Publ. Math. Debrecen 55 / 1-2 (1999), 161–167

## Nontransitive quasi-uniformities

By HANS-PETER A. KÜNZI (Berne)

**Abstract.** We show that a topological space admits only transitive quasi-uniformities if and only if it admits a unique quasi-uniformity. Furthermore we exhibit an example of a topological space that admits exactly two quasi-proximities. Finally we show that if X is a topological space of net(work) weight nw(X), then any compatible quasi-uniformity of X has a base of cardinality  $\leq 2^{\operatorname{nw}(X)}$  and X has  $\leq 2^{2^{\operatorname{nw}(X)}}$ compatible quasi-uniformities.

## 1. Introduction

In the interesting article [4] LOSONCZI obtained results on the number of (nontransitive) quasi-uniformities that various kinds of topological spaces admit. In this note we answer two of his questions by showing that a topological space admits only transitive quasi-uniformities if and only if it admits a unique quasi-uniformity; furthermore we prove that there exists a topological space that admits exactly two quasi-proximities (equivalently, totally bounded quasi-uniformities, see e.g. [2]).

Finally we point out that a modification of a technique due to LOSON-CZI [4] yields the following results: Let X be a topological space of network weight nw(X). Then any compatible quasi-uniformity on X has a base of cardinality  $\leq 2^{nw(X)}$  and the number of compatible quasi-uniformities on X is  $\leq 2^{2^{nw(X)}}$ .

Mathematics Subject Classification: 54E15, 54A25.

Key words and phrases: totally bounded, transitive, quasi-uniformity, unique quasiuniformity, net(work) weight.

This paper was written while the author was supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation under grant 20-50579.97.

We recall that a quasi-uniformity on a set X is called transitive if it possesses a base consisting of transitive entourages and it is said to be totally bounded provided that for each entourage V the cover  $\{(V \cap V^{-1})(x) : x \in X\}$  has a finite subcover.

We shall need the result of [3] that a topological space admits a unique quasi-uniformity if and only if it is hereditarily compact and has no strictly decreasing sequence  $(H_n)_{n\in\omega}$  of open sets such that  $\bigcap_{n\in\omega} H_n$  is open.

For basic results on topological and quasi-uniform spaces we refer the reader to [1], [2]. In particular let us mention (compare [6]) that each topological space X admits the transitive and totally bounded Pervin quasi-uniformity generated by the entourages  $[G \times G] \cup [(X \setminus G) \times X]$  where G is an open subset of X.

## 2. Construction of nontransitive quasi-uniformities

Let us establish our main result first. It solves Problem 3 of LOSON-CZI [4].

**Proposition 1.** A topological space admits only transitive quasi-uniformities if and only if it admits a unique quasi-uniformity.

PROOF. As we have mentioned above, each topological space admits the transitive Pervin quasi-uniformity. To prove the remaining statement suppose that the topological space X admits more than one quasiuniformity. We shall show that X admits a nontransitive quasi-uniformity.

(1) Assume first that X is not hereditarily compact. By Zorn's Lemma there is a maximal open noncompact subset H of X. By noncompactness of H there is a strictly increasing sequence  $(E_{\alpha})_{\alpha < \lambda}$  of open sets in X such that  $H = \bigcup_{\alpha < \lambda} E_{\alpha}$  where  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal (see e.g. [7, Theorem 2.2 and Remark 2.4]). For each  $x \in H$  determine the well-defined smallest  $\alpha_x < \lambda$  such that  $x \in E_{\alpha_x} \setminus \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha_x} E_{\beta}$  and write  $\alpha_x = \beta_x + n_x$  where  $\beta_x$  is the largest limit ordinal  $\leq \alpha_x$  and  $n_x \in \omega$ . If no such  $\beta_x$  exists, put  $\beta_x = 0$ .

