Publ. Math. Debrecen 56 / 1-2 (2000), 87-95

On generalized recurrent Riemannian manifolds

By HUKUM SINGH (New Delhi) and QUDDUS KHAN (New Delhi)

Abstract. In this paper we study the two 1-forms A and B appearing in the definition of the generalized recurrency of a Riemannian manifold. It is shown that for a non-zero constant scalar curvature, A is closed iff B is closed. For a nonconstant scalar curvature the 1-forms A and B cannot be closed both, unless A is collinear with B. Also, we have found out some results on a generalized conformally recurrent Riemannian manifold.

1. Introduction

In a recent paper [1] DE and GUHA introduced and studied a type of non-flat Riemannian space whose curvature tensor K(X, Y, Z) of type (1,3) satisfies the condition:

 $(1.1) \ (D_U K)(X, Y, Z) = A(U)K(X, Y, Z) + B(U)[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y]$

where A and B are two 1-forms, B is non-zero and D denotes the operator of covariant differentiation with respect to the metric tensor g. Such a space has been called a generalized recurrent space. Here B is called its associated 1-form. These spaces are related to the pseudo symmetric Riemannian spaces of M. C. CHAKI [7] and are special cases of the weakly symmetric Riemannian spaces of L. TAMÁSSY and T. Q. BINH [8]. If the 1-form B(U) becomes zero in (1.1), then the space reduces to a recurrent space according to RUSE [6] and WALKER [5].

Contracting (1.1) with respect to 'X', we get

(1.2)
$$(D_U \operatorname{Ric})(Y, Z) = A(U) \operatorname{Ric}(Y, Z) + (n-1)B(U)g(Y, Z).$$

Mathematics Subject Classification: 53B21, 53C21.

Key words and phrases: Riemannian manifolds, curvature tensors, recurrent spaces.

In this case, the Riemannian manifold M is called a *generalized Ricci* recurrent space, where A and B are as stated earlier. If the 1-form B(U) becomes zero in (1.2), then the space reduces to a Ricci-recurrent space.

In this paper we have considered a non-flat n-dimensional Riemannian manifold in which the *conformal curvature tensor* C satisfies the condition:

(1.3)
$$(D_U C)(X, Y, Z) = A(U)C(X, Y, Z) + B(U)[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y]$$

where A and B are two 1-forms, B is non-zero and the conformal curvature tensor C is defined by (see [2])

(1.4)
$$C(X,Y,Z) = K(X,Y,Z) - \frac{1}{n-2} [\operatorname{Ric}(Y,Z)X - \operatorname{Ric}(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)R(X) - g(X,Z)R(Y)] + \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} [g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y].$$

Here K is the curvature tensor of type (1,3), Ric is the Ricci tensor of type (0,2), r is the scalar curvature and R is the Ricci tensor to type (1,1), defined by

(1.5)
$$\operatorname{Ric}(X,Y) = g(R(X),Y).$$

Such an *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold shall be called a *generalized* conformally recurrent Riemannian manifold. If the 1-form B is zero, then the manifold reduces to a conformally recurrent manifold [3].

The conharmonic curvature tensor N and the concircular curvature tensor W are given by [4]

(1.6)
$$N(X, Y, Z) = K(X, Y, Z) - \frac{1}{n-2} [\operatorname{Ric}(Y, Z)X - \operatorname{Ric}(X, Z)Y + g(Y, Z)R(X) - g(X, Z)R(Y)]$$

and

(1.7)
$$W(X,Y,Z) = K(X,Y,Z) - \frac{r}{n(n-1)}[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y)],$$

respectively.

If the conharmonic curvature tensor N and concircular curvature tensor W satisfy the conditions:

$$(1.8) \ (D_U N)(X, Y, Z) = A(U)N(X, Y, Z) + B(U)[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y]$$

and

(1.9)
$$(D_U W)(X, Y, Z) = A(U)W(X, Y, Z) + B(U)[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y],$$

respectively, where A and B are two 1-forms, then the Riemannian manifold is known as a *generalized conharmonically recurrent* manifold and a *generalized concircularally recurrent* manifold, respectively.

In Section 2 we have discussed the nature of 1-forms A and B and in Section 3 we have studied about a generalized conformally recurrent manifold.

