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#### Abstract

The following theorem is proved: if an associative algebra $A$ over an arbitrary field can be decomposed into a sum $A=B+C$ with almost commutative subalgebras $B$ and $C$ (an algebra is called here almost commutative if it has a commutative ideal of finite codimension) then the algebra $A$ possesses a nilpotent ideal $I$ such that the quotient algebra $A / I$ is almost commutative.


## 1. Introduction

In the paper of K.I. Beidar and A.V. Mikhalev [4] the following problem was stated: whether a sum $R=A+B$ of two associative $P I$-rings $A$ and $B$ is a PI-ring? There are positive answers to this question for some classes of rings $A$ and $B$ which are near to commutative [4], [5] (every sum of two commutative rings is a $P I$-ring [2]).

Any associative algebra over an arbitrary field which has a commutative ideal of finite codimension (we will call a such algebra almost commutative) is a $P I$-algebra and the question about the structure of a sum of two such algebras is of interest. In this paper, the following result is obtained: every sum of two almost commutative algebras contains a nilpotent ideal such that the quotient algebra on this ideal is almost commutative, in particular, every such sum is a $P I$-algebra. Similar question in group theory i.e. the question about structure of the product of two almost abelian
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(finite-by-abelian) groups is open although it was proved in some cases that this product is almost soluble (see [7], [6] and others).

All considered algebras and rings are associative, the ground field $F$ is arbitrary. The centre of an algebra (or a ring) $A$ is denoted by $Z(A)$. For $F$-subspaces $X$ and $Y$ of an algebra $A$, as usual, $[X, Y]=\{x y-y x \mid x \in X$, $y \in Y\}$; for a subset $S$ of $A$ and for a subalgebra $B$ of $A$ we will denote by $\mathrm{Ann}_{B}^{l}(S)$ and $\mathrm{Ann}_{B}^{r}(S)$ the left and corresponding the right annulator of $S$ in the subalgebra $B$.

The following statement is the main result of this paper:
Theorem. Let $A$ be an associative algebra over an arbitrary field which is decomposable into a sum $A=B+C$, where $B$ and $C$ are almost commutative subalgebras of $A$. Then the algebra $A$ contains a nilpotent ideal $I$ such that the quotient algebra $A / I$ is almost commutative.

Previously, we prove a series of lemmas, some these results can be of interest out of this work. In particular, the Proposition 2 which is used in the proof of the Theorem is an extension (for algebras over a field) of the result of O.H. KEGEL about sum of two nilpotent associative rings [8].

Lemma 1 (See for example [9]). Let $A$ be an associative algebra over an arbitrary field and $B$ a subalgebra of $A$ with $\operatorname{dim} A / B<\infty$. Then $B$ contains an ideal $I$ of algebra $A$ such that $\operatorname{dim} A / I<\infty$.

Lemma 2. Let $I$ be an one-sided or two-sided commutative ideal of a ring $R$. Then $R$ has an ideal $J$ such that $J^{2}=0$ and $(I+J) / J \subseteq Z(R / J)$.

Proof. Let $I$ be for example a right ideal of the ring $R$ and $i, i_{1} \in I$, $r \in R$ any elements. Then

$$
i_{1}(i r-r i)=i\left(i_{1} r\right)-\left(i_{1} r\right) i=0
$$

because $i_{1} r \in I$ and $[I, I]=0$. Hence $I[I, R]=0$. Let $T=\operatorname{Ann}_{R}^{r}(I)$. Clearly, $T$ is an ideal of the ring $R$ and $[I, R] \subseteq T$. For any element $t \in T$ it holds $(i r-r i) t=i r t=0$ (because $r t \in T, I T=0$ ) and therefore $[I, R] T=0$. Now let $J=\operatorname{Ann}_{T}^{l}(T)$. It is obvious that $J$ is an ideal of the ring $R$ and $J^{2}=0$. As $[I, R] \subseteq J$, we have $(I+J) / J \subseteq Z(R / J)$. The case of the left ideal can be considered analogously.

Lemma 3. Let $A$ be an associative algebra and $I$ an ideal of the algebra $A$. If $J$ is an ideal of the subalgebra $I$ then it holds:
(1) if subalgebra $J$ is nilpotent then $J$ lies in a nilpotent ideal $J_{I}$ of the algebra $A$ and $J_{I} \subseteq I$;
(2) if subalgebra $J$ is finite dimensional then $J$ lies in an ideal $J_{I}$ of the algebra $A$ such that $J_{I} \subseteq I$ and $J_{I}$ contains a nilpotent ideal $T$ of the algebra $A$ with $\operatorname{dim} J_{I} / T<\infty$.

Proof. (1) See for example [1, Lemma 1.1.5].
(2) Let $J_{I}$ be the smallest ideal of the algebra $A$ which contains $J$ and lies in the ideal $I$ of $A$. Since $J_{I}^{3} \subseteq J$ (see [1, Lemma 1.1.5]), $J_{I}^{3}$ is a finite dimensional ideal of the algebra $A$. If $J_{I}^{3}=0$ then we set $T=J_{I}$ and the statement (2) is proved. Let $J_{I}^{3} \neq 0$ and $T=\operatorname{Ann}_{J_{I}}^{l}\left(J_{I}^{3}\right)$. Clearly, $T$ is an ideal of the algebra $A$ and $\left(T \cap J_{I}^{3}\right)^{2}=0$. Further, $T /\left(T \cap J_{I}^{3}\right) \simeq T+J_{I}^{3} / J_{I}^{3}$ is a nilpotent algebra as a subalgebra of the nilpotent algebra $J_{I} / J_{I}^{3}$ and therefore the ideal $T$ is nilpotent. Since $\operatorname{dim} J_{I}^{3}<\infty$, we have, clearly, $\operatorname{dim} J_{I} / T<\infty$. The statement (2) and the Lemma are proved.

Lemma 4. Let $R$ be an associative ring and $I$ any commutative ideal of $R$. If the quotient ring $R / I$ is commutative or nilpotent then the ring $R$ contains some nilpotent ideal with the commutative quotient ring.

