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#### Abstract

A new integral representation for $\zeta^{r}(s)$ is obtained, where $r \geq 3$ is a fixed natural number. The approach is due to A. Guthmann, who obtained the analogue of the classical Riemann-Siegel formula (for $\zeta(s)$ ) for several Dirichlet series, including $\zeta^{2}(s)$. The fundamental role is played by the Mellin inverse of $\pi^{-r s / 2} \Gamma^{r}(s / 2) \zeta^{r}(s)$. The properties of this function are studied in detail and in particular its asymptotic expansion is given.


## 1. Introduction

Integral representations of Dirichlet series are a major tool in Analytic Number Theory. Of special prominence is the classical Riemann-Siegel formula (see C.L. SiEgEL [12])

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi^{-s / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)= & \pi^{-s / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{0_{\swarrow 1}} \frac{e^{i \pi x^{2}} x^{-s}}{e^{i \pi x}-e^{-i \pi x}} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\pi^{(s-1) / 2} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \int_{0 \searrow 1} \frac{e^{-i \pi x^{2}} x^{s-1}}{e^{i \pi x}-e^{-i \pi x}} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$
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This is valid for $s$ not equal to the poles of $\Gamma(s)$, and $0 \swarrow 1($ resp. $0 \searrow 1)$ denotes a straight line which starts from infinity in the upper complex halfplane, has slope equal to 1 (resp. to -1 ), and cuts the real axis between 0 and 1 . The integrals in (1.1) are of a fairly simple nature, and they can be evaluated asymptotically to provide precise formulas for $\zeta(s)$ (see [6], [12], [13] and (7.9)). Although (1.1) has been known for a long time, its direct generalization to other Dirichlet series, which possess functional equations with gamma-factors similar to the functional equation of $\zeta(s)$, remained an open problem. It is only in the early 1980's that Y. Мотонаshi [8][10] obtained the asymptotic expansion of $\zeta^{2}(s)$. His method, however, uses some intrinsic properties of the function $d(n)$ (the number of divisors of $n$ ), and cannot be readily generalized. Also due to some unfortunate circumstances (see the postscript in [10]) a detailed proof of his results was not appropriately published in due time.

It is only recently that A. Guthmann devised a general approach for obtaining integral representations for Dirichlet series, which may be regarded as a generalization of the Riemann-Siegel integral formula (1.1). In his Habilitation Thesis [2] and in [4] he obtained an analogue of (1.1) for zeta-functions of holomorphic cusp forms, and in [3] for $\zeta(s) \zeta(s+1)$. In [5] he further developed his ideas to tackle $\zeta^{2}(s)$. It is the purpose of this paper to obtain an analogous integral representation for $\zeta^{r}(s)$, where $r \geq 3$ is an arbitrary, but fixed natural number. This in turn depends on properties of the inverse Mellin transform of $\pi^{-r s / 2} \Gamma^{r}(s / 2) \zeta^{r}(s)$. This function, which we shall denote by $\psi_{r}(x)$, appears to be of intrinsic interest and it will be extensively studied in the sequel. Generalizations of our integral representations for $\zeta^{r}(s)$ to other Dirichlet series possessing functional equations with multiple gamma-factors are possible.
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## 2. The outline of the method

For $r \geq 1$ a fixed integer, $c>0$ and $\Re \mathrm{e} x>0$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{r}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the integral is absolutely convergent and, as usual,

$$
\int_{(c)} F(s) \mathrm{d} s=\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{c-i T}^{c+i T} F(s) \mathrm{d} s
$$

Then it follows by the Mellin inversion formula (see the Appendix of [6]) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) 2^{s}=\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{r}(x) x^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x \quad(\Re \mathrm{e} s>0) . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $d_{r}(n)$ is the number of ways in which $n(\in \mathbb{N})$ may be written as a product of $r$ fixed factors $\left(d_{1}(n)=1, d_{2}(n)=d(n)\right)$, then for $\Re \mathrm{e} s>1$

$$
\zeta^{r}(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{r}(n) n^{-s}
$$

Consequently by absolute convergence we have, for $\Re \mathrm{e} x>0, c>1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{r}(x):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_{r}(n) f_{r}\left(2 \pi^{\frac{r}{2}} x n\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \pi^{-\frac{r s}{2}} \zeta^{r}(s) \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) x^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence by the Mellin inversion formula we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi^{-\frac{r s}{2}} \zeta^{r}(s) \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi_{r}(x) x^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x \quad(\Re \mathrm{e} s>1) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The shape of the left-hand side of (2.4) is such that it remains unchanged if $s$ is replaced by $1-s$. This follows from the symmetric form of the functional equation for $\zeta(s)$ (see [6] or [13]), namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)=\pi^{-\frac{(1-s)}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \zeta(1-s) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact it is precisely the symmetry furnished by (2.5) which is crucial in deriving integral representations for $\zeta^{r}(s)$. The function $\psi_{r}(x)$ is holomorphic for $\Re \mathrm{e} x>0$, and it is of exponential decay as $x \rightarrow \infty$. To see this let $X=\pi^{r} x^{2}, c>1$. Then from (2.3) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{r}(x)=\frac{1}{\pi i} \int_{(c)} \zeta^{r}(2 w) \Gamma^{r}(w) X^{-w} \mathrm{~d} w \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $e^{-x}, \Gamma(s)$ is a pair of Mellin transforms, the Parseval identity for Mellin transforms (see (A.5) of [6]) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty}|\Gamma(\sigma+i t)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} t=\pi 2^{-2 \sigma} \Gamma(2 \sigma) \quad(\sigma>0) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

But for $\Re \mathrm{e} w \geq 2$ we have $|\zeta(w)| \leq \frac{\pi^{2}}{6}$, and for $x>0$ (see e.g. N.N. LEBEDEV [7])

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\Gamma(x+i y)| \leq \Gamma(x)=\sqrt{2 \pi} x^{x-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-x}(1+r(x)), \quad|r(x)| \leq e^{\frac{1}{12 x}}-1 . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume now that $r \geq 2$. Since $e^{x} \leq 1+2 x$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ we have $|1+r(x)| \leq 7 / 6$ for $x \geq 1$, hence from (2.6)-(2.8) it follows that, for $x, c \geq 1$ and $r \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\psi_{r}(x)\right| & \leq \frac{2}{\pi}\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\right)^{r} X^{-c} \int_{0}^{\infty}|\Gamma(c+i t)|^{2} \Gamma^{r-2}(c) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =2\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\right)^{r} X^{-c} 2^{-2 c} \Gamma(2 c) \Gamma^{r-2}(c) \\
& \leq \sqrt{2}\left(\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}\right)^{r}\left(\frac{7}{6} \sqrt{2 \pi}\right)^{r-1} \exp \left(-c \log X+r c \log c-r c+\frac{1-r}{2} \log c\right) \\
& =\frac{6}{7 \sqrt{\pi}}\left(\frac{7 \pi^{5 / 2} 2^{1 / 2}}{36}\right)^{r} X^{\frac{1-r}{2 r}} \exp \left(-r X^{\frac{1}{r}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with the choice $c=X^{1 / r}(\geq 1)$. Therefore we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\psi_{r}(x)\right| \leq \frac{6}{7}\left(\frac{7 \pi^{2} 2^{1 / 2}}{36}\right)^{r} x^{\frac{1-r}{r}} \exp \left(-r \pi x^{\frac{2}{r}}\right) \quad(x \geq 1, r \geq 2) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and from (3.2) it is seen that (2.9) also holds when $r=1$. For an asymptotic expansion of $\psi_{r}(x)$ when $r$ is fixed, see (5.11). Actually no absolute value signs are needed in (2.9), since $\psi_{r}(x)>0$ (and we have $\psi_{r}^{\prime}(x)<0$ ) for $x>0$. This follows from the series representation (2.3) and the properties of $f_{r}(x)$ (see (3.11) for the proof that $f_{r}(x)>0, f_{r}^{\prime}(x)<0$ when $x>0$ ).

