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#### Abstract

We first show that every Moufang loop $L$ which contains an abelian associative subloop $M$ of index two and odd order must, in fact, be a group. We then use this to address the question "For what value of $n=2 m, m$ odd, must a Moufang loop of order $n$ be associative?"


## 1. Introduction

This paper is motivated by a question asked by Rajah and Jamal in [19]: If $L$ is a Moufang loop of order $2 m$ with an abelian associative subloop $M$ of order $m$, must $L$ be a group? Generalizing a result of LEONG and Tef [13], which gives an affirmative answer in the case that $m=p^{2}$, $p$ an odd prime, Rajah and Jamal prove that the answer is also affirmative if $m=p_{1}^{2} \ldots p_{k}^{2}$, or if $M \cong C_{p} \times C_{p^{n}}$. We will show that the answer is affirmative for any $M$ of odd order.

Actually, the question raised above stems from other work done by Fook Leong and his students which investigated the question, "For what integers, $n$, must every Moufang loop of order $n$ be associative?" The first result in this direction may be found in [6], where it is shown that every Moufang loop of prime order must be a group. In [3], the author extended this result to show that Moufang loops of order $p^{2}, p^{3}$, and $p q$, where $p$ and $q$ are distinct primes, must be associative. Since there are well known nonassociative Moufang loops of order $2^{4}$ and $3^{4}$, it would seem that no extension of the results above is possible. However, in [7], LEONG showed that a Moufang loop of order $p^{4}$, with $p>3$, must be a group.
M. Purtill [16] extended the result to Moufang loops of orders $p q r$, and $p^{2} q,(p, q$ and $r$ distinct primes), although the proof of the latter result has a flaw in the case $q<p$; see [17]. Then LEONG and his students produced a spate of papers, [13], [14], [8], [9], [10], culminating in [11], in which Leong and Rajah show that any Moufang loop of order $p^{\alpha} q_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots q_{n}^{\alpha_{n}}$, with $p<q_{1}<\cdots<q_{n}$ odd primes and with $\alpha \leq 3, \alpha_{i} \leq 2$, is a group, and that the same is true with $\alpha=4$, provided that $p>3$. Since there exist nonassociative Moufang loops of order $3^{4}[1]$ and of order $p^{5}$ for $p>3$ [20], and since the direct product of a nonassociative Moufang loop and a group is a nonassociative Moufang loop, this result goes a long way toward resolving the problem for odd $n$. The only remaining cases are $n=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{\alpha_{k}} q^{\beta} r_{1}^{\gamma_{1}} \ldots r_{m}^{\gamma_{m}}$, where $p_{1}<\cdots<p_{k}<q<r_{1}<\cdots<r_{m}$, $k \geq 1, \alpha_{i} \leq 4 \quad\left(\alpha_{1} \leq 3\right.$ if $\left.p_{1}=3\right), 3 \leq \beta \leq 4$, and $\gamma_{i} \leq 2$. RAJAH, in his doctoral dissertation [18] showed that, for $p$ and $q$ any odd primes, there exists a nonassociative Moufang loop of order $p q^{3}$ if and only if $q \equiv 1$ $(\bmod p)$, so that there exist nonassociative Moufang loops of order $n$, for $n$ of the form above, provided that $q \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{i}\right)$, for at least one $i$, or $p_{j} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p_{i}\right)$, for some $i, j$ with $i<j$ and $3 \leq \alpha_{j} \leq 4$.

For $n$ even, many cases are handled by a construction of the author [3] which produces a nonassociative Moufang loop, $M(G, 2)$ of order $2 m$ for any nonabelian group $G$ of order $m$. In particular, since the dihedral group $D_{r}$ is not abelian, we get a nonassociative Moufang loop of order $4 r$, for each $r \geq 3$. This leaves the case $n=2 m$, for $m$ odd. Since there exist nonabelian groups of order $p^{3}$ and of order $p q$ for primes $p<q$, with $q \equiv 1(\bmod p)$, there exist nonassociative Moufang loops of orders $2 p^{3}$ and $2 p q$ for $p$ and $q$ as above. For $n<64$, these account for the only nonassociative Moufang loops of order $2 m$, with $m$ odd. ${ }^{1}$ ). As a result, the only the values $n=2 m$ which still need be considered, are those for which $m=p_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots p_{k}^{\alpha_{k}}$, with $p_{1}<\cdots<p_{k}$ odd primes such that no $p_{j}$ is congruent to 1 modulo any $p_{i}$, and with $0 \leq \alpha_{i} \leq 2$, for all $i$.