Proof. We may restrict ourselves by Lemma 2 only to the case $I \subseteq$ $Z(R)$. First, let the quotient ring $R / I$ be commutative. For any elements $i \in I, r_{1}, r_{2} \in R$ we have

$$
i r_{1} r_{2}-r_{2} i r_{1}=0=i\left[r_{1}, r_{2}\right]
$$

(because $i r_{1} \in I \subseteq Z(R)$ ) and therefore $I[R, R]=0$. Let $J$ denote the annulator of the ideal $I$ in $I$. Then $J$ is an ideal of the ring $R$ with $J^{2}=0$ and $[R, R] \subseteq J$ (because $[R, R] \subseteq I$ ). Thus the quotient ring $R / J$ is commutative and and the proof is complete in the case of commutative quotient ring $R / I$. Now let the quotient ring $R / I$ be nilpotent. If $(R / I)^{2}=0$ then this case follows from the above considered case. Let the statement of Lemma be true for an arbitrary ring $R$ with $(R / I)^{n}=0, n \geq 2$, prove it for a ring $R$ with condition $(R / I)^{n+1}=0$. Denote $N=R^{2}+I$. Clearly, $N / I$ is an ideal of the quotient ring $R / I$ and $(N / I)^{n}=0$. By inductive assumption the subring $N$ contains some nilpotent ideal $T$ such that the quotient ring $N / T$ is commutative. By Lemma $3 T$ lies in some nilpotent
ideal $S$ of the ring $R$ with $S \subseteq N$. Then the quotient ring $\bar{R}=R / S$ contains a commutative ideal $\bar{N}=N / S$ such that $(\bar{R} / \bar{N})^{2}=0$. As was proved above the ring $\bar{R}$ contains some nilpotent ideal $\bar{J}=J / S$ such that $\bar{R} / \bar{J}$ is commutative. It is obvious that $J$ is a nilpotent ideal of the ring $R$ and the quotient ring $R / J$ is commutative. The proof is complete.

Lemma 5. Let $A$ be an almost commutative associative algebra and $I$ a commutative ideal of $A$ with $\operatorname{dim} A / I<\infty$. Then:
(1) $[A, A] I$ lies in some nilpotent ideal of the algebra $A$;
(2) for some nilpotent ideal $J$ the quotient algebra $A / J$ contains a finite dimensional ideal $T / J$ such that the quotient algebra $A / T$ is commutative.

Proof. (1) If $I \subseteq Z(A)$ then we have for any elements $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A$ and $i \in I$

$$
\left(a_{1} a_{2}-a_{2} a_{1}\right) i=\left(a_{1} i\right) a_{2}-a_{2}\left(a_{1} i\right)=0,
$$

because $a_{1} i \in I \subseteq Z(A)$ and therefore $[A, A] I=0$. Now if $I \nsubseteq Z(A)$ then going to the quotient algebra $A / J$ on some nilpotent ideal $J$ with $I \subseteq Z(A / J)$ (it exists by Lemma 2 ) we get $[A, A] I \subseteq J$.
(2) We can assume, without loss of generality, by Lemma 2 that $I \subseteq$ $Z(R)$. Clearly, $T=\operatorname{Ann}_{A}(I)$ is an ideal of the algebra $A$ and by part 1 of this Lemma $T \supseteq[A, A]$. Let denote $J=T \cap I$. Obviously, $J^{2}=0$ and $T / J$ is a finite dimensional ideal of the algebra $A / J$. At that the quotient algebra $(A / J) /(T / J) \simeq A / T$ is commutative.

For convenience and shortness we introduce the following:
Definition 1. An associative algebra $A$ over an arbitrary field will be called an $N C F$-algebra if it contains a nilpotent ideal with almost commutative quotient algebra.

An ideal of an associative algebra will be called an $N C F$-ideal if it is an $N C F$-algebra.

In particular, every nilpotent, commutative and finite dimensional algebras are $N C F$-algebras by this definition.

Proposition 1. The following statements hold:
(1) every subalgebra and every quotient algebra of an NCF-algebra are $N C F$-algebras;
(2) if $A$ and $B$ are NCF-algebras then the direct product $A \times B$ is an NCF-algebra;
(3) every extension of an NCF-algebra by other NCF-algebra is an NCF-algebra.

Proof. The statements (1) and (2) of the Proposition are obvious. Prove the statement (3), i.e. show that an algebra $A$ is an $N C F$-algebra if it contains an $N C F$-ideal $B$ such that $A / B$ is also an $N C F$-algebra. Consider some cases previously:
(a) The quotient algebra $A / B$ is finite dimensional.

Let $I$ be a nilpotent ideal of the $N C F$-algebra $B$ such that $B / I$ is almost commutative. By part 1 of Lemma $3 I$ lies in some nilpotent ideal of the algebra $A$ and the latest lies in $B$. Then we can assume, without loss of generality, $I=0$ i.e. $B$ is commutative. Since $\operatorname{dim} A / B<\infty$, the algebra $A$ is almost commutative by Lemma 1 and therefore it is an $N C F$-algebra.
(b) The ideal $B$ is finite dimensional.

The right annulator $C=\operatorname{Ann}_{A}^{r}(B)$ is an ideal of the algebra $A$, and since $C /(B \cap C) \simeq C+B / B$ is an NCF-algebra, the ideal $C$ is an NCFalgebra in view of equality $(B \cap C)^{2}=0$. It follows from the inequality $\operatorname{dim} A / C<\infty$ and part (a) of this proof that $A$ is an $N C F$-algebra.
(c) The ideal $B$ is commutative.

In the $N C F$-algebra $A / B$ there exists a nilpotent ideal $N / B$ such that quotient algebra $(A / B) /(N / B) \simeq A / N$ is almost commutative. Without loss of generality one can assume in view of Lemma 4 and part 1 of Lemma 3 that the ideal $N$ is commutative. Denote by $S / N$ any commutative ideal of finite codimension in algebra $A / N$. By Lemma 4 and part 1 of Lemma 3 we can assume also $S$ is commutative. Since $\operatorname{dim} A / S<\infty$, we obtain that $A$ is an $N C F$-algebra.