It will turn out that $\psi_{r}(x)$ also satisfies a simple functional equation which relates its values at the points $x$ and $1 / x$. This result will be given as Theorem 4 in Section 6.

Let now $\xi=R e^{i \delta}$, where $R>0,0 \leq \delta<\frac{\pi}{2}$, and eventually we shall let $\delta \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$. Then in (2.4) we may turn the line of integration by the angle $\delta$ around the origin to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi_{r}(x) x^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{0}^{e^{i \delta} \infty}=\int_{0}^{\xi}+\int_{\xi}^{\xi \infty}  \tag{2.10}\\
= & \int_{\xi^{-1}}^{\xi^{-1} \infty} \psi_{r}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) x^{-s-1} \mathrm{~d} x+\int_{\xi}^{\xi \infty} \psi_{r}(x) x^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose temporarily that $\Re \mathrm{e} s>1$. In the integral with $\psi_{r}(1 / x)$ we use Theorem 4 (the functional equation for $\psi_{r}$ ) to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\xi^{-1}}^{\xi^{-1}} \infty \psi_{r}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) x^{-s-1} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\xi^{-1}}^{\xi^{-1} \infty} \psi_{r}(x) x^{-s} \mathrm{~d} x+H_{r}(s, \xi), \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the function $H_{r}(s, \xi)$ is defined by (2.17). It can be easily evaluated in terms of elementary functions, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int x^{w} \log ^{k} x \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{d^{k}}{d w^{k}}\left(\int x^{w} \mathrm{~d} x\right)=\frac{d^{k}}{d w^{k}}\left(\frac{x^{w+1}}{w+1}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \neq-1$. Hence applying (2.12) we obtain an analytic continuation of $H_{r}(s, \xi)$ which is valid for all complex $s$ except $s=0,1$. For $r \geq 3$ we have, turning the line of integration so that it is again parallel to the real axis,

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{\xi}^{\xi \infty} \psi_{r}(x) x^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x & =\lim _{\delta \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{\xi}^{\xi+\infty} \psi_{r}(x) x^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{2.13}\\
& =\int_{i R}^{i R+\infty} \psi_{r}(x) x^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore from (2.4), (2.10) and (2.11) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi^{-\frac{r s}{2}} \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta^{r}(s)= & \int_{i R}^{i R+\infty} \psi_{r}(x) x^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& +\int_{\frac{1}{i \hbar}}^{\frac{1}{i \hbar}+\infty} \psi_{r}(x) x^{-s} \mathrm{~d} x+H_{r}(s, \xi) . \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence by analytic continuation we obtain from (2.14) the desired integral representation for $\zeta^{r}(s)$. It generalizes the case $r=2$ of [5], where $R=p / q$ was a rational number. The remaining details of the proof will be given in Section 7, and the result is

Theorem 1. For $R>0, s \neq 0,1$, and $r \geq 3$ a fixed integer we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{r}(s)=T_{r}(s, R)+X_{r}(s) \overline{T_{r}\left(1-\bar{s}, R^{-1}\right)}+\pi^{\frac{r s}{2}} \Gamma^{-r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) H_{r}(s, i R), \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
X_{r}(s)=\pi^{r s-\frac{r}{2}} \frac{\Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right)}{\Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)},  \tag{2.16}\\
T_{r}(s, R)=\pi^{\frac{r s}{2}} \Gamma^{-r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{i R}^{i R+\infty} \psi_{r}(x) x^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x \\
H_{r}(s, \xi):=\int_{\xi^{-1}}^{\xi^{-1} \infty}\left(x p_{r-1}(-\log x)-p_{r-1}(\log x)\right) x^{-s-1} \mathrm{~d} x,
\end{gather*}
$$

and the polynomial $p_{r-1}(u)$ of degree $r-1$ in $u$ is defined by

$$
p_{r-1}(\log x)=\operatorname{Res}_{s=1}^{-\frac{r s}{2}} \zeta^{r}(s) \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) x^{1-s} .
$$

## 3. Some properties of $f_{r}(x)$

It is clear that the study of the function $f_{r}(x)$, defined by (2.1), is essential for the understanding of the properties of the crucial function $\psi_{r}(x)$, defined by (2.3). The function $f_{r}(x)$ is in fact equal to $E_{r, 0}(x / 2)$ in the notation of A. Guthmann [1], where for nonnegative integers $\lambda$ and $\nu$ such that $\lambda+\nu \geq 1$, and $x, c>0$ he defined and studied the function

$$
E_{\lambda, \nu}(x):=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma^{\lambda}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma^{\nu}(s) x^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

with the aim of deriving approximate functional equations for a class of Dirichlet series.

We begin the discussion concerning $f_{r}(x)$ with the simplest case $r=1$, when we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}(x)=2 \cdot \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma(w)\left(\frac{x^{2}}{4}\right)^{-w} \mathrm{~d} w=2 e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently (2.4) becomes

$$
\begin{gather*}
\pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \zeta(s)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi_{1}(x) x^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x, \\
\psi_{1}(x)=2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi x^{2} n^{2}} \quad(\Re \mathrm{e} s>1), \tag{3.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

and (3.2) is in fact due already to B. Riemann [11]. Since $\psi_{1}$ is essentially a theta-function, the functional equation for the theta-function, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta(z):=\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2} z}=z^{-\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^{2} z^{-1}} \quad(\Re \mathrm{e} z>0) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

may be applied to yield a classical proof of the functional equation (2.5).
For $r=2$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma^{2}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s=4 K_{0}(x) \quad(c>0, \Re \mathrm{e} x>0), \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where in standard notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{s}(z)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{s-1} \exp \left(-\frac{z}{2}\left(t+\frac{1}{t}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t \quad(\Re \mathrm{e} z>0) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the modified Bessel function of the third kind (also called Macdonald's function). One can establish the second equality in (3.4) by noting that, for $\Re \mathrm{e} s>0$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{0}(x) x^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{s-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1} \exp \left(-\frac{x}{2}\left(t+\frac{1}{t}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} x \\
\quad=\Gamma(s) 2^{s-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{-1}\left(t+\frac{1}{t}\right)^{-s} \mathrm{~d} t=\Gamma(s) 2^{s-1} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{t^{s-1}}{\left(t^{2}+1\right)^{s}} \mathrm{~d} t
\end{gathered}
$$

where the change of the order of integration is justified by absolute convergence. Change of variable $x=\left(t^{2}+1\right)^{-1}$ in the last integral gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} K_{0}(x) x^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x & =\Gamma(s) 2^{s-2} \int_{0}^{1} x^{\frac{s}{2}-1}(1-x)^{\frac{s}{2}-1} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{3.6}\\
& =\Gamma(s) 2^{s-2} B\left(\frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}\right)=2^{s-2} \Gamma^{2}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

since the beta-function $B(a, b)$ satisfies

$$
B(a, b)=\int_{0}^{1} x^{a-1}(1-x)^{b-1} \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b)}{\Gamma(a+b)} \quad(\Re \mathrm{e} a, \Re \mathrm{e} b>0) .
$$