2. Nature of the 1-forms A and B on a generalized recurrent space

Taking covariant derivative of (1.5) with respect to 'U', we have

(2.1)
$$g((D_U R)(X), Y) = (D_U \operatorname{Ric})(X, Y).$$

Using (1.2) in (2.1), we have

$$g((D_U R)(X), Y) = A(U)\operatorname{Ric}(X, Y) + (n-1)B(U)g(X, Y)$$

which yields

(2.2)
$$(D_U R)(X) = A(U)R(X) + (n-1)B(U)(X).$$

Contracting (2.2) with respect to 'X', we get

(2.3)
$$Ur = A(U)r + n(n-1)B(U)$$

where r is the scalar curvature.

First we consider the case when the scalar curvature r is a constant and is different from zero. Then from (2.3), we have

(2.4)
$$A(U)r + n(n-1)B(U) = 0.$$

Taking covariant derivative of (2.4) with respect to 'V', we get

(2.5)
$$(D_V A)(U)r + n(n-1)(D_V B)(U) = 0.$$

Interchanging U and V in (2.5) and then subtracting them, we get

$$[(D_V A)(U) - (D_U A)(V)]r + n(n-1)[(D_V B)(U) - (D_U B)(V)] = 0.$$

Thus we have

Theorem 1. In a generalized recurrent space of non-zero constant scalar curvature r, the 1-form A is closed if and only if the 1-form B is closed.

Next we consider the case when the scalar curvature r is not constant. From (2.3) it follows that

(2.6)
$$VUr = (D_V A)(U)r + A(U)(Vr) + n(n-1)(D_V B)(U).$$

Interchanging U and V in (2.6) and then subtracting, we get

(2.7)
$$[(D_V A)(U) - (D_U A)(V)]r + A(U)(Vr) - A(V)(Ur) + n(n-1)[(D_V B)(U) - (D_U B)(V)] = 0.$$

Using (2.3) in (2.7), we get

$$[(D_V A)(U) - (D_U A)(V)]r + n(n-1)[(D_V B)(U) - (D_U B)(V)] + n(n-1)[A(V)B(U) - A(U)B(V)] = 0.$$

Thus we can state the following theorem:

Theorem 2. In a generalized recurrent space of non-constant scalar curvature r, the 1-forms A and B cannot be both closed, unless the 1-form A is collinear with the 1-form B.

3. Generalized conformally recurrent Riemannian manifolds

Let M be a generalized recurrent smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension n. Taking covariant derivative of (1.4) with respect to 'U',

we get

(3.1)
$$(D_U C)(X, Y, Z) = (D_U K)(X, Y, Z) - \frac{1}{n-2} [(D_U \operatorname{Ric})(Y, Z)X - (D_U \operatorname{Ric})(X, Z)Y + g(Y, Z)(D_U R)(X) - g(X, Z)(D_U R)(Y)] + \frac{Ur}{(n-1)(n-2)} [g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y].$$

Using (1.1), (1.2) and (2.3) in (3.1), we get

$$(3.2) \quad (D_U C)(X, Y, Z) = A(U) \left[K(X, Y, Z) - \frac{1}{n-2} \{ \operatorname{Ric}(Y, Z) X - \operatorname{Ric}(X, Z) Y - g(Y, Z) R(X) - g(X, Z) R(Y) \} + \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)} \{ g(Y, Z) X - g(X, Z) Y \} \right] + B(U) \left[g(Y, Z) X - g(X, Z) Y - \frac{2(n-1)}{n-2} \{ g(Y, Z) X - g(X, Z) Y \} + \frac{n(n-1)}{(n-1)(n-2)} \{ g(Y, Z) X - g(X, Z) Y \} \right].$$

Using (1.4) in (3.2), we have

$$(D_U C)(X, Y, Z) = A(U)C(X, Y, Z)$$

which shows the condition of a conformally recurrent Riemannian manifold. Thus we get the following theorem:

Theorem 3. A generalized recurrent Riemannian manifold is conformally recurrent for the same recurrence parameter.

From (1.3) and (1.4) it follows that

(3.3)
$$(D_U K)(X, Y, Z) - A(U)K(X, Y, Z) - B(U)[g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y] = \frac{1}{n-2}[(D_U \operatorname{Ric})(Y, Z)X - (D_U \operatorname{Ric})(X, Z)Y]$$

Hukum Singh and Quddus Khan

$$+g(Y,Z)(D_UR)(X) - g(X,Z)(D_UR)(Y) -A(U)\{\operatorname{Ric}(Y,Z)X - \operatorname{Ric}(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)R(X) - g(X,Z)R(Y)\}] + \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)}[A(U)\{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)(Y)\} -U\{g(Y,Z)R(X) - g(X,Z)R(Y)\}].$$