Now prove the statement (3) in general case. Let $N$ be any nilpotent ideal of the subalgebra $B$ such that the quotient algebra $B / N$ is almost commutative. By part 1 of Lemma 3 one can assume without loss of generality $N=0$ i.e. the ideal $B$ is almost commutative. Analogously, by part 2 of Lemma 5 and part 1 of Lemma 3 we can consider the subalgebra $B$ has a finite dimensional ideal $T$ with commutative quotient algebra $B / T$. Similarly, one can assume the subalgebra $T$ of $A$ lies in some finite dimensional ideal $T_{B}$ of algebra $A$ such that $T_{B} \subseteq B$ and $B / T_{B}$ is commutative. Then $A / T_{B}$ is an $N C F$-algebra in view of part (c) of this proof. Since $\operatorname{dim} T_{B}<\infty$, the algebra $A$ is an $N C F$-algebra by part (b) of this proof. The proof is complete.

It follows from Lemma 1 and Proposition 1 the next statement:

Corollary 1. If an associative algebra $A$ has an $N C F$-subalgebra $B$ and $\operatorname{dim} A / B<\infty$ then $A$ is an NCF-algebra.

Lemma 6 ([2], [3, Th. 2.2]). Let $R$ be an associative ring which is decomposable into a sum $R=A+B$ of two commutative subrings $A$ and $B$. Then $R$ has an ideal $I$ with $I^{2}=0$ and commutative quotient ring $R / I$.

Lemma 7. Let $A$ be an associative algebra over an arbitrary field $F$, $B$ and $C$ commutative subalgebras of $A$ and let $I$ be an ideal of $A$ which lies in the $F$-subspace $B+C$. Then $I$ is an $N C F$-ideal.

Proof. Let $I_{B}=\{b \in B \mid$ there exists $i \in I$ of the form $i=b+c$, $c \in C\}$ i.e. $I_{B}$ is a projection of the ideal $I$ into subalgebra $B$. Analogously, define the projection $I_{C}$ of $I$ on subalgebra $C$. Obviously, it holds for elements $i_{1}, i_{2} \in I, i_{1}=b_{1}+c_{1}, i_{2}=b_{2}+c_{2}$, where $b_{i} \in B, c_{i} \in C, i=1,2$ the equality

$$
i_{1} i_{2}=\left(b_{1}+c_{1}\right)\left(b_{2}+c_{2}\right)=i_{1} c_{2}+c_{1} i_{2}+b_{1} b_{2}-c_{1} c_{2}
$$

Thus $b_{1} b_{2}-c_{1} c_{2} \in I$, and hence $I_{B}, I_{C}$ are subalgebras of $B$ and corresponding $C$. It is easy to see that $I_{B}+I_{C}$ is a subalgebra of $A$, and since the subalgebras $I_{B}$ and $I_{C}$ are both commutative, $I_{B}+I_{C}$ is an $N C F$-algebra by Lemma 6 . Then the ideal $I$ which lies in $I_{B}+I_{C}$ is an $N C F$-algebra. The proof is complete.

For convenience we give the following definition:
Definition 2. An associative algebra $A$ over an arbitrary field $F$ decomposable into a sum $A=B+C$ of two almost commutative subalgebras $B$ and $C$ will be called a minimal $B M$-counter-example if $A$ satisfies the following conditions:
(1) $A$ is not an $N C F$-algebra;
(2) the subalgebras $B$ and $C$ have commutative ideals $B_{0}$ and corresponding $C_{0}$ such that $\operatorname{dim} B / B_{0}+\operatorname{dim} C / C_{0}<\infty$ and the number $\operatorname{dim} A /\left(B_{0}+C_{0}\right)$ is the smallest;
(3) the algebra $A$ has not nonzero ideals which lie in the $F$-subspace $B_{0}+C_{0}$ from the condition (2).

Lemma 8. Let $A=B+C$ be a minimal $B M$-counter-example. Then for every nonzero ideal $I$ of $A$ the quotient algebra $A / I$ is an $N C F$-algebra. Besides, the algebra $A$ has not nonzero NCF-ideals.

Proof. Let $\operatorname{dim} A /\left(B_{0}+C_{0}\right)=n$ where $B_{0}$ and $C_{0}$ are the commutative ideals of subalgebra $B$ and corresponding $C$ from Definition 2. If $n=0$ then the algebra $A$ is a sum of two commutative subalgebras $B_{0}$ and $C_{0}$ and hence it is an NCF-algebra by Lemma 6. This contradicts to the choice of the algebra $A$ and therefore $n \geq 1$. Let $I$ be a nonzero ideal of the algebra $A$ such that $A / I$ is not an $N C F$-algebra. Denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{A}=A+I / I, \quad \bar{B}=B+I / I, \quad \bar{C}=C+I / I, \\
\overline{B_{0}}=B_{0}+I / I, \quad \overline{C_{0}}=C_{0}+I / I .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $m=\operatorname{dim} \bar{A} /\left(\bar{B}_{0}+\bar{C}_{0}\right)$. By the Definition 2 it holds $I \nsubseteq B_{0}+C_{0}$ and hence $m<n$. Denote by $\bar{T}$ the sum of all ideals of the algebra $\bar{A}$ which lie in the $F$-subspace $\bar{B}_{0}+\bar{C}_{0}$. The ideal $\bar{T}$ is an NCF-algebra by Lemma 7 and therefore the quotient algebra $\bar{A} / \bar{T}$ is not an NCF-algebra in view of the choice of $A$ and Proposition 1.

Since the $F$-subspace $\left(\bar{B}_{0}+\bar{C}_{0}\right) / \bar{T}$ does not contain nonzero ideals of the algebra $\bar{A} / \bar{T}$ and its codimension in $\bar{A} / \bar{T}$ is equal to $m, m<n$, it contradicts to the choice of $A$. The obtained contradiction proves that $A / I$ is an $N C F$-algebra.

Now let $J$ be a nonzero $N C F$-ideal of the algebra $A$. As has just been proved $A / J$ is an $N C F$-algebra, and then $A$ is an $N C F$-algebra by Proposition 1. The latest is impossible and hence $A$ has not nonzero $N C F-$ ideals. The proof is complete.

Lemma 9. Let $R$ be an associative ring which is decomposable into a sum $R=A+B$ of two subrings $A$ and $B$ and let $R_{0}$ be a subring of $R$ with $R_{0} \supseteq B$. If $R_{0}$ contains an ideal $A_{0}$ of the subring $A$ then $R_{0}$ contains some ideal $J$ of the ring $R$ such that $J \supseteq A_{0}$.