From (3.6) one obtains (3.4) by Mellin inversion.
Also from the representation $K_{0}(z)=\int_{1}^{\infty} e^{-z t}\left(t^{2}-1\right)^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} t(\Re \mathrm{e} z>0)$ (see [7], p. 119), we obtain by change of variable $t=1+x / z$ that

$$
\begin{align*}
K_{0}(z)= & (2 z)^{-1 / 2} e^{-z}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} x\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-x} x^{-1 / 2}\left(\left(1+\frac{x}{2 z}\right)^{-1 / 2}-1\right) \mathrm{d} x\right\} . \tag{3.7}
\end{align*}
$$

By analytic continuation (3.7) extends to the entire complex plane cut from 0 to $-\infty$. After some elementary estimations it follows from (3.7) that, in the complex $z$-plane cut from 0 to $-\infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{0}(z)=\left(\frac{\pi}{2 z}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} e^{-z}(1+H(z)) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H(z)$ is holomorphic and the principal branch of the square root is to be taken. Moreover, for $\Re \mathrm{e} z>0$ one has $|H(z)| \leq z$, while if additionally $|z| \geq 1$ is assumed, then $|H(z)| \leq 1 /|z|$. These properties of $K_{0}(z)$ were used in an essential way by A. Guthmann [5] in his work on the integral representations for $\zeta^{2}(s)$.

When $r \geq 3$ the situation becomes much more complicated, since it does not seem possible to find a simple expression for $f_{r}(x)$ in closed form, from which one can readily deduce its analytic properties and asymptotic behaviour as $x \rightarrow \infty$. However we can obtain a series expansion for $f_{r}(x)$ if in the defining relation (2.1) we take $c=-N-1 / 2$, where $N$ is a natural
number tending to infinity. In doing this we pass the poles of the integrand at $s=-2 n(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$, which are of order $r$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Res}_{s=-2 n} \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-s}= & x^{2 n}\left(a_{0, r}(n) \log ^{r-1} x\right. \\
& \left.+a_{1, r}(n) \log ^{r-2} x+\cdots+a_{r-1, r}(n)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

with suitable constants $a_{j, r}(n)(j=0,1,2, \ldots, r-1)$ which may be explicitly evaluated. We recall Stirling's formula (asymptotic expansion) for $\Gamma(z)$ in the form (see N.N. Lebedev [7])

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Gamma(z) \sim e^{\left(z-\frac{1}{2}\right) \log z-z+\frac{1}{2} \log (2 \pi)}\left(1+\frac{1}{12 z}+\frac{1}{288 z^{2}}+\cdots\right)  \tag{3.9}\\
(z \rightarrow \infty,|\arg z|<\pi)
\end{gather*}
$$

and use it to obtain that the integral along the line $\Re \mathrm{e} w=-N-\frac{1}{2}$ tends to zero as $N \rightarrow \infty$. The meaning of the symbol $\sim$ in the asymptotic expansion is, as usual, that if we stop at the $n$-th term in the series, then the error that is made is $O_{n}\left(|z|^{-n-1}\right)$. Hence we obtain by the residue theorem, for $\Re \mathrm{e} x>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{r}(x)= & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^{2 n}\left(a_{0, r}(n) \log ^{r-1} x\right.  \tag{3.10}\\
& \left.+a_{1, r}(n) \log ^{r-2} x+\cdots+a_{r-1, r}(n)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

which shows that $\lim _{x \rightarrow 0+} f_{r}(x)=+\infty$. More precisely, since $a_{0, r}(0)=$ $(-1)^{r-1} 2^{r} /(r-1)$ ! we have

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f_{r}\left(\frac{1}{T}\right)}{\log ^{r-1} T}=\frac{2^{r}}{(r-1)!} \quad(r=1,2, \ldots) .
$$

For $x>0$ the function $f_{r}(x)$ is positive, monotonically decreasing and (3.10) gives $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} f_{r}(x)=0$. Namely with the change of variable
$u=x t^{-1 / 2}$ we have, for $x>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{u^{2}}} f_{r}(u) \frac{\mathrm{d} u}{u} & =2 \cdot \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) 2^{s}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{u^{2}}} u^{-1-s} \mathrm{~d} u\right) \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) 2^{s} x^{-s} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-t} t^{\frac{s}{2}-1} \mathrm{~d} t \mathrm{~d} s \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma^{r+1}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s=f_{r+1}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the interchange of integration is permitted by absolute convergence. Hence for $r \geq 1$ we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{r+1}(x)=2 \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{u^{2}}} f_{r}(u) \frac{\mathrm{d} u}{u} \\
f_{r+1}^{\prime}(x)=-4 x \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{u^{2}}} f_{r}(u) \frac{\mathrm{d} u}{u^{3}} . \tag{3.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

In view of (3.1) we easily conclude from (3.11) by induction that $f_{r}(x)>0$, $f_{r}^{\prime}(x)<0$ for $x>0$ and any $r \geq 1$.

Since the residue of $\Gamma(s)$ at $s=-n(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$ is $(-1)^{n} / n$ !, we easily see that for $r=1$ formula (3.10) reduces to

$$
f_{1}(x)=2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n} x^{2 n}}{4^{n} n!}=2 e^{-\frac{x^{2}}{4}}
$$

To see explicitly the shape of (3.10) for $r=2$ write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(x)=\frac{2}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma^{2}(w)\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-2 w} \mathrm{~d} w \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that now the integrand has poles of second order at $n=0,-1,-2, \ldots$. Near $w=-n$ we have the expansions

$$
\begin{aligned}
z^{-2 w} & =z^{2 n}\left(1-2(w+n) \log z+(w+n)^{2} \log ^{2} z+\cdots\right) \\
\Gamma(w) & =\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!(w+n)}+c(n)+c_{1}(n)(w+n)+\cdots \\
\Gamma^{2}(w) & =\frac{1}{(n!)^{2}(w+n)^{2}}+\frac{2(-1)^{n} c(n)}{n!(w+n)}+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the constant $c(n)$ satisfies, by l'Hospital's rule,

$$
\begin{aligned}
c(n) & =\lim _{w \rightarrow-n}\left(\Gamma(w)-\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!(w+n)}\right)=\lim _{w \rightarrow-n} \frac{(w+n) \Gamma(w)-\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!}}{w+n} \\
& =\lim _{w \rightarrow-n}\left(\Gamma(w)+\Gamma^{\prime}(w)(w+n)\right)=\lim _{w \rightarrow-n}(w+n) \Gamma(w)\left(\frac{1}{w+n}+\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(w)}{\Gamma(w)}\right) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \lim _{w \rightarrow-n}\left(\frac{1}{w+n}+\psi(w)\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where as usual

$$
\psi(w):=(\log \Gamma(w))^{\prime}=\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}(w)}{\Gamma(w)}
$$

