Publ. Math. Debrecen 57 / 3-4 (2000), 411–419

## Symmetric words in free nilpotent groups of class 4

By WALDEMAR HOŁUBOWSKI (Gliwice)

**Abstract.** A word  $w(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  is called *n*-symmetric for a given group G if  $w(g_1, \ldots, g_n) = w(g_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, g_{\sigma(n)})$  for all  $g_1, \ldots, g_n$  in G and all permutations  $\sigma$  from the symmetric group  $S_n$ . In this note we describe *n*-symmetric words in the free nilpotent groups of class 4.

## 1. Preliminaries and main results

The problem of characterizing the *n*-symmetric words in the given group G was initiated by PŁONKA [8]–[10] who gave a complete description of the *n*-symmetric words in nilpotent groups of class  $\leq 3$ . For results for metabelian and other groups we refer to [1]–[6].

Let  $F_n$  denote the absolutely free group on  $X_1, \ldots, X_n$ .

Definition. A word  $w(X_1, \ldots, X_n) \in F_n$  is called *n*-symmetric word for a group G if  $w(g_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, g_{\sigma(n)}) = w(g_1, \ldots, g_n)$  for all  $g_1, \ldots, g_n \in G$ and all permutations  $\sigma$  from the symmetric group  $S_n$ .

It follows from the definition that we can restrict ourselves to relatively free groups with n free generators and to natural action of  $S_n$  on them. Let  $F_n(G)$  be the relatively free group on  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  in a variety generated by the group G. Let A be the group of automorphisms of  $F_n(G)$  induced by the mappings  $x_i \longrightarrow x_{\sigma(i)}, 1 \le i \le n, (\sigma \in S_n)$ . The group

$$S^{(n)}(G) = \{ w \in F_n(G) : w = \alpha(w) \text{ for every } \alpha \in A \}$$

is called a group of n-symmetric words for G.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 20F18, 20F12.

Key words and phrases: symmetric words, nilpotent groups, commutator calculus.

In this paper we describe  $S^{(n)}(G)$  in the case of G, the free nilpotent group of class 4 which we denote shortly by  $S^{(n)}(\mathfrak{N}_4)$  ( $\mathfrak{N}_c$  – variety of nilpotent groups of class c). Our results extend these from [8], [10] and give a correction to one statement in [5].

We denote by  $[x, y] = x^{-1}y^{-1}xy$  a commutator of elements x, y. Commutators of higher weight are defined as left-normed.

Let  $u_1(x,y) = [y,x,x][y,x,y]^{-1}, u_2(x,y) = [y,x,x,x][y,x,y,y]^{-1}.$ 

**Theorem 1.** The group  $S^{(2)}(\mathfrak{N}_4)$  is a free nilpotent group of class 2 generated by  $u_1(x,y)$ ,  $u_2(x,y)$  and  $u_3 = x^4 y^4 [y,x]^8 [y,x,x]^{24} [y,x,x,x]^{16} \times [y,x,x,y]^{18}$ .

The Theorem 1 answers affirmatively a question raised in [9]. We note here that all groups  $S^{(n)}(\mathfrak{N}_c)$  are abelian if  $c \leq 3$ .

**Theorem 2.** The group  $S^{(3)}(\mathfrak{N}_4)$  is a free abelian group generated by  $w_1(x, y, z) = u_1(x, y)u_1(x, z)u_1(y, z),$  $w_2(x, y, z) = u_2(x, y)u_2(x, z)u_2(y, z).$ 

**Theorem 3.** The group  $S^{(4)}(\mathfrak{N}_4)$  is a free abelian group generated by  $w_3(x, y, z, t) = u_1(x, y)u_1(x, z)u_1(x, t)u_1(y, z)u_1(y, t)u_1(z, t),$  $w_4(x, y, z, t) = u_2(x, y)u_2(x, z)u_2(x, t)u_2(y, z)u_2(y, t)u_2(z, t).$ 

Since we have isomorphisms  $S^{(n)}(\mathfrak{N}_4) \cong S^{(4)}(\mathfrak{N}_4)$  (for n > 4 [9]), our theorems give a full description of *n*-symmetric words for any natural *n*.