Proof. Consider the subring $J=A_{0}+B A_{0}+A_{0} B+B A_{0} B$ of the ring $R$. Clearly, $J \subseteq R_{0}$ and $A_{0} \subseteq J$. As $A_{0}$ is an ideal of the subring $A$, $J$ obviously, is an ideal of the ring $R$.

Lemma 10. Let $A=B+C$ be a minimal $B M$-counter-example where subalgebras $B$ and $C$ satisfy all conditions of the Definition 2 and let $A_{1}=B+C_{1}\left(C_{1} \subseteq C\right)$ be a subalgebra of $A$. If $A_{1}$ is not an NCF-algebra then for some NCF-ideal $J$ of subalgebra $A_{1}$ the quotient algebra $A_{1} / J$ is a minimal $B M$-counter-example.

Proof. Let $B_{0} \subseteq B$ and $C_{0} \subseteq C$ be commutative ideals of the sublagebra $B$ and corresponding $C$ from the Definition 2 and let $n=$ $\operatorname{dim} A /\left(B_{0}+C_{0}\right)$. Denote by $C_{1}^{\prime}$ the subalgebra in $C$ which is generated by $C_{1}$ and $C \cap B$. Clearly,

$$
B+C_{1}=B+C_{1}^{\prime}, \quad C_{1}^{\prime} \cap B=C \cap B,
$$

and therefore one can assume, without loss of generality, that $C_{1}^{\prime}=C_{1}$ and hence $C_{1} \cap B=C \cap B$. It follows from the latest equality that $C_{0} \cap A_{1}=C_{0} \cap C_{1}$. Indeed, let $x \in C_{0} \cap A_{1}$. Then $x=c_{0}, c_{0} \in C_{0}, x=b+c_{1}$ for some $c_{1} \in C_{1}, b \in B$. Hence $b=c_{0}-c_{1} \in B \cap C=B \cap C_{1}$ and $x \in C_{1}$. But then $x \in C_{0} \cap C_{1}$ and $C \cap A_{1} \subseteq C_{0} \cap C_{1}$, because the element $x$ has been chosen in any way. The inclusion $C_{0} \cap C_{1} \subseteq C_{0} \cap A_{1}$ is obvious.

Since $A_{1} \cap\left(B_{0}+C_{0}\right) \supseteq B_{0}$, it holds $A_{1} \cap\left(B_{0}+C_{0}\right)=B_{0}+\left(C_{0} \cap A_{1}\right)$ and therefore as proved above

$$
A_{1} \cap\left(B_{0}+C_{0}\right)=B_{0}+\left(C_{0} \cap C_{1}\right) .
$$

Now denote by $J$ the sum of all ideals of the algebra $A_{1}$ which lie in $B_{0}+\left(C_{0} \cap C_{1}\right)$. By Lemma $7 J$ is an $N C F$-ideal of the algebra $A_{1}$ and $A_{1} / J$ is not an $N C F$-algebra (because $A_{1}$ is not an $N C F$-algebra). It is easy to see that $A / J$ is a minimal $B M$-counter-example (in particular, $\left.\operatorname{dim} A_{1} /\left(\left(B_{0}+C_{0}\right) \cap A_{1}\right)=n\right)$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 11. If $I$ is an one-sided finite dimensional ideal of an associative algebra $A$ then $A$ has a nilpotent ideal $J$ such that $(I+J) / J$ lies in some finite dimensional (two-sided) ideal of the quotient algebra $A / J$.

Proof. Let $I$ be for example a right ideal of the algebra $A$ and $S=\operatorname{Ann}_{A}^{r}(I)$. Clearly, $S$ is an ideal of $A$ and $\operatorname{dim} A / S<\infty$. Further, $T=\operatorname{Ann}_{A}^{l}(S)$ is also an ideal of $A, T \supseteq I$ and $J=T \cap S$ is an ideal of the algebra $A$ with $J^{2}=0$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{dim} T / J<\infty$ and $I+J / J \subseteq T / J$. The case of a left ideal of $A$ can be considered analogously. The Lemma is proved.

Lemma 12. Let $A$ be an associative algebra over an arbitrary field $F$ and $a$ is an element in $A$ such that $\operatorname{dim} A / \operatorname{Ann}_{A}^{r}(a)<\infty\left(\operatorname{dim} A / \mathrm{Ann}_{A}^{l}\right.$ $(a)<\infty$ ). Then the element a belongs to a finite dimensional right (corresponding left) ideal of the algebra $A$.

Proof. Denote by $C$ the right annulator $\mathrm{Ann}_{A}^{r}(a)$ and let $\operatorname{dim} A / C<$ $\infty$. Choose a complete system of representatives $\left\{h_{1}, \ldots, h_{n}\right\}$ of the congruence classes of $A$ by $C$. We will show that $F$-subspace $I=\left\langle a, a h_{1}, \ldots, a h_{n}\right\rangle$ is a right ideal of the algebra $A$. If $g$ is any element in $A$ then $g$ is of the form $g=c+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} h_{i}$ where $c \in C, \alpha_{i} \in F$, $i=1, \ldots, n$. Hence

$$
a g=a\left(c+\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} h_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i} a h_{i} \in I,
$$

because $a c=0$. Further, denote $h_{i} g=c_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{i j} h_{j}$ where $c_{i} \in C$, $\beta_{i j} \in F, i, j=1, \ldots, n$. Then we obtain

$$
\left(a h_{i}\right) g=a\left(h_{i} g\right)=a\left(c_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{i j} h_{j}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{i j} a h_{j} \in I .
$$

Therefore $I$ is a right ideal of the algebra $A, a \in I$ and $\operatorname{dim} I<\infty$. Analogously, one can consider the case $\operatorname{dim} A / \operatorname{Ann}_{A}^{l}(a)<\infty$. The proof is complete.

Let $A$ be a nilpotent algebra, $A \neq 0$. The number $n=n(A)$ such that $A^{n}=0, A^{n-1} \neq 0$ will be called the index of nilpotency of $A$ and denoted by $n(A)$. The index of nilpotency of the zero algebra we assume to be equal 1 .

An associative algebra $A$ will be called almost nilpotent if it has a nilpotent ideal of finite codimension. By $\bar{n}(A)$ will be denoted the smallest nilpotency index of all nilpotent ideals of $A$ of finite codimension in $A$.