But from the reflection property $\Gamma(z) \Gamma(1-z)=\frac{\pi}{\sin (\pi z)}$ it follows by logarithmic differentiation that

$$
\psi(z)=\psi(1-z)-\pi \cot (\pi z)
$$

which gives

$$
c(n)=\frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \psi(n+1)
$$

with (see N.N. Lebedev [7])
$\psi(n+1)=1+\frac{1}{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n}-\gamma, \quad \gamma=-\Gamma^{\prime}(1)=0.57721 \ldots$ (Euler's constant).
Therefore we have

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{w=-n} \Gamma^{2}(w) z^{-2 w}=-\frac{2 z^{2 n}}{(n!)^{2}}(\log z-\psi(n+1)) \quad(n=0,1,2, \ldots),
$$

and from (3.12) we obtain by the residue theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(x)=-4 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 n}}{(n!)^{2}}\left(\log \frac{x}{2}-\psi(n+1)\right) . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On comparing (3.4) and (3.13) we obtain

$$
K_{0}(x)=-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{2 n}}{(n!)^{2}}\left(\log \frac{x}{2}-\psi(n+1)\right),
$$

which is the well-known series expansion (see N.N. Lebedev [7]) of $K_{0}(x)$.
The analysis that led to (3.10) can be carried further, as was done for the functions $E_{\lambda, \nu}(x)$ by Guthmann [1]. In particular, his Lemma 3 gives that there exist entire functions $H_{r, j}(x)$ such that, for $x$ in the complex plane cut form $-\infty$ to 0 ,

$$
f_{r}(x)=\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} H_{r, j}(x) \log ^{j} x
$$

and the coefficients in the power series expansion for $H_{r, j}(x)$ can be evaluated explicitly.

## 4. The differential equation satisfied by $f_{r}(x)$

The function $f_{r}(x)$, defined by (2.1), satisfies the differential equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{y^{\prime}}{x}=-\frac{1}{2} y \quad(r=1), \quad y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{y^{\prime}}{x}=y \quad(r=2), \\
& x y^{\prime \prime \prime}+3 y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{y^{\prime}}{x}=-2 y \quad(r=3), \\
& x^{2} y^{(4)}+6 x y^{\prime \prime \prime}+7 y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{y^{\prime}}{x}=4 y \quad(r=4), \\
& x^{3} y^{(5)}+10 x^{2} y^{(4)}+25 x y^{\prime \prime \prime}+15 y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{y^{\prime}}{x}=-8 y \quad(r=5),
\end{aligned}
$$

etc. Note that the differential equation for $r=1$ trivially follows from $f_{1}(x)=2 e^{-x^{2} / 4}$, while the one for $r=2$ is a consequence of the fact that the Bessel functions $I_{\nu}(z)$ and $K_{\nu}(z)$ are (linearly independent) solutions of the differential equation

$$
y^{\prime \prime}+\frac{y^{\prime}}{x}-\left(1+\frac{\nu^{2}}{x^{2}}\right) y=0 .
$$

In general, $f_{r}(x)$ satisfies a relatively simple differential equation of order $r$, which is linear and homogeneous, and whose special cases are the examples given above. This fact may provide useful information on the behaviour of $f_{r}(x)$. Recall that the Stirling numbers $S(n, m)$ of the second kind denote
the number of ways of partitioning a set of $n(\geq m) \geq 1$ elements into $m$ non-empty subsets. They satisfy the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{n}=\sum_{m=1}^{n} S(n, m) x(x-1) \ldots(x-m+1) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have
Theorem 2. For $r \geq 1$ the function $y=f_{r}(x)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j=1}^{r} S(r, j) x^{j-2} y^{(j)}=(-1)^{r} 2^{r-2} y \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Setting $x=-s$ in (4.1) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{n} s^{n}=\sum_{m=1}^{n}(-1)^{m} S(n, m) s(s+1) \ldots(s+m-1) . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.1) we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{(j)}=f_{r}^{(j)}(x)=\frac{(-1)^{j}}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) 2^{s} s(s+1) \ldots(s+j-1) x^{-s-j} \mathrm{~d} s \\
(c>0, j=1,2, \ldots) .
\end{gathered}
$$

In view of $z \Gamma(z)=\Gamma(z+1)$ we obtain from (4.3) (with $n=r)$, for $c>2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{r} S(r, j) x^{j-2} y^{(j)}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) 2^{s} x^{-s-2} \\
& \times \sum_{j=1}^{r}(-1)^{j} S(r, j) s(s+1) \ldots(s+j-1) \mathrm{d} s \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{r}}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) 2^{s} s^{r} x^{-s-2} \mathrm{~d} s \\
&= \frac{(-1)^{r}}{2 \pi i} 2^{r-2} \cdot \int_{(c)} \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s+2}{2}\right) 2^{s+2} x^{-(s+2)} \mathrm{d} s \\
&=(-1)^{r} 2^{r-2} \cdot \frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c-2)} \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{w}{2}\right)\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{-w} \mathrm{~d} w=(-1)^{r} 2^{r-2} y .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $S(r, 1)=S(r, r)=1, S(r, r-1)=\frac{r}{2}(r-1)$ we easily compute $S(r, j)$ for $1 \leq r, j \leq 5$ to obtain from (4.2) the examples stated at the beginning of this section. Further examples can be obtained by using a table of Stirling numbers of the second kind.

One can obtain another variant of the differential equation satisfied by $f_{r}(x)$. Namely, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{r}(x):=f_{r}(2 \sqrt{x}) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{r}(x)=\varphi_{r}\left(\frac{x^{2}}{4}\right) \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{r}(x)=\frac{2}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma^{r}(w) x^{-w} \mathrm{~d} w \quad(c>0, \Re \mathrm{e}, x>0) . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Delta$ is the differential operator defined by

$$
\Delta^{1} f(x)=\Delta f(x):=x f^{\prime}(x), \quad \Delta^{r}=\Delta\left(\Delta^{r-1}\right) \quad(r \geq 2)
$$

then from (4.6) and the functional equation $z \Gamma(z)=\Gamma(z+1)$ we obtain the differential equation satisfied by $\varphi_{r}(x)$ in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{r} \varphi_{r}(x)=(-1)^{r} x \varphi_{r}(x) . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to (4.2), we can ascertain that (4.7) is a also linear, homogeneous differential equation of order $r$. The equation (4.7) is in fact equivalent to equation (42) of A. Guthmann [1], but the advantage of (4.2) over (4.7) is that (4.2) gives quite explicitly the shape of the differential equation in question, whereas (4.7) does not.