A map  $w(x_1, \ldots, x_n, x_{n+1}) \rightarrow w(x_1, \ldots, x_n, 1)$  induces homomorphism

$$\delta_n^{n+1}(\mathfrak{N}_c): S^{(n+1)}(\mathfrak{N}_c) \to S^{(n)}(\mathfrak{N}_c).$$

It is clear that  $\delta_n^{n+1}(\mathfrak{N}_4)$  is an isomorphism for  $n \geq 3$ . However,  $\delta_2^3(\mathfrak{N}_4)$  is a monomorphism, which contradicts a second part of Theorem 3 from [5] which states that  $\delta_n^{n+1}(\mathfrak{N}_{n+2})$  is not a monomorphism for any n. In fact, a sketch of the proof given in [5] shows that  $\delta_n^{n+1}(\mathfrak{N}_{n+2})$  is not monomorphism for n > 2. This raise a question of checking the validity of this statement from [5] for other nilpotent groups of class 4.

# 2. Identities in nilpotent groups

We use a standard definitions from [7] without explanations. We need some well known identities:

(1) 
$$[x^{-1}, y] = [x, y]^{-1}[y, x, x^{-1}],$$
 (2)  $[x, y^{-1}] = [x, y]^{-1}[y, x, y^{-1}]$   
(3)  $[xy, z] = [x, z][x, z, y][y, z],$  (4)  $[x, yz] = [x, z][x, y][x, y, z]$ 

valid in arbitrary groups. We use notation  $\binom{n}{i} = \frac{1}{i!} \cdot n(n-1) \cdots (n-i+1)$ .

Now we list identities of nilpotent groups of class 4 which we use in next sections to rewrite some words as the products of basic commutators. We fix a natural order of basic commutators:

$$x < y < z < t < [y, x] < [z, x] < [t, x] < [z, y] < [t, y] < [t, z] < \dots$$

**Lemma 1.** The following identities hold in a nilpotent group G of class four for any  $x, y, z, t \in G$  and all integers n, m, k, l.

(5) 
$$[y^{n}, x^{m}] = [y, x]^{nm} [y, x, x]^{n\binom{m}{2}} [y, x, y]^{\binom{n}{2}m} [y, x, x, x]^{n\binom{m}{3}} \times [y, x, x, y]^{\binom{n}{2}\binom{m}{2}} [y, x, y, y]^{\binom{n}{3}m},$$

(6) 
$$[y^{n}, x^{m}, z^{k}] = [y, x, z]^{nmk} [y, x, y, z]^{\binom{n}{2}mk} \times [y, x, x, z]^{\binom{m}{2}nk} [y, x, z, z]^{\binom{k}{2}nm},$$

(7) 
$$[y^n, x^m, z^k, t^l] = [y, x, z, t]^{nmkl}.$$

**PROOF.** Using (1)-(4) one can prove that

$$\begin{split} & [y^{-1},x] = [y,x]^{-1}[y,x,y][y,x,y,y]^{-1}, \\ & [y,x^{-1}] = [y,x]^{-1}[y,x,x][y,x,x,x]^{-1} \end{split}$$

and by induction the following identities for all natural n, m

$$\begin{split} & [y^n, x] = [y, x]^n [y, x, y]^{\binom{n}{2}} [y, x, y, y]^{\binom{n}{3}}, \\ & [y, x^m] = [y, x]^m [y, x, x]^{\binom{m}{2}} [y, x, x, x]^{\binom{m}{3}}. \end{split}$$

Now we have  $[y, x^{-m}] = [y, (x^m)^{-1}] = [y, x^m]^{-1}[y, x^m, x^m] \times [y, x^m, x^m]^{-1} = [y, x]^{-m}[y, x, x]^{\binom{-m}{2}}[y, x, x, x]^{\binom{-m}{3}}$  so, this identity is valid for all integers. Similarly we obtain the expression for  $[y^{-n}, x]$ . Finally, for all integers n, m, we have

$$[y^n, x^m] = [y^n, x]^m [y^n, x, x]^{\binom{m}{2}} [y^n, x, x, x]^{\binom{m}{3}} = \prod_{i,j>0}^{i+j<5} [y_{,i} x_{,(j-1)} y]^{\binom{n}{i}\binom{m}{j}}.$$