Consider the filter  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $X \times X$  that is generated by  $\{[G \times G] \cup [(X \setminus G) \times X] : G \text{ is open in } X \text{ and } (G \subseteq E_{\alpha} \text{ for some } \alpha < \lambda \text{ or } G \setminus H \neq \emptyset)\} \cup \{V_{\epsilon} \cup [(X \setminus H) \times X] : \epsilon > 0\}.$  Here  $V_{\epsilon} = \{(x, y) \in H \times H : \alpha_y \leq \alpha_x \text{ or } (\beta_x = \beta_y, n_y > n_x \text{ and } \sum_{k=n_x}^{n_y-1} \frac{1}{k+1} < \epsilon)\}.$ 

Note that  $\{[G \times G] \cup [(X \setminus G) \times X] : G \text{ is open in } X \text{ and } (G \subseteq E_{\alpha} \text{ for some } \alpha < \lambda \text{ or } G \setminus H \neq \emptyset)\}$  generates a compatible quasi-uniformity

on X. Furthermore  $\{V_{\epsilon} : \epsilon > 0\}$  generates a quasi-uniformity on H whose topology is coarser than the topology of H. Observe that  $\{V_{\epsilon} \cup [(X \setminus H) \times X] : \epsilon > 0\}$  generates a quasi-uniformity on X whose topology is coarser than the topology of X (see [2, Proposition 2.19]). Hence  $\mathcal{V}$  is a compatible quasi-uniformity on X.

Let us show that  $\mathcal{V}$  is not transitive: Otherwise there are  $P = \bigcap_{i=0}^{n} ([G_i \times G_i] \cup [(X \setminus G_i) \times X]), \ \rho > 0$  and a transitive  $\mathcal{V}$ -entourage T of X such that  $(P \cap (V_{\rho} \cup (X \setminus H) \times X)) \subseteq T \subseteq V_1 \cup (X \setminus H) \times X$ , where  $n \in \omega$  and for each  $i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}, G_i$  is open in X and either  $G_i \subseteq E_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha < \lambda$  or  $G_i \setminus H \neq \emptyset$ .

Note that if G is open in X and  $G \setminus H \neq \emptyset$ , then by compactness of  $G \cup H$  there is  $\beta_0 < \lambda$  such that  $H \setminus E_{\beta_0} \subseteq G$ .

Hence we can find  $\delta_0 = \epsilon_0 + s_0 < \lambda$  where  $\epsilon_0$  is the largest limit  $\leq \delta_0$ (if necessary put  $\epsilon_0 = 0$ ) and  $s_0 \in \omega$  such that for each  $i \in \{0, \ldots, n\}$  either  $G_i \subseteq E_{\delta_0}$  or  $H \setminus E_{\delta_0} \subseteq G_i$ . Thus  $x \in H \setminus E_{\delta_0}$  implies that  $H \setminus E_{\delta_0} \subseteq P(x)$ . Let  $n \in \omega$  be such that  $\frac{1}{n+s_0+2} < \rho$ . Then choose  $m \in \omega$  such that m-n > 1 and  $\sum_{k=n+s_0+1}^{m+s_0-1} \frac{1}{k+1} \geq 1$ . Furthermore find  $x_i \in X$  such that  $\alpha_{x_i} = \delta_0 + n + i$  where  $m - n \geq i \geq 1$ . Note that  $(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in P \cap V_\rho$  for any  $i \in \{1, \ldots, m - n - 1\}$ . Then for  $x = x_1$  and  $y = x_{m-n}$  we have  $(x, y) \in T$ , but  $(x, y) \notin V_1$  – a contradiction. We have shown that  $\mathcal{V}$  is not transitive.