Lemma 13. If $I$ is a right (left) almost nilpotent ideal of an algebra $A$ then $A$ has a nilpotent ideal $J$ such that $I+J / J$ is a finite dimensional right (corresponding left) ideal of $A$.

Proof. Let $I$ be, for example, a right ideal. Let $B$ be any nilpotent ideal of the subalgebra $I$ with $\operatorname{dim} I / B<\infty$ such that $n(B)=\bar{n}(I)$. If $\bar{n}(I)=1$ then $\operatorname{dim} I<\infty$, that is $B=0$, and Lemma is proved. First
consider the case $\operatorname{dim} I / \operatorname{Ann}_{I}^{r}(g)<\infty$ for every element $g \in I$. Let the statement of Lemma be true for algebras with $\bar{n}(I)<k$, prove it for algebras with $\bar{n}(I)=k$. Choose a complete system of representatives $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}\right\}$ of the congruence classes of $I$ by $B$. Using Lemma 12 one can easy show that there exists a finite dimensional right ideal $N$ of the algebra $I$ with $\left\{g_{1}, \ldots, g_{m}\right\} \subseteq N$. Obviously, $I=B+N$. Then $T=$ $\operatorname{Ann}_{B}^{r}(N)$ is a nilpotent ideal of the subalgebra $I$ and $\operatorname{dim} I / T<\infty$. Analogously, $I_{0}=\operatorname{Ann}_{I}^{r}(I)$ is a nilpotent right ideal of the algebra $A$ and $I_{0} \supseteq T \cap B^{k-1}$. Then as is well known (see for example [1, Lemma 1.1.2]) $I_{0}$ lies in some nilpotent ideal $S$ of the algebra $A$. At that the quotient algebra $\bar{A}=A / S$ has the right almost nilpotent ideal $\bar{I}=I+S / S$. Since $T \cap B^{k-1} \subseteq S$ then the ideal $\bar{T}=T+S / S$ of the subalgebra $\bar{I}$ has the nilpotency index $\leq k-1$ and therefore $\bar{n}(\bar{I}) \leq k-1$. By inductive assumption there exists in $\bar{A}$ some nilpotent ideal $\bar{J}=J / S$ such that $\bar{I}+\bar{J} / \bar{J}$ is a finite dimensional right ideal of the algebra $\bar{A} / \bar{J}$. Then $J$ is a nilpotent ideal of the algebra $A$ and $I+J / J$ is a finite dimensional right ideal of the quotient algebra $A / J$.

Now let $I_{1}=\left\{i \in I \mid \operatorname{dim} I / \operatorname{Ann}_{I}^{r}(i)<\infty\right\}$. It is easy to see that $I_{1}$ is a right ideal of the algebra $A, I_{1} \cap B$ is a nilpotent ideal in $I_{1}$ and $\operatorname{dim} I_{1} /\left(I_{1} \cap B\right)<\infty$. Besides, $B^{k-1} \subseteq I_{1}$, because $B^{k-1} B=0$ and $\operatorname{dim} I / B<\infty$. As has just been proved there exists in $A$ a nilpotent ideal $U$ such that $I_{1}+U / U$ is a finite dimensional right ideal of the algebra $A / U$. One can assume without loss of generality (by Lemma 11) that the algebra $A$ has a finite dimensional ideal $M$ such that $M \supseteq B^{k-1}$. By inductive assumption (induction on $\bar{n}(I)$ )the quotient algebra $A / M$ contains a nilpotent ideal $V / M$ such that $(I+V / M) /(V / M)$ is a finite dimensional right ideal of the algebra $(A / M) /(V / M)$. Let $V_{1}=\operatorname{Ann}_{V}^{r}(M)$. It is easy to see that $V_{1}$ is a nilpotent ideal of the algebra $A$ and $I+V_{1} / V_{1}$ is a finite dimensional right ideal of the algebra $A / V_{1}$.

The case of the left ideal $I$ can be considered analogously. The Lemma is proved.

The statements below follow from Lemmas 11 and 13 .
Corollary 2. Let $A$ be an associative algebra and $I$ a right (left) almost nilpotent ideal of the algebra $A$. Then $I$ lies in some almost nilpotent ideal of the algebra $A$.

Corollary 3. If an associative algebra $A$ has an almost nilpotent ideal $I$ with almost nilpotent quotient algebra $A / I$ then the algebra $A$ is almost nilpotent.

Proof. One can assume, without loss of generality, that $\operatorname{dim} I<\infty$ (in view of Lemma 3). Let $J / I$ be a nilpotent ideal of the quotient algebra $A / I$ such that $\operatorname{dim} A / J<\infty$ and let $C=\operatorname{Ann}_{J}^{r}(I)$. Obviously, $C$ is an ideal of the algebra $A$ and $\operatorname{dim} J / C<\infty$ (and hence $\operatorname{dim} A / C<\infty$ ). Since $(C \cap I)^{2}=0$ and $C /(C \cap I) \simeq C+I / I$ is a nilpotent algebra then the ideal $C$ is nilpotent. The proof is complete.

Proposition 2. If an associative algebra $A$ over an arbitrary field is decomposable into a sum $A=B+C$ with almost nilpotent subalgebras $B$ and $C$ of $A$ then the algebra $A$ is almost nilpotent.

Proof. Let the statement of the Proposition be false. Choose among all counter-examples to the Proposition an algebra $A=B+C$ with the smallest sum $\bar{n}(B)+\bar{n}(C)$. Clearly, $\bar{n}(B) \geq 2$ and $\bar{n}(C) \geq 2$ (if, for example, $\bar{n}(B)=1$ then $\operatorname{dim} B<\infty$ and therefore the algebra $A$ is almost nilpotent in contradiction to the our assumption). Denote by $B_{0}$ and $C_{0}$ some nilpotent ideals of the subalgebra $B$ and corresponding of the subalgebra $C$ such that $\operatorname{dim} B / B_{0}+\operatorname{dim} C / C_{0}<\infty$ and $n\left(B_{0}\right)=\bar{n}(B)$, $n\left(C_{0}\right)=\bar{n}(C)$. Let $I=B_{0}^{\bar{n}(B)-1}$. It is easy to see that $I B_{0}=B_{0} I=0$ and $A_{0}=B+I C$ is a subalgebra from $A$ of the form $A_{0}=B+C_{1}$ where $C_{1}=C \cap A_{0}$. Note that $B_{0}$ is a right nilpotent ideal of the subalgebra $A_{0}$. Then the right ideal $B_{0}$ lies as is well known in some (two-sided) nilpotent ideal $S$ of the subalgebra $A_{0}$. The almost nilpotent subalgebra $C_{1}+S / S$ is of finite codimension in $A_{0} / S$ and by Lemma 1 the quotient algebra $A_{0} / S$ is almost nilpotent. Then the algebra $A_{0}$ is almost nilpotent.