Permutting equation (3.3) twice with respect to U, X, Y; adding the three equations and using Bianchi's second identity, we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} (3.4) & A(U)K(X,Y,Z) + A(X)K(Y,U,Z) + A(Y)K(U,X,Z) \\ & +B(U)[g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y] + B(X)[g(U,Z)Y - g(Y,Z)U] \\ & +B(Y)[g(X,Z)U - g(U,Z)X] \\ & +\frac{1}{n-2}[(D_U\operatorname{Ric})(Y,Z)X - (D_U\operatorname{Ric})(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)(D_UR)(X) \\ & -g(X,Z)(D_UR)(Y) + (D_X\operatorname{Ric})(U,Z)Y \\ & -(D_X\operatorname{Ric})(Y,Z)U + g(U,Z)(D_XR)(Y) \\ & -g(Y,Z(D_XR)(U) + (D_Y\operatorname{Ric})(X,Z)U - (D_Y\operatorname{Ric})(U,Z)X \\ & +g(X,Z)(D_YR)(U) - g(U,Z)(D_YR)(X) \\ & -A(U)\{\operatorname{Ric}(Y,Z)X - \operatorname{Ric}(X,Z)Y + g(Y,Z)R(X) - g(X,Z)R(Y)\} \\ & -A(X)\{\operatorname{Ric}(U,Z)Y - \operatorname{Ric}(Y,Z)U + g(U,Z)R(Y) - g(Y,Z)R(U)\} \\ & -A(Y)\{\operatorname{Ric}(X,Z)U - \operatorname{Ric}(U,Z)X + g(X,Z)R(U) - g(U,Z)R(X)\}] \\ & +\frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)}[A(U)\{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)(Y)\} \\ & -U\{g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y\}] \\ & +A(X)\{g(U,Z)Y - g(Y,Z)U\} - X\{g(U,Z)Y - g(Y,Z)U\} \\ & +A(Y)\{g(X,Z)U - g(U,Z)X\} - Y\{g(X,Z)U - g(U,Z)X\}] = 0. \end{array}$$

Contracting (3.4) with respect to 'X', we get

(3.5)
$$A(U)\operatorname{Ric}(Y,Z) - A(Y)\operatorname{Ric}(U,Z) + K(Y,U,Z,p) + (n-1)B(U)g(Y,Z) + B(Y)g(U,Z) - B(U)g(Y,Z) + (1-n)B(Y)g(U,Z) + \frac{1}{n-2}[(n-1)(D_U\operatorname{Ric})(Y,Z)$$

92

On generalized recurrent Riemannian manifolds

$$\begin{split} +g(Y,Z)(Ur) &-g(D_UR)(Y), Z) + (D_Y\operatorname{Ric})(U,Z) \\ &-(D_U\operatorname{Ric})(Y,Z) + \frac{1}{2}g(U,Z)(Yr) - \frac{1}{2}g(Y,Z)(Ur) \\ &+(1-n)(D_Y\operatorname{Ric})(U,Z) + g(D_YR)(U,Z) - g(U,Z)(Yr) \\ &-(n-1)A(U)\operatorname{Ric}(Y,Z) - A(U)g(Y,Z)r + A(U)\operatorname{Ric}(Y,Z) \\ &-A(Y)\operatorname{Ric}(U,Z) + A(U)\operatorname{Ric}(Y,Z) - A(R(Y))g(U,Z) \\ &+A(R(U))g(Y,Z) + (n-1)A(Y)\operatorname{Ric}(U,Z) - A(Y)\operatorname{Ric}(U,Z) \\ &+A(Y)g(U,Z)r] + \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)}[(n-1)A(U)g(Y,Z) \\ &-(n-1)g(Y,Z)U + A(Y)g(U,Z) - A(U)g(Y,Z) - ng(U,Z)Y \\ &+ng(Y,Z)U + (1-n)A(Y)g(U,Z) + (n-1)g(U,Z)Y] = 0 \end{split}$$

where p is a vector field defined by

$$(3.6) g(X,p) = A(X).$$

Using (1.5) in (3.5), we have

$$\begin{split} A(U)R(Y) &- A(Y)R(U) - K(Y,U,p) + (n-2)[B(U)Y - B(Y)U] \\ &+ \frac{1}{n-2}[(n-1)(D_UR)(Y) + Y(Ur) - (D_UR)(Y) + (D_YR)(U) \\ &- (D_UR)(Y) + \frac{1}{2}U(Yr) - \frac{1}{2}Y(Ur) + (1-n)(D_YR)(U) + (D_YR)(U) \\ &- U(Yr) - (n-1)A(U)R(Y) - A(U)Yr + A(U)R(Y) - A(Y)R(U) \\ &+ A(U)R(Y) - A(R(Y))U + A(R(U))Y + (n-1)A(Y)R(U) \\ &- A(Y)R(U) + A(Y)Ur] + \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)}[(n-1)A(U)Y - (n-1)YU] \\ &+ A(Y)U - A(U)Y - nUY + nYU + (1-n)A(Y)U + (n-1)UY] = 0 \end{split}$$