(2) Suppose now that X is hereditarily compact. By our initial assumption and the characterization of topological spaces admitting a unique quasi-uniformity mentioned in the introduction there is an open set H of X and a strictly decreasing sequence  $(H_n)_{n\in\omega}$  of open sets in X such that  $H = \bigcap_{n\in\omega} H_n$ . By hereditary compactness we can assume that H is maximal with these properties, because any strictly increasing sequence of open sets of X is finite. Furthermore without loss of generality we can suppose that  $H_0 = X$ . For each  $x \in X \setminus H$ , there is a well-defined  $n_x \in \omega$  such that  $x \in H_{n_x} \setminus H_{n_x+1}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be the quasi-uniformity generated by the union of the Pervin quasi-uniformity of X and of  $\{V_{\epsilon} \cup [X \times H] : \epsilon > 0\}$ . Here  $V_{\epsilon} = \{(x, y) \in (X \setminus H) \times (X \setminus H) : n_x \leq n_y \text{ or } (n_y < n_x \text{ and } \sum_{k=n_y}^{n_x-1} \frac{1}{k+1} < \epsilon)\}$ .

We observe that  $\{V_{\epsilon} : \epsilon > 0\}$  generates a quasi-uniformity on  $X \setminus H$ whose topology is coarser than the topology of  $X \setminus H$ . Then  $\{V_{\epsilon} \cup [X \times H] :$   $\epsilon > 0$ } generates a quasi-uniformity on X whose topology is coarser than the topology of X (see [2, Proposition 2.19]). Thus  $\mathcal{U}$  is a compatible quasi-uniformity on X.

Consider an open set G in X such that  $G \setminus H \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $H \subset G \cup H$ . Since  $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} [(X \setminus (G \cup H_n)] = X \setminus (G \cup H))$ , by the maximality of H there exists  $n \in \omega$  such that  $G \cup H_n = G \cup H$  and thus  $(H_n \setminus H) \subseteq G$ . It follows that  $(H_n \setminus H) \cap (X \setminus G) = \emptyset$ .

We want to show that  $\mathcal{U}$  is not transitive. Assume the converse and suppose that there are  $p \in \omega$ ,  $P = \bigcap_{i=0}^{p} ([G_i \times G_i] \cup [(X \setminus G_i) \times X])$  where  $G_i$  are open sets in X whenever  $i \in \{0, \ldots, p\}, \delta > 0$  and a transitive  $\mathcal{U}$ -entourage T of X such that  $(P \cap (V_{\delta} \cup (X \times H))) \subseteq T \subseteq V_1 \cup (X \times H).$ 

By the argument just given there is  $n_0 \in \omega$  such that for all  $i \in \{0, \ldots, p\}$ ,  $(H_{n_0} \setminus H) \cap (X \setminus G_i) = \emptyset$  or  $G_i \subseteq H$ . We conclude that if  $x \in H_{n_0} \setminus H$ , then  $H_{n_0} \setminus H \subseteq P^{-1}(x)$ . Thus we find  $n \in \omega$  such that  $n \ge n_0$  and  $\frac{1}{n+1} < \delta$ . Furthermore we choose  $m \in \omega$  such that m > n and  $\sum_{k=n}^{m-1} \frac{1}{k+1} \ge 1$ . Finally we find  $x_i \in H_i \setminus H_{i+1}$  for  $i \in \{n, \ldots, m\}$ . Observe that  $(x_{i+1}, x_i) \in P \cap V_{\delta}$  whenever  $i \in \{n, \ldots, m-1\}$ . For  $y = x_n$  and  $x = x_m$  we have  $(x, y) \in T$ , but  $(x, y) \notin V_1$ , – a contradiction. We conclude that  $\mathcal{U}$  is not transitive.

*Remark 1.* The given construction (see part 1) seems to leave open the following question: Does the Pervin quasi-proximity class of a topological space that admits more than one quasi-uniformity always contain a nontransitive quasi-uniformity?

Example 1. The following example answers Problem 2 of LOSONCZI [4] negatively: After showing that a topological space admitting more than one quasi-uniformity possesses at least  $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$  compatible quasi-uniformities, he wondered whether a similar result might be true for quasi-proximities.

Recall that a base  $\mathcal{B}$  of a topological space X is called an *l*-base if it is closed under finite unions and finite intersections and  $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{B}$ . In [5] it is observed that for an arbitrary topological space there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of compatible transitive totally bounded quasi-uniformities and the set of *l*-bases.