## 5. The asymptotic expansion of $f_{r}(x)$

It seems of interest to find the asymptotic expansion of $f_{r}(x)$ and $\psi_{r}(x)$ as $x \rightarrow \infty$ in terms of elementary functions. Consider first $f_{r}(x)$.

For $r=1$ there is nothing to be done since $f_{1}(x)=2 e^{-x^{2} / 4}$, and for $r=2$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}(x) \sim\left(\frac{8 \pi}{x}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{-x}\left(1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{n}[(2 n-1)!!]^{2}}{2^{3 n} n!} x^{-n}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

$(\Re \mathrm{e} x>0)$.
The asymptotic expansion (5.1) follows from (3.4) and the corresponding asymptotic expansion (see (5.11.9) of N.N. Lebedev [7])

$$
K_{\nu}(z) \sim\left(\frac{\pi}{2 z}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{-z} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(\nu, n)(2 z)^{-n} \quad(|\arg z| \leq \pi-\delta)
$$

where $0<\delta<\frac{\pi}{2},(\nu, 0)=1$ and for $k \geq 1$

$$
(\nu, k)=\frac{\left(4 \nu^{2}-1^{2}\right)\left(4 \nu^{2}-3^{2}\right) \ldots\left(4 \nu^{2}-(2 k-1)^{2}\right)}{2^{2 k} k!} .
$$

For the general case of $f_{r}(x)$ we could appeal to Lemma 6 of A. GuthMANN [1], who gave the asymptotic expansion of the function

$$
E_{\lambda, \nu}(x)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma^{\lambda}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma^{\nu}(s) x^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s \quad(c>0)
$$

and use the fact that $f_{r}(x)=E_{r, 0}(x / 2)$. Guthmann's proof of the asymptotic expansion of $E_{\lambda, \nu}(x)$ is long and complicated. It uses, among other things, the convolution property that

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} f(u) g\left(\frac{x}{u}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} u}{u}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} F(s) G(s) x^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

if $f, F$ and $g, G$ are two pairs of Mellin transforms, and certain conditions are satisfied. We shall obtain here the asymptotic expansion of $f_{r}(x)$ by another method, which is simpler and can be readily generalized. Namely if $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{r}>0$, let us define

$$
\begin{align*}
H(x) & =H\left(x ; a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma\left(a_{1} w\right) \Gamma\left(a_{2} w\right) \cdots \Gamma\left(a_{r} w\right) x^{-w} \mathrm{~d} w \quad(c>0) . \tag{5.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Then for $a_{1}=\ldots=a_{r}=1 / 2$ we obtain $H(x)=f_{r}(2 x)$, and for $a_{1}=\ldots=$ $a_{\lambda}=1 / 2, a_{\lambda+1}=\ldots=a_{r}=1(r=\lambda+\nu)$ we obtain $H(x)=E_{\lambda, \nu}(x)$. We have

Theorem 3. Let $x \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& B=\frac{(2 \pi)^{\frac{r-1}{2}}}{\sqrt{a_{1} \cdots a_{r}\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{r}\right)}}\left(a_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots a_{r}^{a_{r}}\right)^{\frac{r-1}{2\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{r}\right)}}, \\
& D=\frac{a_{1}+\cdots+a_{r}}{\left(a_{1}^{a_{1}} \cdots a_{r}^{a_{r}}\right)^{\frac{a_{1}+\cdots+a_{r}}{1}}}, \quad X=D x^{\frac{1}{a_{1}+\cdots+a_{r}}} . \tag{5.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Then there exist constants $e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots$, which can be explicitly evaluated and which depend on $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(x) \sim B x^{\frac{1-r}{2\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{r}\right)}} e^{-X}\left(1+\frac{e_{1}}{X}+\frac{e_{2}}{X^{2}}+\cdots\right) . \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The basic tool in the proof is Stirling's formula (3.9), which for $a>0$ gives, with $|\arg z|<\pi$ and some constants $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots$ depending on $a$ and $b$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(a z+b) \sim \sqrt{2 \pi} e^{-a z}(a z)^{a z+b-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{c_{1}}{z}+\frac{c_{2}}{z^{2}}+\cdots\right) . \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (5.5) we obtain, for some constants $d_{1}, d_{2}, \ldots$ which depend on $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{r}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\Gamma\left(a_{1} w\right) \cdots \Gamma\left(a_{r} w\right)}{\Gamma\left(\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{r}\right) w+\frac{1-r}{2}\right)} \sim\left(1+\frac{d_{1}}{w}+\frac{d_{2}}{w^{2}}+\cdots\right) \\
& \times(2 \pi)^{\frac{r-1}{2}} \exp \left\{w \left(a_{1} \log a_{1}+\ldots+a_{r} \log a_{r}\right.\right.  \tag{5.6}\\
& \left.-\left(a_{1}+\ldots+a_{r}\right) \log \left(a_{1}+\ldots+a_{r}\right)\right) \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \log a_{1}-\ldots-\frac{1}{2} \log a_{r}+\frac{r}{2} \log \left(a_{1}+\ldots+a_{r}\right)\right\} .
\end{align*}
$$

The transformation formula (5.6) is the crucial step in deriving (5.4). Now we take $c\left(a_{1}+\cdots a_{r}\right)>N+1$ in (5.2), where $N \geq 1$ is any fixed integer, insert (5.6) and make the change of variable $\left(a_{1}+\cdots a_{r}\right) w+\frac{1-r}{2}=s$. If $B$ and $D$ are given by (5.3), we obtain, for a suitable constant $C>N+1$,
suitable constants $e_{j}$ (which depend on $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots$ and may be evaluated explicitly) and a function $h_{N}(s)$ which is regular and $\ll 1$ for $\Re$ e $s \geq N+1$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
H(x)=\frac{B}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma(s) D^{-s} x^{\frac{1-r-2 s}{2\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{r}\right)}} \\
\times\left(1+\frac{e_{1}}{s-1}+\ldots+\frac{e_{N}}{(s-1)(s-2) \cdots(s-N)}\right.  \tag{5.7}\\
\left.+\frac{h_{N}(s)}{(s-1)(s-2) \cdots(s-N-1)}\right) \mathrm{d} s .
\end{gather*}
$$

If we use

$$
z \Gamma(z)=\Gamma(z+1), \quad e^{-x}=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma(s) x^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s \quad(c>0, \Re \mathrm{e} x>0),
$$

then we obtain from (5.7)

$$
\begin{gather*}
H(x)=B x^{\frac{1-r}{2\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{r}\right)}}\left\{e^{-X}\left(1+\frac{e_{1}}{X}+\cdots+\frac{e_{N}}{X^{N}}\right)\right. \\
\left.+O_{N}\left(\left|\int_{(C)} \Gamma(s-N-1) h_{N}(s) X^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s\right|\right)\right\} \quad(C>N+1), \tag{5.8}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $X=D x^{1 /\left(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{r}\right)}$. Hence (5.4) will follow if we can show that, for $C>N+1$ and $Y \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{C}(Y):=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(C)} \Gamma(s-N-1) h_{N}(s) Y^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s \ll Y^{-N-1} e^{-Y} . \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