or

(3.7)
$$K(Y,U,p) - (n-2)[B(U)Y - B(Y)U] = \frac{1}{n-2}[(n-3)(D_UR)(Y) - (n-3)(D_YR)(U) + A(U)R(Y) - A(Y)R(U) + A(R(U))Y - A(R(Y))U] + \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)}[A(Y)U - A(U)Y].$$

Contracting (3.7) with respect to 'Y', we get

$$\operatorname{Ric}(U,p) - (n-1)(n-2)B(U) = \frac{1}{n-2} \left[(n-3)Ur - \frac{1}{2}(n-3)(Ur) + A(U)r - A(R(U)) + (n-1)A(R(U)) \right] + \frac{r}{(n-1)(n-2)}[(n-1)A(U)]$$

or

$$2A(U)r + 2(n-1)(n-2)^2B(U) = (n-3)(Ur).$$

Thus we have

Theorem 4. The necessary and sufficient condition that the scalar curvature r of a generalized conformally recurrent Riemannian manifold be constant is that

$$A(U)r + (n-1)(n-2)^2 B(U) = 0.$$

From (1.4), (1.6) and (1.7), we have

(3.8)
$$C(X,Y,Z) = N(X,Y,Z) + \frac{n}{n-2}[K(X,Y,Z) - W(X,Y,Z)].$$

Taking covariant derivative of (3.8) with respect to 'U', we get

(3.9)
$$(D_U C)(X, Y, Z) = (D_U N)(X, Y, Z) + \frac{n}{n-2} [(D_U C)(X, Y, Z) - (D_U W)(X, Y, Z)]$$

Using (1.1) in (3.9), we get

$$(3.10) \ (D_U C)(X, Y, Z) - (D_U N)(X, Y, Z) + \frac{n}{n-2} (D_U W)(X, Y, Z) = \frac{n}{n-2} [A(U)K(X, Y, Z) + B(U)\{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\}].$$

From (3.10) it is evident that if any two of the equations (1.3), (1.8) and (1.9) hold then the third also holds.

This leads the following:

Theorem 5. Let M be a generalized recurrent Riemannian manifold of dimension n. If any two of the following hold then the third also holds:

- (i) It is generalized conformally recurrent manifold.
- (ii) It is generalized conharmonically recurrent manifold.
- (iii) It is generalized concircularally recurrent manifold.

For the same recurrence parameters.

References

- U. C. DE and N. GUHA, On generalized recurrent manifold, J. National Academy of Math. India 9 (1991).
- [2] B. B. SINHA, An introduction to modern differential geometry, Kalyani Publisher, New Delhi, 1982.
- [3] PUSHPA DESAI and KRISHNA AMUR, On ω -recurrent spaces, Tensor, N.S. 29 (1975), 98–102, MR51#11315.
- [4] B. B. SINHA, On Tachibana concircular curvature tensor, The Academy for Progress of Mathematics, Univ. of Allahabad, India 4 no. 1 (March 1970).
- [5] A. G. WALKER, On Ruse's space of recurrent curvature, Proc. London Math. Soc. 52 (1951), 36–64.
- [6] H. S. RUSE, On simply harmonic space, J. London Math. Soc. 21 (1946).
- [7] M. C. CHAKI, On pseudo symmetric manifolds, An. Sti. Int. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iaşi 33 (1987), 53–58.
- [8] L. TAMÁSSY and T. Q. BINH, On weakly symmetric and weakly projective symmetric Riemannian manifolds, Differential Geometry and its Applications (Eger, Hungary, 1989), Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai, 56, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992, 663–670.

HUKUM SINGH DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION IN SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS NATIONAL COUNCIL OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING (NCERT) SRI AUROBINDO MARG, NEW DELHI – 110016 INDIA

QUDDUS KHAN DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION IN SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS NATIONAL COUNCIL OF EDUCATIONAL RESEARCH AND TRAINING (NCERT) SRI AUROBINDO MARG, NEW DELHI – 110016 INDIA

(Received July 7, 1998; revised February 17, 1999)