Equip  $X = \omega + 1$  with the topology  $\tau = \{[0, n] : n \in \omega\} \cup \{\omega, \omega + 1, \emptyset\}$ . Since each set [0, n] is compact,  $\{[0, n] : n \in \omega\} \cup \{\omega + 1, \emptyset\}$  belongs to any *l*-base of *X*. Hence *X* possesses the two *l*-bases  $\{[0, n] : n \in \omega\} \cup \{\omega + 1, \emptyset\}$  and  $\{[0,n] : n \in \omega\} \cup \{\omega, \omega + 1, \emptyset\}$  and accordingly two corresponding transitive totally bounded quasi-uniformities.

We now show that X does not admit any totally bounded quasiuniformity that is not transitive. To this end suppose that  $\mathcal{V}$  is a compatible totally bounded quasi-uniformity on X. If  $\mathcal{V}$  is equal to the Pervin quasi-uniformity of X, then  $\mathcal{V}$  is transitive. So it suffices to consider the case where  $\mathcal{V}$  is not the Pervin quasi-uniformity of X. Hence there is an open set G in X such that  $V(G) \neq G$  whenever  $V \in \mathcal{V}$ . Since all open subsets of X except  $\omega$  are compact, necessarily  $G = \omega$  (see [2, Proposition 1.43]). Let  $V \in \mathcal{V}$  and let  $H \in \mathcal{V}$  be such that  $H^3 \subseteq V$ . Since  $\mathcal{V}$  is totally bounded, there is a finite subset F of X such that  $\bigcup_{x \in F} (H^{-1}(x) \cap H(x)) = X$ . Let  $f \in F$ . Note that  $\overline{H^{-1}(f)} \neq \{\omega\}$ ; otherwise  $H^{-1}(\omega) = \{\omega\}$  which is impossible by the assumption made above. Therefore  $X \setminus \overline{H^{-1}(f)}$  is compact. We conclude that there is  $P \in \mathcal{V}$  such that  $P^{-1}(\overline{H^{-1}(f)}) = \overline{H^{-1}(f)}$  whenever  $f \in F$ , because F is finite (see [2, Proposition 1.43]). Let  $T = \bigcap_{f \in F} ([\overline{H^{-1}(f)} \times X] \cup [(X \setminus \overline{H^{-1}(f)}) \times (X \setminus \overline{H^{-1}(f)})])$ . Then T is transitive. We are going to show that  $P \subseteq T \subseteq V$ :

Let  $x \in X$ . If  $x \in X \setminus \overline{H^{-1}(f)}$  for some  $f \in F$ , then  $P(x) \subseteq (X \setminus \overline{H^{-1}(f)})$  by the choice of P. Thus  $P(x) \subseteq \bigcap \{X \setminus \overline{H^{-1}(f)} : x \in X \setminus \overline{H^{-1}(f)}, f \in F\}$  (where we use the convention that  $\bigcap \emptyset = X$ ). Thus  $P \subseteq T$ . Furthermore there is  $f_0 \in F$  such that  $x \in H(f_0) \cap H^{-1}(f_0)$ . Consequently  $T^{-1}(x) \subseteq \overline{H^{-1}(f_0)}$  and therefore  $T^{-1}(x) \times \{x\} \subseteq \bigcup_{f \in F} (\overline{H^{-1}(f)} \times H(f)) \subseteq V$ . Hence  $T \subseteq V$ .

We have shown that  $\mathcal{V}$  has a base consisting of transitive entourages and deduce that X does not admit any nontransitive totally bounded quasi-uniformity.

*Remark.* No characterization seems to be known of the topological spaces having the property that all their compatible totally bounded quasi-uniformities are transitive.

In [4] LOSONCZI showed that the set of the reals equipped with its standard topology admits exactly  $2^{2^{\aleph_0}}$  compatible quasi-uniformities. Let us point out that his techniques can be modified to yield the following results.

**Proposition 2.** Let X be a topological space of network weight nw(X). Then any compatible quasi-uniformity on X has a base of cardinality  $\leq 2^{nw(X)}$ .