Using this identity one can easily prove (6); (7) is easy to check directly.  $\hfill \Box$ 

Lemma 2. The following identities hold in any nilpotent group of class four:

(8) 
$$[x, y, z] = [y, x, z]^{-1},$$

(9) 
$$[z, y, x] = [z, x, y][y, x, z]^{-1}[[z, x], [y, x]][[z, y], [y, x]][[z, y], [z, x]],$$

(10) 
$$[x, y, z, t] = [y, x, z, t]^{-1},$$

$$(11) \quad [y, x, t, z] = [y, x, z, t][[z, t], [y, x]],$$

(12) 
$$[z, y, x, t] = [z, x, y, t][y, x, z, t]^{-1},$$

(13) 
$$[t, y, x, z] = [t, x, y, z][y, x, z, t]^{-1}[[t, z], [y, x]],$$

(14) 
$$[z, y, t, x] = [z, x, y, t][y, x, z, t]^{-1}[[t, x], [z, y]]^{-1},$$

(15) 
$$[t, y, z, x] = [t, x, y, z][y, x, z, t]^{-1}[[t, y], [z, x]][[t, z], [y, x]].$$

PROOF. (8) and (10) follow easily from (1)–(4). (9) is the Jacobi identity. We have

$$\begin{split} [xy,zt] &= [xy,t][xy,z][xy,z,t] \\ &= [x,t][x,t,y][y,t][x,z][x,z,y][y,z][x,z,t][x,z,y,t][y,z,t] \end{split}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{split} [xy, zt] &= [x, zt] [x, zt, y] [y, zt] \\ &= [x, t] [x, z] [y, t] [y, z] [x, z, t] [y, z, t] [x, t, y] [x, z, y] [x, z, t, y] \end{split}$$

which implies (11). By Jacobi identity we have

$$\begin{split} [z,y,x,t] &= [z,y,x]^{-1}t^{-1}[z,y,x]t = [y,x,z][z,x,y]^{-1}[[z,y],[z,x]]^{-1} \\ &\times [[z,y],[y,x]]^{-1}[[z,x],[y,x]]^{-1}t^{-1}[z,x,y][y,x,z]^{-1} \\ &\times [[z,y],[z,x]][[z,y],[y,x]][[z,x],[y,x]]t \\ &= [y,x,z][z,x,y]^{-1}t^{-1}[z,x,y]t[y,x,z]^{-1}[y,x,z,t]^{-1} \\ &= [y,x,z][z,x,y,t][y,x,z]^{-1}[y,x,z,t]^{-1} \end{split}$$

which gives us (12). (13) follows from

$$[t, y, x, z] \stackrel{(11)}{=} [t, x, y, z][y, x, t, z]^{-1} \stackrel{(12)}{=} [t, x, y, z][y, x, z, t]^{-1}[[z, t], [y, x]]^{-1}.$$

Similarly we have

$$[z, y, t, x] \stackrel{(11)}{=} [z, y, x, t] [[t, x], [z, y]]^{-1} \stackrel{(12)}{=} [z, x, y, t] [y, x, z, t]^{-1} [[t, x], [z, y]]^{-1}$$

and

$$\begin{bmatrix} t, y, z, x \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{(11)}{=} \begin{bmatrix} t, y, x, z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} [t, y], [z, x] \end{bmatrix} \stackrel{(12)}{=} \begin{bmatrix} t, x, y, z \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y, x, t, z \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} [t, y], [z, x] \end{bmatrix}$$

We need a characterization of elements of  $S^{(2)}(\mathfrak{N}_4)$ . Every element from  $S^{(n)}(\mathfrak{N}_4)$  has a form  $x_1^a x_2^a \dots x_n^a \cdot c$ , where c belongs to the commutator subgroup (see Lemma 4 of [2]). Moreover, we have