It is eeasy to see that $I+I C$ is a right ideal of the algebra $A$, and since $I+I C \subseteq A_{0}$, the subalgebra $I+I C$ is almost nilpotent. Further, $I+I C$ lies by Corollary 2 in some almost nilpotent ideal $T$ of the algebra $A$. The quotient algebra $\bar{A}=A / T$ is decomposable into a sum $\bar{A}=\bar{B}+\bar{C}$ where $\bar{B}=B+T / T, \bar{C}=C+T / T$. Since $I \subseteq T$, we have $\bar{n}(\bar{B})<\bar{n}(B)$ and therefore the quotient algebra $A / T$ is almost nilpotent by choice of the algebra $A$. In view of Corollary 3 the algebra $A$ is almost nilpotent. It contradicts to the choice of $A$ and the proof is complete.

Lemma 14. Let $A$ be an associative algebra without nonzero NCFideals decomposable into a sum $A=B+C$ of subalgebras $B$ and $C$ which contain commutative ideals $B_{0} \subseteq B$ and $C_{0} \subseteq C$ such that $\operatorname{dim} B / B_{0}+$ $\operatorname{dim} C / C_{0}<\infty$. If $A_{1}=B+B_{0} C$ is an NCF-subalgebra of $A$ then the subalgebra $C_{1}=C \cap A_{1}$ is almost nilpotent.

Proof. Since $B_{0}$ is an ideal of subalgebra $B$, the subspaces $B_{0} C$ and $A_{1}$ are obvius subalgebras of $A$. Let $g=b+c$ be any element in the $F$-subspace $B_{0} C \cap\left(B_{0}+C_{0}\right)$ where $b \in B_{0}, c \in C_{0}$. It is easy to see that $C_{0} c=c C_{0}$ is a two-sided ideal of the algebra $C$ and $c C_{0}$ lies in the subalgebra $A_{1}=B+B_{0} C=B+C_{1}$ Then there exists by Lemma 9 an ideal $S$ of algebra $A$ such that $c C_{0} \subseteq S$ and $S \subseteq A_{1}$. Let $A_{1}$ be an NCFsubalgebra. Then $S$ is an NCF-ideal of the algebra $A$ and by conditions of Lemma $S=0$. Hence $c C_{0}=C_{0} c=0$, that is, $c \in \operatorname{Ann}_{C_{0}}\left(C_{0}\right)$. In view of choice of the element $g=b+c$ this means $\left(B_{0}+B_{0} C\right) \cap C_{0} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{C_{0}}\left(C_{0}\right)$. Further, it is easy to see that $\operatorname{dim} C_{1} /\left(A_{1} \cap C_{0}\right)<\infty$ because $\operatorname{dim} C / C_{0}<$ $\infty$, and since $\operatorname{dim} B / B_{0}<\infty$, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim} C_{1} /\left(\left(B_{0}+B_{0} C\right) \cap C_{0}\right)<\infty .
$$

Obviously, $\left(\operatorname{Ann}_{C_{0}}\left(C_{0}\right)\right)^{2}=0$, hence $\left(\left(B_{0}+B_{0} C\right) \cap C_{0}\right)^{2}=0$ and therefore $C_{1}$ is an almost nilpotent subalgebra of the algebra $C$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 15. Let $A=B+C$ be a minimal $B M$-counter-example where subalgebras $B$ and $C$ satisfy conditions of Definition 2. Then both subalgebras $B$ and $C$ are not almost nilpotent.

Proof. Let the statement of Lemma be false. Then there exist minimal $B M$-counter-examples of the form $A=B+C$ such that one of the subalgebras $B$ or $C$ is almost nilpotent (by Proposition 2 both subalgebras $B$ and $C$ can not be almost nilpotent simultaneously). Choose among all such counter-examples an algebra $A=B+C$ with almost nilpotent subalgebra $B$ which has the smallest number $n=\bar{n}(B)$. Let $B_{0}^{\prime}$ and $C_{0}$ be commutative ideals of finite codimension of the algebra $B$ and corresponding $C$ which satisfy conditions of Definition 2 . Take a nilpotent ideal $N$ of subalgebra $B$ with $\operatorname{dim} B / N<\infty$ and $n(N)=\bar{n}(B)$ and set $B_{0}=B_{0}^{\prime} \cap N$. Obviously, $B_{0}$ is a commutative nilpotent ideal of finite codimension in $B$ and $n\left(B_{0}\right)=\bar{n}(B)$. It is easy to see that $A_{1}=B+B_{0} C$ is a subalgebra
of $A$. Show that $A_{1}$ is an $N C F$-algebra. Denote $I=\left(B_{0}\right)^{\bar{n}(B)-1}$. Then $I$ is a right nilpotent ideal of the subalgebra $A_{1}$ and hence $I$ lies in certain nilpotent ideal $S$ of $A_{1}$. The quotient algebra $A_{1} / S$ is decomposable into a sum

$$
A_{1} / S=(B+S) / S+\left(B_{0} C+S\right) / S
$$

and $B_{0}^{\bar{n}(B)-2}+S / S$ is a right nilpotent ideal in $A_{1} / S$. Therefore $B_{0}^{\bar{n}(B)-2}+$ $S / S$ lies in some nilpotent ideal $S_{1} / S$ of the algebra $A_{1} / S$. Repeating this considering one can show that $B_{0}$ lies in some nilpotent ideal $T$ of the algebra $A_{1}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} B / B_{0}<\infty$, the quotient algebra $A_{1} / T$ is an $N C F$-algebra by Corollary 1 and hence $A_{1}$ is an $N C F$-algebra. Obviously, $A_{1}=B+C_{1}$ where $C_{1}=C \cap A_{1}$. The subalgebra $C_{1}$ is almost nilpotent (see Lemma 14) and therefore the subalgebra $A_{1}$ is almost nilpotent by Proposition 2 as a sum of two almost nilpotent subalgebras $B$ and $C_{1}$. Then the right ideal $B_{0}+B_{0} C$ of the algebra $A$ is almost nilpotent and lies by Corollary 2 in some almost nilpotent ideal $T_{1}$ of the algebra $A$. But $T_{1}=0$ by Lemma 8 and hence $B_{0}=0$. It follows from this $\operatorname{dim} A / C<\infty$ and $A$ is an $N C F$-algebra in view of Corollary 1. This contradicts to the choice of algebra $A$. The proof is complete.