PROOF. Note that the cardinality of the topology  $\tau$  of X is  $\leq 2^{\operatorname{nw}(X)}$ . Choose a network  $\mathcal{N}$  for X of cardinality  $\operatorname{nw}(X)$ . Let V be an entourage of a compatible quasi-uniformity  $\mathcal{V}$  on X such that V(x) is open whenever  $x \in X$ . Set  $B(N) = \{x \in N : x \in N \subseteq V(x)\}$  whenever  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . Then  $B(N) \times B(N) \subseteq V$  whenever  $N \in \mathcal{N}$ . Furthermore  $\bigcup_{N \in \mathcal{N}} B(N) = X$ . Set  $\widetilde{V} = \bigcup_{N \in \mathcal{N}} (\overline{B(N)} \times V(B(N)))$ . Then  $V \subseteq \widetilde{V} \subseteq V^3$ . We conclude that  $\operatorname{card}(\{\widetilde{V} : V \text{ belongs to } \mathcal{V} \text{ and } V(x) \text{ is open whenever } x \in X\}) \leq (\operatorname{card}(\tau \times \tau))^{\operatorname{nw}(X)} \leq 2^{\operatorname{nw}(X)}$ . It follows from [2, p. 3] that  $\mathcal{V}$  has a base of cardinality  $\leq 2^{\operatorname{nw}(X)}$ .

**Corollary 1.** Let X be a topological space of network weight nw(X). Then the number of transitive neighbornets of X is  $\leq 2^{nw(X)}$ .

PROOF. For a transitive neighbornet V of X we have  $V = V^3$  and V(x) is open whenever  $x \in X$ . The assertion is a consequence of the argument just given.

**Corollary 2.** Let X be a topological space of network weight nw(X). Then the number of compatible quasi-uniformities on X is  $\leq 2^{2^{nw(X)}}$ .

PROOF. We shall use the notation explained in the proof of Proposition 2. If  $\mathcal{V}$  is a compatible quasi-uniformity on X, then  $\{\widetilde{V} : V \in \mathcal{V} \text{ and } V(x) \text{ is open whenever } x \in X\}$  yields a base for  $\mathcal{V}$ . The assertion follows from the proof of Proposition 2.

In fact the technique presented above yields the following result.

*Remark.* Suppose that  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space and let  $\kappa_1, \kappa_2$  be (infinite) cardinal numbers such that card  $\tau \leq \kappa_1$  and for each entourage V belonging to the fine quasi-uniformity of X there is a cover  $\{A_{\gamma} : \gamma \in \kappa_2\}$  of X such that  $A_{\gamma} \times A_{\gamma} \subseteq V$  whenever  $\gamma \in \kappa_2$ .

Then X admits at most  $2^{(\kappa_1^{\kappa_2})}$  compatible quasi-uniformities.

166

## References

- [1] R. ENGELKING, General Topology, Heldermann, Berlin, 1989.
- [2] P. FLETCHER and W. F. LINDGREN, Quasi-Uniform Spaces, Marcel Dekker, Lecture Notes Pure Appl. Math. 77 (1982).
- [3] H.-P. A. KÜNZI, Topological spaces with a unique compatible quasi-uniformity, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **29** (1986), 40–43.
- [4] A. LOSONCZI, On the cardinality of compatible quasi-uniformities, *Topology Appl.* (to appear).
- [5] A. LOSONCZI, Topological spaces with a coarsest compatible quasi-uniformity, *Quaestiones Math.* (to appear).
- [6] W. J. PERVIN, Quasi-uniformization of topological spaces, Math. Ann. 147 (1962), 316–317.
- [7] R. M. STEPHENSON, JR., Initially κ-compact and related spaces, Handbook of set-theoretic topology (K. Kunen and J.E. Vaughan, eds.), 603–632.

HANS-PETER A. KÜNZI DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF BERNE SIDLERSTRASSE 5 CH-3012 BERNE SWITZERLAND *E-mail:* kunzi@math-stat.unibe.ch

(Received May 7, 1998; revised November 9, 1998)