**Lemma 3.** An element w(x,y) from  $F_2(\mathfrak{N}_4)$  belongs to  $S^{(2)}(\mathfrak{N}_4)$  if and only if

$$w(x,y) = x^{a}y^{a}[y,x]^{b}[y,x,x]^{c_{1}}[y,x,y]^{c_{2}}[y,x,x,x]^{d_{1}}[y,x,x,y]^{d_{2}}[y,x,y,y]^{d_{3}}$$

where

$$a^{2} = 2b, \quad c_{1} + c_{2} = a \binom{a}{2}, \quad d_{1} + d_{3} = a \binom{a}{3}, \quad 2d_{2} = \binom{a}{2} \binom{a}{2}.$$

**PROOF.** We have to prove the equality

$$\begin{split} w(y,x) &= y^{a}x^{a}[x,y]^{b}[x,y,y]^{c_{1}}[x,y,x]^{c_{2}}[x,y,y,y]^{d_{1}}[x,y,y,x]^{d_{2}}[x,y,x,x]^{d_{3}} \\ &= x^{a}y^{a}[y^{a},x^{a}][y,x]^{-b}[y,x,x]^{-c_{2}}[y,x,y]^{-c_{1}}[y,x,x,x]^{-d_{3}} \\ &\times [y,x,x,y]^{-d_{2}}[y,x,y,y]^{-d_{1}} \\ &= x^{a}y^{a}[y,x]^{a^{2}-b}[y,x,x]^{a\cdot\binom{a}{2}-c_{2}}[y,x,y]^{a\cdot\binom{a}{2}-c_{1}}[y,x,x,x]^{a\cdot\binom{a}{3}-d_{3}} \\ &\times [y,x,x,y]^{\binom{a}{2}\binom{a}{2}-d_{2}}[y,x,y,y]^{a\cdot\binom{a}{3}-d_{1}} = w(x,y). \end{split}$$

The lemma now follows from the fact that in the free nilpotent group a presentation of the element as a product of basic commutators is unique [7].

## 3. Proofs of main results

Now we are ready to prove our theorems.

PROOF of Theorem 1. It follows from the Lemma 3 that every element of  $S^{(2)}(\mathfrak{N}_4)$  has a form

$$x^{4m} y^{4m} [y, x]^{8m^2} [y, x, x]^c [y, x, y]^{8m^2(4m-1)-c} [y, x, x, x]^d \times [y, x, x, y]^{2m^2(4m-1)^2} [y, x, y, y]^{\frac{1}{3}8m^2(4m-1)(4m-2)-d},$$

where m, c, d are arbitrary integers. So, the group  $S^{(2)}(G)$  is generated by three elements

$$u_1 = [y, x, x][y, x, y]^{-1}, \qquad u_2 = [y, x, x, x][y, x, y, y]^{-1},$$
$$u_3 = x^4 y^4 [y, x]^8 [y, x, x]^{24} [y, x, x, x]^{16} [y, x, x, y]^{18}.$$

We have  $u_3u_1 \neq u_1u_3 = u_3u_1u_2^4$  and commutator of any two 2-symmetric words from  $S^{(2)}(\mathfrak{N}_4)$  belongs to the centre, so the theorem is proved.  $\Box$ 

PROOF of Theorem 2. Every element of  $S^{(3)}(\mathfrak{N}_4)$  has a form

$$v(x, y, z) = x^{a}y^{a}z^{a}[y, x]^{b}[z, x]^{b}[z, y]^{b}v_{1}(x, y)v_{2}(x, z)v_{3}(y, z)v_{0}(x, y, z)$$

where

$$v_i(x,y) = [y,x,x]^{c_{i,1}}[y,x,y]^{c_{i,2}}[y,x,x,x]^{d_{i,1}}[y,x,x,y]^{d_{i,2}}[y,x,y,y]^{d_{i,3}}$$

