  $K_0 + \dots + K_n = 2^n$ . Therefore, by the equations in (31), (32) and (33) we get

$$-(K_0 + \dots + K_n)n!f(\bar{y}) + n!f(2\bar{y}) = K_0 c_\mu,$$

that is

$$-2^n n!f(\bar{y}) + n!f(2\bar{y}) = K_0 c_\mu.$$

By Theorem 2 we have  $f(2\bar{y}) - 2^n f(\bar{y}) = 0$ , which implies  $c_\mu = 0$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $n$  be a natural number and  $\alpha > n$  be a real number. If a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies*

$$(1) \quad \Delta_y^n f(x) - n!f(y) = o(y^\alpha) \quad ((x, y) \rightarrow (0, 0), x \leq 0 \leq x + ny)$$

*then there exists a monomial function  $g : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree  $n$  such that*

$$(2) \quad f(x) - g(x) = o(|x|^\alpha) \quad (x \rightarrow 0).$$

**PROOF.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha > n$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  be given. For a function  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying (1) Theorem 1 implies

$$(34) \quad f(lz) - l^n f(z) = o(|z|^\alpha) \quad (z \rightarrow 0)$$

for any integer  $l$ . Let now  $l \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $l > 1$  be fixed. It is easy to see, that (34) is equivalent to the following statement: there exist a real number  $\delta > 0$  and a continuous, increasing function  $h : [0, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with the property  $\lim_{z \searrow 0} h(z) = 0$  such that

$$|f(lz) - l^n f(z)| \leq |z|^\alpha h(|z|) \quad (z \in [-\delta, \delta]).$$

Therefore, for an arbitrary  $z_0 \in [-\delta, \delta]$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\left| f\left(\frac{z_0}{l^{k-1}}\right) - l^n f\left(\frac{z_0}{l^k}\right) \right| \leq \frac{|z_0|^\alpha}{l^{k\alpha}} h\left(\frac{|z_0|}{l^k}\right).$$

With

$$\varepsilon_k(z_0) := l^{(k-1)n} f\left(\frac{z_0}{l^{k-1}}\right) - l^{kn} f\left(\frac{z_0}{l^k}\right)$$

we get

$$|\varepsilon_k(z_0)| \leq l^{(k-1)n} \frac{|z_0|^\alpha}{l^{k\alpha}} h\left(\frac{|z_0|}{l^k}\right)$$

and the monotony of  $h$  yields

$$(35) \quad |\varepsilon_k(z_0)| \leq \frac{1}{l^{k(\alpha-n)}} \frac{|z_0|^\alpha}{l^n} h(|z_0|).$$

For an arbitrary  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  we obtain

$$(36) \quad \varepsilon_1(z_0) + \dots + \varepsilon_N(z_0) = f(z_0) - l^{Nn} f\left(\frac{z_0}{l^N}\right).$$

Since  $\alpha > n$

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l^{k(\alpha-n)}} = \frac{1}{l^{\alpha-n} - 1},$$

therefore,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_k(z_0)$$

is convergent, so the limit

$$(37) \quad g(z_0) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} l^{kn} f\left(\frac{z_0}{l^k}\right)$$

exists, and (35) and (36) yield

$$|f(z_0) - g(z_0)| \leq \frac{1}{l^{\alpha-n} - 1} \frac{|z_0|^\alpha}{l^n} h(|z_0|),$$

which implies (2).

For  $x \in [-\delta, 0]$ ,  $x + ny \in [0, \delta]$  by (1) we have

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Delta_{\frac{y}{l^k}}^n f\left(\frac{x}{l^k}\right) - n! f\left(\frac{y}{l^k}\right)}{\left(\frac{y}{l^k}\right)^\alpha} = 0,$$

and (37) gives

$$\Delta_y^n g(x) - n! g(y) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} l^{kn} \left( \Delta_{\frac{y}{l^k}}^n f\left(\frac{x}{l^k}\right) - n! f\left(\frac{y}{l^k}\right) \right) = 0,$$

which together with Theorem 3 show that there exists a real number  $\bar{\delta} > 0$  such that  $g$  is a monomial function of degree  $n$  on the interval  $[-\bar{\delta}, \bar{\delta}]$ . This result and the known extension theorem for monomial functions (cf. [5], for instance) imply our statement.