To obtain (5.9) let $s=N+1+w$ and use the duplication formula for $\Gamma(s)$ in the form

$$
\Gamma(s)=\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\right) 2^{s-1} \pi^{-1 / 2}
$$

Then by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality for integrals and (2.7)-(2.8) we
obtain (changing $C-N-1$ to $C$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{C}(Y)= & \frac{Y^{-N-1}}{2 \pi i} \int_{(C)} \Gamma(w) h_{N}(N+1+w) Y^{-w} \mathrm{~d} w \\
\ll & Y^{-N-1-C} \int_{0}^{\infty}|\Gamma(C+i v)| \mathrm{d} v \\
\ll & 2^{C} Y^{-N-1-C} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{C}{2}+\frac{i v}{2}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{C+1}{2}+\frac{i v}{2}\right)\right| \mathrm{d} v \\
\ll & 2^{C} Y^{-N-1-C}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{C}{2}+\frac{i v}{2}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} v\right. \\
& \left.\times \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{C+1}{2}+\frac{i y}{2}\right)\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{1 / 2} \\
\ll & \Gamma(C) \Gamma(C+1))^{1 / 2} Y^{-N-1-C}=C^{1 / 2} \Gamma(C) Y^{-N-1-C} \\
\ll & e^{C \log C-C-C \log Y} Y^{-N-1} \ll e^{-Y} Y^{-N-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the choice $C=Y$. Thus (5.4) follows from (5.8) and (5.9), since $N$ may be arbitrary.

From (5.3) we obtain the asymptotic expansion of $f_{r}(x)=H(x / 2)$ for $a_{1}=\cdots=a_{r}=1$. In this case we can calculate explicitly without much trouble the first few coefficients $e_{j}$. The result is

Corollary 1. If $r \geq 1, \Re \mathrm{e} x>0$ and $x \rightarrow \infty$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{r}(x) \sim 2 r^{-\frac{1}{2}}(2 \pi)^{\frac{r-1}{2}} 2^{\frac{r-1}{r}} x^{\frac{1-r}{r}} e^{-r\left(\frac{x}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{r}}}  \tag{5.10}\\
& \quad \times\left(c_{0, r}+c_{1, r} x^{-\frac{2}{r}}+c_{2, r} x^{-\frac{4}{r}}+\ldots\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{0, r}=1, c_{1, r}=2^{2 / r}\left(1-r^{2}\right) /(24 r)$, and the other $c_{j, r}$ 's are also effectively computable. Note that the range of validity of the asymptotic expansion in Theorem 3 can be extended to $|\arg x|<\frac{\pi}{2}\left(a_{1}+\ldots+a_{r}\right)$ and in Corollary 1 to $|\arg x|<\frac{\pi r}{4}$.

Setting $r=2$ in (5.10) we obtain

$$
f_{2}(x)=\left(\frac{8 \pi}{x}\right)^{1 / 2} e^{-x}\left(1-\frac{1}{8 x}+O\left(\frac{1}{|x|^{2}}\right)\right)
$$

which is in accordance with (5.1). Also we may note that by the method of proof of (5.10) we may similarly obtain an asymptotic expansion of $\psi_{r}(x)$, starting from (2.3) and noting that

$$
\zeta^{r}(s)=1+O_{r}\left(\frac{1}{2^{\sigma}}\right) \quad(\sigma=\Re \mathrm{e} s \rightarrow \infty) .
$$

We shall obtain
Corollary 2. For $r \geq 1$, $\mathrm{Re} x>0$ and $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{r}(x) \sim x^{\frac{1-r}{r}} e^{-\pi r x^{\frac{2}{r}}}\left(b_{0, r}+b_{1, r} x^{-\frac{2}{r}}+b_{2, r} x^{-\frac{4}{r}}+\ldots\right) \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with suitable coefficients $b_{j, r}(j=0,1,2, \ldots)$, which may be explicitly evaluated.

If in (2.1) we take $0<c<1$, replace $s$ by $w$ then by absolute convergence for $\Re \mathrm{e} s>0$ we may change the order of integration to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{r}(x) e^{-s x} \mathrm{~d} x & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{w}{2}\right) 2^{w} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-w} e^{-s x} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} w \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{w}{2}\right) 2^{w} \Gamma(1-w) s^{w-1} \mathrm{~d} w \tag{5.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we take $s=1 / T, T \rightarrow \infty, c=1 / 2$ and make the substitution $1-w=z$. We obtain from (5.12)

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{r}(x) e^{-x / T} \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{(c)} \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{1-z}{2}\right) 2^{1-z} \Gamma(z) T^{z} \mathrm{~d} z
$$

Shifting the line of integration in the last integral to $-\infty$ and applying the residue theorem we obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{r}(x) e^{-x / T} \mathrm{~d} x \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right) \frac{2^{n+1}}{n!} \cdot\left(\frac{-1}{T}\right)^{n} \quad(T \rightarrow \infty),
$$

which is the asymptotic expansion of the Laplace transform of $f_{r}(x)$ as $s=1 / T \rightarrow 0+$.

Note also that the Parseval formula for Mellin transforms gives the identity

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{r}^{2}(x) x^{2 \sigma-1} \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{2^{1+2 \sigma}}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{\sigma}{2}+i x\right)\right|^{2 r} \mathrm{~d} x \quad(\sigma>0)
$$

which for $r=1$ reduces to (2.7).

## 6. The functional equation for $\psi_{r}(x)$

As mentioned in Section 2, the function $\psi_{r}(x)$ satisfies a simple functional equation relating its values at the points $x$ and $1 / x$. This result, which is an essential ingredient in the proof of the integral representation for $\zeta^{r}(s)$ furnished by Theorem 1, may be obtained as follows. From (2.3) we have by the residue theorem