[^0]Leong and Tef [12] showed that any Moufang loop $L$ of order $2 p q$ with $p<q$ odd primes such that $p \nmid(q-1)$ must in fact be a group. This is not surprising since a group of order $p q$, for $p$ and $q$ as above, must be cyclic and hence, if $L$ contains a subloop of order $p q$, then $L$ would be a group, since Moufang loops are diassociative. Of course, this in itself is not a proof, since Cauchy's Theorem does not always hold for Moufang loops (for example, Paige's simple Moufang loop of order 120 [15] does not contain an element of order 5), and so $L$ might not contain an element of order $p$ or one of order $q$, and thus it might not contain a subloop of order $p q$. In a subsequent work [13], Leong and Teh show that, in fact, a Moufang loop of order $2 m$, with $m$ odd, must contain a normal subloop of order $m$ (and so the argument above could now be applied). This fact will be needed in order to prove Corollary 1, below.

## 2. The main results

Suppose that $L$ is a Moufang loop of order $2 m, m$ odd, and that $L$ contains a normal abelian subgroup $M$ of order $m$.

Let $u$ be an element of $L-M$. Then $L=\langle u, M\rangle$, and every element of $L$ can be expressed in the form $m u^{\alpha}$, where $m \in M$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$. Let $T_{u}$ denote the inner mapping of $L$ corresponding to conjugation by $u$. That is, for $x$ in $L, x T_{u}=u^{-1} x u$. Since $M$ is a normal subloop, $T_{u}$ maps $M$ to itself. Let $\theta$ be the restriction of $T_{u}$ to $M$. That is, for every $m$ in $M, m \theta=u^{-1} m u$, and $m u=u(m \theta)$. By diassociativity, $m^{2} \theta=u^{-1} m^{2} u=u^{-1} m u u^{-1} m u=(m \theta)^{2}$. Also, since $u^{2}$ must be in $M$, and since $M$ is abelian, $u^{2}$ is in the center of $M$. Thus, $m \theta^{2}=$ $u^{-1}\left(u^{-1} m u\right) u=u^{-2} m u^{2}=m$; so $\theta^{2}$ is the identity mapping and $\theta^{-1}=\theta$.

By Lemma 3.2 on page 117 of [2], $T_{u}$ is a semiautomorphism of $L$. That is, for $x, y$ in $L,(x y x) T_{u}=\left(x T_{u}\right)\left(y T_{u}\right)\left(x T_{u}\right)$. In particular, for $m_{1}, m_{2}$ in $M,\left(m_{1} m_{2} m_{1}\right) \theta=\left(m_{1} \theta\right)\left(m_{2} \theta\right)\left(m_{1} \theta\right)$. But $M$ is abelian, so $\left(m_{1}^{2} m_{2}\right) \theta=\left(m_{1} \theta\right)^{2}\left(m_{2} \theta\right)=\left(m_{1}^{2} \theta\right)\left(m_{2} \theta\right)$. Since $M$ is of odd order and since the order of an element of a finite Moufang loop must divide the order of the loop, every element of $M$ is of odd order and hence has a square root. (That is, if $|m|=2 k+1$, then $\left(m^{k+1}\right)^{2}=m$.) Thus, for any $m, m^{\prime}$ in $M,\left(m m^{\prime}\right) \theta=\left[\left(m^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} m^{\prime}\right] \theta=\left[\left(m^{\prime \prime}\right)^{2} \theta\right]\left(m^{\prime} \theta\right)=(m \theta)\left(m^{\prime} \theta\right)$, where $m^{\prime \prime}$ is the square root of $m$. Thus $\theta$ is an automorphism of $M$.