### 3. Locally additive functions with order $\alpha \neq 1$

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $\delta$  be a positive real number and  $f : [-\delta, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If there exists a real number  $K \geq 0$  such that*

$$(38) \quad |f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)| \leq K \quad (x \in [-\delta, 0], y, x+y \in [0, \delta]),$$

then we have

$$(39) \quad |f(x+y) - f(x) - f(y)| \leq 3K$$

for all  $x, y, x+y \in [-\delta, \delta]$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $\bar{x}$  and  $\bar{y}$  be fixed real numbers such that  $\bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{x} + \bar{y} \in [-\delta, \delta]$ . Then we have one of the following relations:

- (A)  $\bar{x} \in [-\delta, 0], \bar{y} \in [0, \delta], \bar{x} + \bar{y} \in [0, \delta];$
- (B)  $\bar{x} \in [-\delta, 0], \bar{y} \in [0, \delta], \bar{x} + \bar{y} \in [-\delta, 0];$
- (C)  $\bar{x} \in [0, \delta], \bar{y} \in [0, \delta], \bar{x} + \bar{y} \in [0, \delta];$

- (D)  $\bar{x} \in [-\delta, 0], \bar{y} \in [-\delta, 0], \bar{x} + \bar{y} \in [-\delta, 0];$
- (E)  $\bar{x} \in [0, \delta], \bar{y} \in [-\delta, 0], \bar{x} + \bar{y} \in [0, \delta];$
- (F)  $\bar{x} \in [0, \delta], \bar{y} \in [-\delta, 0], \bar{x} + \bar{y} \in [-\delta, 0];$

Case (A) is trivial.

In case (B) we get the following inequalities from (38):

- $|f(\bar{y}) - f(\bar{x} + \bar{y}) - f(-\bar{x})| \leq K,$  with  $x = \bar{x} + \bar{y}$  and  $y = -\bar{x};$
- $|-f(0) + f(\bar{x}) + f(-\bar{x})| \leq K,$  with  $x = \bar{x}$  and  $y = -\bar{x};$
- $|f(\bar{y}) - f(0) - f(\bar{y})| \leq K,$  with  $x = 0$  and  $y = \bar{y};$

and the addition of these inequalities implies (39).

In case (F) we get (39) by case (B) and with  $x = \bar{y}$  and  $y = \bar{x}.$

The remaining cases can be treated by the substitutions  $x = -\bar{y}$  and  $y = \bar{y}; x = -\bar{y}$  and  $y = \bar{x} + \bar{y}; x = 0$  and  $y = \bar{y}$  in case (C);  $x = \bar{y}$  and  $y = -\bar{y}; x = \bar{x}$  and  $y = -\bar{x} - \bar{y}; x = \bar{x} + \bar{y}$  and  $y = -\bar{x} - \bar{y}$  in case (D);  $x = \bar{y}$  and  $y = \bar{x}$  in case (E), respectively.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $\alpha \geq 0, \alpha \neq 1$  be a real number and let  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a function with the property*

$$(40) \quad f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y) = o(y^\alpha) \quad (x \leq 0 \leq x + y, y \searrow 0).$$

*Then there exists an additive function  $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that*

$$f(x) - a(x) = o(|x|^\alpha) \quad (x \rightarrow 0).$$

PROOF. For  $\alpha > 1$  the statement is proved in Theorem 4.

In the sequel,  $\alpha \in [0, 1).$  In this case the proof is similar to some reasoning in [7].