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{r}(x)= & \operatorname{Res} \pi^{-\frac{r s}{2}} \zeta^{r}(s) \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) x^{-s} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \pi^{-\frac{r s}{2}} \zeta^{r}(s) \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) x^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s . \tag{6.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Setting

$$
g_{r}(x):=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \pi^{-\frac{r s}{2}} \zeta^{r}(s) \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) x^{-s} \mathrm{~d} s \quad(\Re \mathrm{e} x>0)
$$

we have, by the functional equation (2.5) (raised to the $r$-th power) and the change of variable $1-s=w$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{r}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) & =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \pi^{-\frac{r s}{2}} \zeta^{r}(s) \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) x^{s} \mathrm{~d} s \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \pi^{-\frac{r(1-s)}{2}} \zeta^{r}(1-s) \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) x^{s} \mathrm{~d} s \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)} \pi^{-\frac{r w}{2}} \zeta^{r}(w) \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{w}{2}\right) x^{1-w} \mathrm{~d} w=x g_{r}(x),
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{r}(x)=\frac{1}{x} g_{r}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) \quad(\Re \mathrm{e} x>0) . \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\zeta^{r}(s)$ has at $s=1$ a pole of order $r$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Res}_{s=1}^{-\frac{r s}{2}} \zeta^{r}(s) \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) x^{-s}=\frac{1}{x} p_{r-1}(\log x), \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{r-1}(u)$ is a polynomial of degree $r-1$ in $u$ whose coefficients, which depend on $r$, may be effectively evaluated, since the Laurent expansions at $s=1$ of $\zeta(s)$ and $\Gamma(s)$ are well-known. From (6.1), (6.2) and (6.4) it follows that

$$
g_{r}(x)=\psi_{r}(x)-\frac{1}{x} p_{r-1}(\log x),
$$

and (6.3) yields then

$$
\frac{1}{x} \psi_{r}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)-p_{r-1}(-\log x)=\frac{1}{x} g_{r}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)=g_{r}(x)=\psi_{r}(x)-\frac{1}{x} p_{r-1}(\log x) .
$$

This means that we have proved
Theorem 4. If $\psi_{r}(x)$ is defined by $(2.3)$ and $p_{r-1}(\log x)$ by (6.4), then for $r \geq 1$ and $\Re \mathrm{e} x>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{r}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)=x \psi_{r}(x)-p_{r-1}(\log x)+x p_{r-1}(-\log x) . \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functional equation (6.5) shows that $\psi_{r}(x) \asymp x^{-1} \log ^{r-1} x$ as $x \rightarrow 0+$. In particular we have

$$
p_{0}(\log x)=\operatorname{Res}_{s=1} \pi^{-\frac{s}{2}} \zeta(s) \Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)=1,
$$

since $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\sqrt{\pi}$ and $\lim _{s \rightarrow 1} \zeta(s)(s-1)=1$. Hence

$$
\psi_{1}\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)=x \psi_{1}(x)+x-1
$$

which also follows from (3.3), since $\psi_{1}(x)=\theta\left(x^{2}\right)-1$. We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(u)=\gamma-2 \log 2-\log \pi-u \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma$ is Euler's constant as before. Namely near $s=1$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta^{2}(s) & =\frac{1}{(s-1)^{2}}+\frac{2 \gamma}{s-1}+a+\ldots, \\
(\pi x)^{-(s-1)} & =1-(s-1) \log (\pi x)+\ldots \\
\Gamma\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) & =\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(s-1)+\ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

But in view of $\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\sqrt{\pi}$ and (see N.N. Lebedev [7]) $\psi\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=\frac{\Gamma^{\prime}}{\Gamma}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)=$ $-\gamma-2 \log 2$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Gamma^{\prime}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) & =-\sqrt{\pi}(\gamma+2 \log 2) \\
\Gamma^{2}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) & =\pi-\pi(\gamma+2 \log 2)(s-1)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

We obtain

$$
p_{1}(\log x)=\frac{1}{\pi} \operatorname{Res}_{s=1}(\pi x)^{-(s-1)} \zeta^{2}(s) \Gamma^{2}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)=\gamma-2 \log 2-\log \pi-\log x,
$$

so that (6.6) follows. By more cumbersome calculations we may evaluate $p_{r-1}$ for $r \geq 3$.

## 7. Integral representations of $\zeta^{r}(s)$

In this section we shall complete the proof of Theorem 1, outlined in Section 2, and then furnish yet another integral representation of $\zeta^{r}(s)$. To complete the proof of Theorem 1 we need to show that (2.9) holds, and that (2.11)-(2.13) follows from (2.10). To prove (2.9) it is enough to show that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lim _{\delta \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}} \int_{\xi}^{\xi+1} \psi_{r}(x) x^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{i R}^{i R+1} \psi_{r}(x) x^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{7.1}\\
\left(\xi=R e^{i \delta}, R>0, r \geq 3,0 \leq \delta<\frac{\pi}{2}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

since $\psi_{r}(x)$ decays exponentially at $\infty$ by Theorem 3. If $r \geq 3$, $\Re \mathrm{e} x>0$, $c>1$ is fixed, then from (2.3) and (3.9) we obtain (since $\left|\arg \frac{x}{2}\right| \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{r}(x) \ll\left|\frac{x}{2}\right|^{-c}\left(1+\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} e^{t\left|\arg \frac{x}{2}\right|} t^{\frac{r(c-1)}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi r t}{4}} \mathrm{~d} t\right) \ll|x|^{-c} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and a bound analogous to (7.2) will also hold for $\psi_{r}^{\prime}(x)$. Hence from (7.2) we obtain $\left(\xi=R e^{i \delta}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\xi}^{\xi+1} \psi_{r}(x) x^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x-\int_{i R}^{i R+1} \psi_{r}(x) x^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x \\
& =\int_{0}^{1}\left(\psi_{r}\left(R e^{i \delta}+u\right)\left(R e^{i \delta}+u\right)^{s-1}-\psi_{r}(i R+u)(i R+u)^{s-1}\right) \mathrm{d} u \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \int_{R e^{\frac{i \pi}{2}}}^{R e^{i \delta}}\left(\psi_{r}^{\prime}(v+u)(v+u)^{s-1}+\psi_{r}(v+u)(s-1)(v+u)^{s-2}\right) \mathrm{d} v \mathrm{~d} u \\
& <_{R, s} \max _{0 \leq u \leq 1}\left|e^{i \delta}-e^{\frac{i \pi}{2}}\right| \ll R, s\left|\delta-\frac{\pi}{2}\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

since $R \leq|v+u| \leq R+1$. Letting $\delta \rightarrow \frac{\pi}{2}$ we obtain (7.1).
To complete the proof of Theorem 1, note that (2.10) gives

$$
\begin{gather*}
\zeta^{r}(s)=T_{r}(s, R)  \tag{7.3}\\
+\pi^{\frac{r s}{2}} \Gamma^{-r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) \int_{\frac{1}{i \hbar}}^{\frac{1}{i R}+\infty} \psi_{r}(x) x^{-s} \mathrm{~d} x+\pi^{\frac{r s}{2}} \Gamma^{-r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right) H_{r}(s, i R),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $T_{r}(s, R)$ is given by (2.16) and $H_{r}(s, i R)$ by (2.17). Since $\overline{\psi_{r}(x)}=$ $\psi_{r}(\bar{x})$ and $\overline{a^{b}}=\bar{a}^{\bar{b}}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{T_{r}\left(1-\bar{s}, R^{-1}\right)} & =\pi^{\frac{r(1-s)}{2}} \Gamma^{-r}\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \overline{\int_{\frac{1}{i \hbar}}^{\frac{1}{i R}+\infty} \psi_{r}(x) x^{-\bar{s}} \mathrm{~d} x} \\
& =\pi^{\frac{r(1-s)}{2}} \Gamma^{-r}\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \overline{\int_{0}^{\infty} \psi_{r}\left(\frac{i}{R}+u\right)\left(\frac{i}{R}+u\right)^{-\bar{s}} \mathrm{~d} u} \\
& =\pi^{\frac{r(1-s)}{2}} \Gamma^{-r}\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi_{r}\left(-\frac{i}{R}+u\right)\left(-\frac{i}{R}+u\right)^{-s} \mathrm{~d} u \\
& =\pi^{\frac{r(1-s)}{2}} \Gamma^{-r}\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} \psi_{r}\left(\frac{1}{i R}+u\right)\left(\frac{1}{i R}+u\right)^{-s} \mathrm{~d} u \\
& =\pi^{\frac{r(1-s)}{2}} \Gamma^{-r}\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \int_{\frac{1}{i R}}^{\frac{1}{i R}+\infty} \psi_{r}(x) x^{-s} \mathrm{~d} x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\int_{\frac{1}{i \hbar}}^{\frac{1}{i \hbar}+\infty} \psi_{r}(x) x^{-s} \mathrm{~d} x=\pi^{\frac{r(s-1)}{2}} \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\right) \overline{T_{r}\left(1-\bar{s}, R^{-1}\right)},
$$