Lemma 16. Let $A=B+C$ be a minimal $B M$-counter-example, let $B_{0}$ and $C_{0}$ be commutative ideals of the subalgebras $B$ and corresponding $C$ from Definition 2. Then $B+B_{0} C$ and $B+C B_{0}$ are subalgebras of $A$ and at least one of these subalgebras is not an NCF-algebra.

Proof. It is easy to see that $A_{1}=B+B_{0} C$ and $A_{2}=B+C B_{0}$ are subalgebras of $A$ because $B_{0}$ is an ideal of the subalgebra $B$. One can immediately check up that $B_{0} C, \quad C B_{0}$ and $A_{0}=B+B_{0} C+C B_{0}+$ $C B_{0}^{2} C$ are also subalgebras of the algebra $A$. Suppose the Lemma is false and both subalgebras $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are $N C F$-algebras. Obviously, it holds $A_{1}=B+C_{1}, A_{2}=B+C_{2}$ where $C_{1}=C \cap A_{1}$ and $C_{2}=C \cap A_{2}$. Denote $N_{i}=C_{i} \cap \operatorname{Ann}_{C_{0}}\left(C_{0}\right), i=1,2$. Repeating the considerations from the proof of Lemma 14 one can show that $\operatorname{dim} C_{i} / N_{i}<\infty, i=1,2$. Obviously, $N_{i}$ is an ideal of $C_{i}, N_{i}^{2}=0, i=1,2$. It is easy to see that $A_{0}=B+C_{3}$ where $C_{3}=C \cap A_{0}$. Show that $C_{3}$ is almost nilpotent. Since $A_{0}=A_{1}+A_{2}+A_{1} A_{2}$, we have $A_{0}=B+C_{1}+C_{2}+C_{1} C_{2}$. It follows from this equality that $C_{3}=C_{1}+C_{2}+C_{1} C_{2}$. Really, the inclusion $C_{1}+C_{2}+C_{1} C_{2} \subseteq C_{3}$ is obvious. Now let $c \in C_{3}=C \cap A_{0}$ be any element. Then $c=b+x_{1}+y_{1}+\sum_{i=2}^{k} x_{i} y_{i}$ where $x_{i} \in C_{1}, y_{i} \in C_{2}, i=1, \ldots, k$.

Then $b \in C$ and hence $b \in C \cap B$. Since $C \cap B \subseteq C_{1}$ then $c \in C_{1}+C_{2}+C_{1} C_{2}$ and therefore $C_{3} \subseteq C_{1}+C_{2}+C_{1} C_{2}$, because the element $c$ was chosen in any way. Thus $C_{3}=C_{1}+C_{2}+C_{1} C_{2}$.

Choose a complete system of representatives $\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\}$ of the congruence classes of $C_{1}$ by $N_{1}$ and analogous system $\left\{y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}$ of $C_{2}$ by $N_{2}$. Then

$$
N_{3}=N_{1}+N_{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{m} x_{i} N_{2}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_{j} N_{1} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{C_{0}}\left(C_{0}\right)
$$

and obviously $\operatorname{dim} C_{3} / N_{3}<\infty$. Since $N_{3}^{2}=0$, the subalgebra $C_{3}$ of $A_{0}$ is almost nilpotent.

Show that $A_{0}=B+C_{3}$ is not an NCF-subalgebra. Really, let $A_{0}$ be conversely an NCF-algebra. Then $J=B_{0}^{2}+C B_{0}^{2}+B_{0}^{2} C+C B_{0}^{2} C$ is an ideal of the algebra $A$ which lies in $A_{0}$ and hence $J$ is an $N C F$-ideal. By the conditions of the present Lemma and by Lemma $8 J=0$ and hence $B_{0}^{2}=0$. As a sum of two almost nilpotent subalgebras $B$ and $C_{3}$ the subalgebra $A_{0}$ is almost nilpotent by Proposition 2. But then $B_{0}+B_{0} C\left(\subseteq A_{0}\right)$ is an almost nilpotent right ideal of the algebra $A$. By Corollary $2 B_{0}+B_{0} C$ lies in some almost nilpotent ideal of the algebra $A$. In view of conditions of this Lemma and Lemma 8 the latest ideal equals zero and hence $B_{0}=0$. Then obviously $\operatorname{dim} A / C<\infty$ and $A$ is an $N C F$-algebra by Corollary 1. This contradicts to the conditions of Lemma and hence $A_{0}=B+C_{3}$ is not an $N C F$-algebra. By Lemma 10 the quotient algebra $A_{0} / J_{0}$ is a minimal $B M$-counter-example for a some $N C F$-ideal $J_{0}$. On other hand $A_{0} / J_{0}=\left(B+J_{0}\right) / J_{0}+\left(C_{3}+J_{0}\right) / J_{0}$ where the subalgebra $C_{3}+J_{0} / J_{0}$ is almost nilpotent and therefore $A_{0} / J_{0}$ is not an $B M$-counter-example by Lemma 15. The obtained contradiction proves the statement of Lemma.

Proof of the Theorem. Let the statement of the Theorem be false. Choose among all counter-examples to the Theorem a such associative algebra $A=B+C$ over a field $F$ which is not $N C F$-algebra and its $F$ subspace $B_{0}+C_{0}$ is of the smallest codimension in $A$ where $B_{0}$ and $C_{0}$ are commutative ideals of subalgebras $B$ and corresponding $C$. Denote by $J_{0}$ the sum of all ideals of the algebra $A$ which lie in $B_{0}+C_{0}$. Then $J_{0}$ is an $N C F$-ideal by Lemma 7 and $A / J_{0}$ is obviously a $B M$-counter-example. Thus one can assume, without loss of generality, that $J=0$ and $A$ is a minimal $B M$-counter-example.