For $m_{1}$ and $m_{2}$ in $M$, let $x=\left(m_{1} u\right) m_{2}$, let $y=m_{1}\left(m_{2} u\right)$, and let $z=\left(m_{1} u\right)\left(m_{2} u\right)$. Then, by the Moufang identities and the fact that $M$ is an abelian group, $x u=\left[\left(m_{1} u\right) m_{2}\right] u=m_{1}\left(u m_{2} u\right)=m_{1}\left[u^{2}\left(m_{2} \theta\right)\right]=$ $m_{1}\left[\left(m_{2} \theta\right) u^{2}\right]=\left[m_{1}\left(m_{2} \theta\right)\right] u^{2}$, so that

$$
\left(m_{1} u\right) m_{2}=x=\left[m_{1}\left(m_{2} \theta\right)\right] u
$$

Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u y & =u\left[m_{1}\left(m_{2} u\right)\right]=u\left[m_{1}\left(u\left(m_{2} \theta\right)\right)\right]=\left(u m_{1} u\right)\left(m_{2} \theta\right) \\
& =\left[u^{2}\left(m_{1} \theta\right)\right]\left(m_{2} \theta\right)=u^{2}\left[\left(m_{1} \theta\right)\left(m_{2} \theta\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
m_{1}\left(m_{2} u\right)=y=u\left[\left(m_{1} \theta\right)\left(m_{2} \theta\right)\right]=\left[\left(m_{1} \theta\right)\left(m_{2} \theta\right)\right] \theta u
$$

Finally, $z u=\left[\left(m_{1} u\right)\left(m_{2} u\right)\right] u=m_{1}\left(u m_{2} u^{2}\right)=m_{1}\left[u\left(m_{2} u^{2}\right)\right]$, so that $u z u=$ $u\left\{m_{1}\left[u\left(m_{2} u^{2}\right)\right]\right\}=\left(u m_{1} u\right)\left(m_{2} u^{2}\right)=\left[u^{2}\left(m_{1} \theta\right)\right]\left(m_{2} u^{2}\right)=\left[\left(m_{1} \theta\right) m_{2}\right] u^{4}$. Thus, $(z \theta) u^{2}=u^{2}(z \theta)=u z u=\left[\left(m_{1} \theta\right) m_{2}\right] u^{4}$, so $z \theta=\left[\left(m_{1} \theta\right) m_{2}\right] u^{2}$, and

$$
\left(m_{1} u\right)\left(m_{2} u\right)=z=\left[\left(m_{1} \theta\right) m_{2}\right] \theta u^{2}
$$

As in [4], we can summarize these results as follows: For $0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq 1$,

$$
\left(m_{1} u^{\alpha}\right)\left(m_{2} u^{\beta}\right)=\left[\left(m_{1} \theta^{\beta}\right)\left(m_{2} \theta^{\alpha+\beta}\right)\right] \theta^{\beta} \cdot u^{\alpha+\beta} .
$$

But $\theta$ is an endomorphism of $M$, and $\theta^{2}$ is the identity, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(m_{1} u^{\alpha}\right)\left(m_{2} u^{\beta}\right) & =\left[\left(m_{1} \theta^{\beta}\right)\left(m_{2} \theta^{\alpha+\beta}\right)\right] \theta^{\beta} u^{\alpha+\beta} \\
& =\left[\left(m_{1} \theta^{2 \beta}\right)\left(m_{2} \theta^{\alpha+2 \beta}\right)\right] u^{\alpha+\beta}=\left[m_{1}\left(m_{2} \theta^{\alpha}\right)\right] u^{\alpha+\beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But then, for any $m_{1} u^{\alpha}, m_{2} u^{\beta}, m_{3} u^{\gamma}$ in $L$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\left(m_{1} u^{\alpha}\right)\left(m_{2} u^{\beta}\right)\right]\left(m_{3} u^{\gamma}\right) } & =\left\{\left[m_{1}\left(m_{2} \theta^{\alpha}\right)\right] u^{\alpha+\beta}\right\}\left(m_{3} u^{\gamma}\right) \\
& =\left\{\left[m_{1}\left(m_{2} \theta^{\alpha}\right)\right] m_{3} \theta^{\alpha+\beta}\right\} u^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(m_{1} u^{\alpha}\right)\left[\left(m_{2} u^{\beta}\right)\left(m_{3} u^{\gamma}\right)\right] & =\left(m_{1} u^{\alpha}\right)\left\{\left[m_{2}\left(m_{3} \theta^{\beta}\right)\right] u^{\beta+\gamma}\right\} \\
& =\left\{m_{1}\left[m_{2}\left(m_{3} \theta^{\beta}\right)\right] \theta^{\alpha}\right\} u^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \\
& =\left\{m_{1}\left[\left(m_{2} \theta^{\alpha}\right)\left(m_{3} \theta^{\alpha+\beta}\right)\right]\right\} u^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} \\
& =\left\{\left[m_{1}\left(m_{2} \theta^{\alpha}\right)\right]\left(m_{3} \theta^{\alpha+\beta}\right)\right\} u^{\alpha+\beta+\gamma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $L$ is associative.
We have proved the following:
Theorem. Every Moufang loop $L$ of order $2 m$, $m$ odd, which contains a normal abelian subgroup $M$ of order $m$ is a group.