and if we insert this expression in (7.3) we obtain (2.15). Although the value of $R$ is not specified, from the definition of $T_{r}$ it follows that optimal symmetry in (2.15) will be attained in the case when $R=1$.

Another integral representation for $T_{r}(s, R)$, which generalizes equation (3.1) of A. Guthmann [5], is given by

Theorem 5. If $T_{r}(s, R)$ is given by (2.16) and $0 \leq \varphi \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, then for $r \geq 1$ fixed we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{r}(s, R)=\sin ^{r}\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty e^{-i \varphi}} u^{1-s}\left(\int_{i R}^{i R+\infty} x \psi_{r}(x) f_{r}\left(2 \pi^{\frac{r}{2}} x u\right) \mathrm{d} x\right) \mathrm{d} u \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The result is formulated for $r \geq 1$, since it does not depend on (7.2), like the proof of (2.13) does. For $\Re \mathrm{e} s<2$ we have, from (2.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{2-s}{2}\right) 2^{2-s}=\int_{0}^{\infty} f_{r}(x) x^{1-s} \mathrm{~d} x . \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\Gamma(z) \Gamma(1-z)=\pi / \sin (\pi z)$ with $z=(2-s) / 2$ we have

$$
\Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{2-s}{2}\right)=\frac{\pi^{r}}{\sin ^{r}\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}
$$

Hence (7.5) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}=2^{s-2} \pi^{-r} \sin ^{r}\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} f_{r}(z) z^{1-s} \mathrm{~d} z \tag{7.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (7.6) we turn the line of integration about the origin by the angle $\varphi$ and make the change of variable $z=\alpha u$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}=2^{s-2} \pi^{-r} \sin ^{r}\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \alpha^{2-s} \int_{0}^{\infty e^{-i \varphi}} f_{r}(\alpha u) u^{1-s} \mathrm{~d} u \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $\alpha=2 \pi^{\frac{r}{2}} x$ we obtain then from (7.7)

$$
\frac{\pi^{\frac{r s}{2}}}{\Gamma^{r}\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)}=\sin ^{r}\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) x^{2-s} \int_{0}^{\infty e^{-i \varphi}} f_{r}\left(2 \pi^{\frac{r}{2}} x u\right) u^{1-s} \mathrm{~d} u
$$

From this expression and the definition (2.16) of $T_{r}(s, R)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{r}(s, R)=\sin ^{r}\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \int_{i R}^{i R+\infty} x \psi_{r}(x) \int_{0}^{\infty e^{-i \varphi}} u^{1-s} f_{r}\left(2 \pi^{\frac{r}{2}} x u\right) \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{~d} x \tag{7.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By absolute convergence of the double integral we may change the order of integration in (7.8), and (7.4) follows.

The integral representations for $\zeta^{r}(s)$ furnished by Theorem 1 and Theorem 5 may be regarded as initial steps towards an asymptotic expansion of $\zeta^{r}(s)$ (in terms of elementary functions). Namely from (1.1) it is possible to derive an asymptotic expansion of $\zeta(s)$, as was shown by C.L. Siegel [12]. A variant of this important formula states that

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta(s)= & \sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{-s}+\chi(s) \sum_{n=1}^{N} n^{s-1}  \tag{7.9}\\
& +(-1)^{N-1}(2 \pi)^{\frac{s+1}{2}} \Gamma^{-1}(s) t^{\frac{s-1}{2}} e^{\pi i s-\frac{i t}{2}-\frac{\pi i}{8}} S,
\end{align*}
$$

where $0 \leq \Re \mathrm{e} s \leq 1, t=\Im \mathrm{m} s \rightarrow \infty, N=\left[\sqrt{\frac{t}{2 \pi}}\right], \chi(s)=\zeta(s) / \zeta(1-s)$,
$\left.S=\sum_{k=0}^{\nu-1} a_{k} \sum_{0 \leq 2 r \leq k} b_{k r} F^{(k-2 r)}(\delta)+O\left((n / t)^{\nu / 6}\right)\right), \quad \delta=\sqrt{t}-\left(N+\frac{1}{2}\right) \sqrt{2 \pi}$,
$a_{k}, b_{k r}$ are certain complex constants with $a_{k} \ll t^{-k / 6}, \nu\left(\leq 2 \cdot 10^{-8} t\right)$ is a natural number, and

$$
F(z):=\frac{\cos \left(z^{2}+\frac{3 \pi}{8}\right)}{\cos (\sqrt{2 \pi} z)} .
$$

The integral representations for $\zeta^{2}(s)$ of A. Guthmann [5] have not yielded yet an asymptotic expansion for $\zeta^{2}(s)$ of the desired form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{2}(s)=\sum_{n \leq \frac{t}{2 \pi}} d(n) n^{-s}+\chi^{2}(s) \sum_{n \leq \frac{t}{2 \pi}} d(n) n^{s-1}+R\left(s, \frac{t}{2 \pi}\right) \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an explicit expression for $R\left(s, \frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)$ in terms of elementary functions, of the type obtained by Y. Мотонаянi [8]-[10]. The latter involve the function $\Delta(x)$, which represents the error term in the Dirichlet divisor problem and a related function. In the general case one would like to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta^{r}(s)=\sum_{n \leq\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)^{r / 2}} d_{r}(n) n^{-s}+\chi^{r}(s) \sum_{n \leq\left(\frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)^{r / 2}} d_{r}(n) n^{s-1}+R_{r}\left(s, \frac{t}{2 \pi}\right), \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{r}\left(s, \frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)$ is to be considered as the error term in the approximate functional equation (7.11). In the most important case $s=\frac{1}{2}+$ it some results on $R_{r}\left(s, \frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)$ are to be found in Ch. 4 of the author's monograph [6]. It would be certainly interesting if one could use the integral representations furnished by Theorem 1 or Theorem 5 to improve the bounds for $R_{r}\left(s, \frac{t}{2 \pi}\right)$ given in [6].
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