Note that $A_{1}=B+B_{0} C$ and $A_{2}=B+C B_{0}$ are subalgebras of $A$, and by Lemma 16 at least one of these subalgebras is not an NCF-algebra. Let $A_{1}$, for example, be not an $N C F$-algebra. Then the quotient algebra $A_{1} / J_{1}$ for some $N C F$-ideal $J_{1}$ of $A_{1}$ is a minimal $B M$-counter-example by Lemma 10. Denote $I_{1}=\operatorname{Ann}_{B_{0}}\left(B_{0}\right)$. Obviously, $I_{1}$ is a right nilpotent ideal of the subalgebra $A_{1}=B+B_{0} C$. Then $I_{1}$ lies in some nilpotent ideal $S_{1}$ of this algebra, and since the quotient algebra $A_{1} / J_{1}$ has not nonzero $N C F$-ideals (see Lemma 8), $S_{1} \subseteq J_{1}$ and hence $I_{1} \subseteq J_{1}$. We have $\left[B_{0}, B\right] \subseteq$ $B_{0}$ ( $B_{0}$ is a commutative ideal in $B$ ) and repeating the consideration from the proof of Lemma 2 one can show that $\left[B_{0}, B\right] \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{B_{0}}\left(B_{0}\right)=I_{1}$. But then $A_{1} / J_{1}$ is a sum of almost commutative subalgebra $C_{1}+J_{1} / J_{1}$ and finite dimensional over its center subalgebra $B+J_{1} / J_{1}$. Therefore we can assume, without loss of generality, that in the initial minimal $B M$ -counter-example $A=B+C$ holds the inclusion $B_{0} \subseteq Z(B)$ (otherwise we can replace $A$ by $\left.A_{1} / J_{1}\right)$.

Now consider the right ideal $D_{0}=\operatorname{Ann}_{B}\left(B_{0}\right)$ of the subalgebra $A_{1}=$ $B+B_{0} C$ (which is not an NCF-algebra by our choice). It is easy to see that $T_{0}=D_{0}+A_{1} D_{0}$ is an ideal of the algebra $A_{1}$ and $T_{0} \subseteq \operatorname{Ann}_{A_{1}}^{l}\left(B_{0}\right)$. Further, denote $I_{0}=\mathrm{Ann}_{A_{1}}^{r}\left(T_{0}\right)$. Obviously, $I_{0}$ is an ideal of the subalgebra $A_{1}, I_{0} \supseteq B_{0}$ and $\left(I_{0} \cap T_{0}\right)^{2}=0$. The quotient algebra $A_{1} /\left(I_{0} \cap T_{0}\right)$ is not an $N C F$-algebra, because in the contrary case the algebra $A_{1}$ were also an $N C F$-algebra (in view of nilpotency of the ideal $I_{0} \cap T_{0}$ ). The latest is impossible. The quotient algebra $A_{1} / I_{0}$ contains an almost commutative subalgebra $C_{1}+I_{0} / I_{0}$ of finite codimension in $A_{1} / I_{0}$ (because $I_{0} \supseteq B_{0}$ ) and therefore by Corollary $1 A_{1} / I_{0}$ is an $N C F$-algebra. Then the quotient algebra $\bar{A}_{1}=A_{1} / T_{0}$ is not an NCF-algebra, because in the contrary case the algebra $A_{1} /\left(I_{0} \cap T_{0}\right)$ were also an $N C F$-algebra in view of embedding $A_{1} /\left(I_{0} \cap T_{0}\right)$ into the product $\left(A_{1} / I_{0}\right) \times\left(A_{1} / T_{0}\right)$ and by Proposition 1 . Note that $[B, B] \subseteq D_{0} \subseteq T_{0}$. Really, we have for any elements $b_{1}, b_{2} \in B$ and $b_{0} \in B_{0}$

$$
\left(b_{1} b_{2}-b_{2} b_{1}\right) b_{0}=b_{1} b_{2} b_{0}-b_{2} b_{1} b_{0}=b_{1}\left(b_{2} b_{0}\right)-\left(b_{2} b_{0}\right) b_{1}=0
$$

because $b_{2} b_{0} \in B_{0} \subseteq Z(B)$. Hence the quotient algebra $\bar{A}_{1}=A_{1} / T_{0}$ is a sum of the commutative subalgebra $\bar{B}=B+T_{0} / T_{0}$ and almost commutative subalgebra $\bar{C}_{1}=C_{1}+T_{0} / T_{0}$ where $C_{1}=C \cap A_{1}$. It easy to see that certain quotient algebra $\bar{A}_{1} / \bar{S}_{1}$ is a minimal $B M$-counter-example
for some $N C F$-ideal $\bar{S}_{1}$ from $\bar{A}_{1}$. We can assume, without loss of generality, that the subalgebra $B$ in original $B M$-counter-example $A=B+C$ is commutative. Repeating the above considerations in respect to one of the subalgebras $C+C_{0} B$ or $C+B C_{0}$ we can show that there exist minimal $B M$-counter-examples of the form $A=B+C$ with commutative subalgebras $B$ and $C$. It is impossible in view of [2] (see Lemma 6). The obtained contradiction proves the Theorem.

Remark. Let $A=B+C$ be the associative algebra from the main theorem and $B_{0} \subseteq B, C_{0} \subseteq C$ be some commutative ideals of $B$ and respectively $C$ of finite codimensions $p=\operatorname{dim} B / B_{0}, q=\operatorname{dim} C / C_{0}$. By this theorem the algebra $A$ contains a nilpotent ideal $I$ with almost commutative quotient algebra $A / I$. Let $K / I$ be any commutative ideal of $A / I$ of finite codimension. Then using the main theorem and Lemma 6 one can show that there exist two functions $f(x, y)$ and $g(x, y)$ such that the nilpotency index $n(I) \leq f(p, q)$ and $\operatorname{dim} A / K \leq g(p, q)$.

The author is grateful to the referee of this paper for his outpointing at the existence of the estimations from the Remark.
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