By (40) there exist real numbers  $\delta > 0$  and  $K > 0$  such that

$$|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)| \leq K \quad (x \in [-\delta, 0], y, x + y \in [0, \delta]),$$

hence from Lemma 2 we have

$$|f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)| \leq 3K \quad (x, y, x + y \in [-\delta, \delta]).$$

Z. KOMINEK proved ([4], Lemma 1) that this property implies the existence of an additive function  $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$|f(x) - a(x)| \leq 12K \quad (x \in [-\delta, \delta]).$$

For the function  $\varepsilon : [-\delta, \delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\varepsilon(x) = f(x) - a(x)$  we have  $\varepsilon(0) = 0$  and by Theorem 1

$$\varepsilon(2z) - 2\varepsilon(z) = o(|z|^\alpha) \quad (z \rightarrow 0).$$

It is easy to see, that this property is equivalent to the following: there exist a real number  $\delta_1 > 0$  and a continuous, increasing function  $h : [0, \delta_1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\lim_{z \searrow 0} h(z) = 0$  and

$$|\varepsilon(2z) - 2\varepsilon(z)| \leq |z|^\alpha h(|z|) \quad (z \in [-\delta_1, \delta_1]).$$

Introducing the function

$$\bar{\varepsilon}(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\varepsilon(z)}{|z|^\alpha}, & \text{if } z \in [-\delta_1, \delta_1], z \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } z = 0 \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\left| |z|^\alpha \bar{\varepsilon}(z) - \frac{1}{2} 2^\alpha |z|^\alpha \bar{\varepsilon}(2z) \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} |z|^\alpha h(|z|) \quad (z \in [-\delta_1, \delta_1])$$

and

$$|\bar{\varepsilon}(z) - 2^{\alpha-1} \bar{\varepsilon}(2z)| \leq \frac{1}{2} h(|z|) \quad (z \in [-\delta_1, \delta_1]).$$

Write

$$s_k = \sup \left\{ |\bar{\varepsilon}(z)| \mid \frac{\delta_1}{2^k} \leq |z| \leq \frac{\delta_1}{2^{k-1}} \right\} \quad (k \in \mathbb{N}).$$

Then

$$s_{k+1} \leq 2^{\alpha-1} s_k + \frac{1}{2} h\left(\frac{\delta_1}{2^k}\right), \quad (k \in \mathbb{N})$$

therefore,  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} s_k = 0$  and

$$\varepsilon(z) = o(|z|^\alpha) \quad (z \rightarrow 0).$$

## References

- [1] A. DINGHAS, Zur Theorie der gewöhnlichen Differentialgleichungen, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fennicae*, Ser. A I **375** (1966).
- [2] A. GILÁNYI, A characterization of monomial functions (*to appear in Aequationes Math.*).
- [3] A. GILÁNYI, A remark to a characterization of monomial functions (*to appear*).
- [4] Z. KOMINEK, On the local stability of the Jensen functional equation, *Demonstratio Math.* **22** (1989), 499–507.
- [5] ZS. PÁLES, Extension theorems for functional equations with bisymmetric operations (*preprint*).
- [6] A. SIMON and P. VOLKMANN, Eine Charakterisierung von polynomialen Funktionen mittels der Dinghasschen Intervall-Derivierten, *Results in Math.* **26** (1994), 382–384.
- [7] A. SIMON and P. VOLKMANN, Perturbations de fonctions additives (*to appear*).
- [8] F. SKOF, Sull'approssimazione delle applicazioni localmente  $\delta$ -additive, *Atti della Accademia delle Scienze di Torino, I. Classe* **117** (1983), 377–389.
- [9] F. SKOF, Sulla stabilità dell'equazione funzionale quadratica su un dominio ristretto, *Atti della Accademia delle Scienze di Torino* **121** 5-6 (1987), 153–167.
- [10] L. SZÉKELYHIDI, Convolution Type Functional Equations on Topological Abelian Groups, *World Sci. Publ. Co., Singapore*, 1991.

ATTILA GILÁNYI  
INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATICS  
LAJOS KOSSUTH UNIVERSITY  
H-4010 DEBRECEN, P.O.BOX 12  
HUNGARY

*E-mail:* gil@math.klte.hu

*(Received March 20, 1997)*