We can now settle the question of for which values of $n=2 m$ must every Moufang loop of order $n$ be a group.

Corollary 1. Every Moufang loop of order $2 m$ is associative if and only if every group of order $m$ is abelian.

Proof. If there exists a nonabelian group $G$ of order $m$, then the loop $M_{n}(G, 2)$ is a nonassociative Moufang loop of order $n=2 m$.

As shown above, this covers all even values of $m, m \geq 6$. (There are no nonabelian groups of order less than 6 , and there are no nonassociative Moufang loops of order less than 12.)

Now consider $n=2 m$, and suppose that every group of order $m$ is abelian. If $m<6$, then the result follows from [5], since there are no nonassociative Moufang loops of order less than 12. On the other hand, if $m \geq 6$, then $m$ must be odd (since the dihedral group of order $2 k$ is not abelian), and so, by the result of Leong and Tef discussed above [13], any Moufang loop $L$ of order $n$ must contain a normal subloop $M$ of order $m$. Since there exists a nonabelian group of order $p^{3}$, for any prime $p, m$ cannot be divisible by $p^{3}$ for any prime $p$. But then, $M$ must be associative, by [11]. Furthermore, since all groups of order $m$ are abelian, $M$ is an abelian group. But then, by the Theorem, $L$ is a group.

## 3. Some questions

We might wonder whether all of the hypotheses of the Theorem are really necessary.

Clearly it is necessary that $M$ be abelian, since the $M(G, 2)$ construction of [3] provides examples of nonassociative Moufang loops when $M$ is not abelian.

The proof of the Theorem clearly uses the fact that $m$ is odd, but might there be a different proof which gives us the result for $m$ even as well? We thank E.G. Goodaire for noting that the loop $M_{32}\left(D_{4} \times C_{2}, 2\right)$ provides a counterexample. This nonassociative Moufang loop contains an abelian normal subgroup of index two which is isomorphic to $C_{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2} \times C_{2}$.

How about the fact that $M$ is of index two? In the proof of the Theorem, we do not really need $u^{2}$ to be an element of $M$. All that is needed is that $u^{2}$ commutes with every element of $M$ and that it associates with every pair of elements of $M$. That is, what is needed is that $u^{2}$ is in the center of $\left\langle u^{2}, M\right\rangle$. We could therefore prove the following:

Corollary 2. If a Moufang loop $L$ contains a normal abelian subgroup $M$ of odd order $m$, such that $L / M$ is cyclic, and if $u^{2} \in Z\left(\left\langle u^{2}, M\right\rangle\right)$, for $u M$ some generator of $L / M$, then $L$ is a group.

Can we dispose with the assumption that $u^{2} \in Z\left(\left\langle u^{2}, M\right\rangle\right)$ ? That is,
Question 1. If a Moufang loop $L$ contains a normal abelian subgroup $M$ of odd order $m$, such that $L / M$ is cyclic, must $L$ be a group?

Returning to the question of whether $M$ must be of odd order, in the counterexample above, $M$ is of order 16 and $|L / M|=2$. This suggests the following question:

Question 2. If a Moufang loop $L$ contains a normal abelian subgroup $M$ such that $L / M$ is is cyclic and such that $(|L / M|,|M|)=1$, must $L$ be a group?
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See [4] for a discussion of all nonassociative Moufang loops of order $<64$. Table 16 on page 81 contains all three loops of either of the forms above, $M_{42}\left(G_{21}, 2\right), M_{54}\left(B_{3}, 2\right)$, and $M_{54}\left(G_{27}, 2\right)$, although the former is inexplicably absent from Table 28 on page 129, where it is mistakenly counted as a loop of order 40 rather than 42 . Also, while I am on the subject of noting corrections to [4], I would like to thank E.G. Goodaire for observing that the loop $M_{12}\left(S_{3}, 2\right) \times C_{3}$ is missing (the error can be traced to the argument on the bottom of page 91 ) and that $M_{48}(5,5,5,3,6,0) \cong M_{48}(5,5,5,3,3,0)$ and $M_{48}(5,5,5,6,3,6) \cong M_{48}(5,5,5,3,3,6)$.