416

and  $v_0$  is a product of basic commutators on exactly three letters. Simple calculation using transpositions of generators, shows that  $v_1 = v_2 = v_3$ . Since v(x, y, 1) belongs to  $S^{(2)}(\mathfrak{N}_4)$ , we can apply Lemma 3. So we have

$$v_1(x,y) = [y,x,x]^{c_1}[y,x,y]^{c_2}[y,x,x,x]^{d_1}[y,x,x,y]^{d_2}[y,x,y,y]^{d_3}$$

and  $a, b, c_1, c_2, d_1, d_2, d_3$  satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3. We put

$$\begin{split} v_0(x,y,z) &= [y,x,z]^{c_3}[z,x,y]^{c_4}[y,x,x,z]^{d_4}[y,x,y,z]^{d_5}[y,x,z,z]^{d_6} \\ &\times [z,x,x,y]^{d_7}[z,x,y,y]^{d_8}[z,x,y,z]^{d_9} \\ &\times [[z,x],[y,x]]^{e_1}[[z,y],[y,x]]^{e_2}[[z,y],[z,x]]^{e_3} \end{split}$$

and rewrite the element v(y, x, z) as a product of basic commutators. We consider now only the basic commutators on three letters. By rewriting  $v_0$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} v_0(y,x,z) &= [y,x,z]^{-c_3-c_4}[z,x,y]^{c_4}[y,x,x,z]^{-d_5-d_8}[y,x,y,z]^{-d_4-d_7} \\ &\times [y,x,z,z]^{-d_6-d_9}[z,x,x,y]^{d_8}[z,x,y,y]^{d_7}[z,x,y,z]^{d_9} \\ &\times [[z,x],[y,x]]^{-e_2+c_4+2d_8}[[z,y],[y,x]]^{-e_1+c_4+2d_7} \\ &\times [[z,y],[z,x]]^{-e_3+c_4} \end{aligned}$$

and from  $v(y, x, z)(v_0(y, x, z))^{-1}$  we have

$$[y, x, z]^{a^{3}}[y, x, x, z]^{a^{2}\binom{a}{2}}[y, x, y, z]^{a^{2}\binom{a}{2}}[y, x, z, z]^{a^{2}\binom{a}{2}}[[z, y], [z, x]]^{b^{2}}.$$

The same calculation for v(y, z, x) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} v_0(y,z,x) &= [y,x,z]^{-c_3-c_4} [z,x,y]^{c_3} [y,x,x,z]^{-d_6-d_9} [y,x,y,z]^{-d_4-d_7} \\ &\times [y,x,z,z]^{-d_5-d_8} [z,x,x,y]^{d_6} [z,x,y,y]^{d_4} [z,x,y,z]^{d_5} \\ &\times [[z,x],[y,x]]^{e_3+c_3-d_9+2d_6} [[z,y],[y,x]]^{e_1+c_3+2d_4} \\ &\times [[z,y],[z,x]]^{e_2+c_3+d_5} \end{aligned}$$

from rewriting  $v_0(y, z, x)$  and from  $v(y, z, x)(v_0(y, z, x))^{-1}$ 

$$\begin{split} &[y,x,z]^{a^{3}}[y,x,x,z]^{a^{2}\binom{a}{2}}[y,x,y,z]^{a^{2}\binom{a}{2}}[y,x,z,z]^{a^{2}\binom{a}{2}}\\ &\times[[z,x],[y,x]]^{-b^{2}}[[z,y],[y,x]]^{-b^{2}}[[z,y],[z,x]]^{-b^{2}}. \end{split}$$

Comparing the powers of basic commutators we obtain

$$a^{2} = 2b, \ c_{3} = c_{4} = c, \ d_{4} = d_{6} = d_{7} = d_{8} = d, \ d_{5} = d_{9} = d_{0}, \ 3c = a^{3},$$
$$2d + d_{0} = a^{2} \binom{a}{2}, \ 2d_{0} = b^{2}, \ e_{1} + e_{2} = c + 2d, \ 2e_{3} = c + b^{2},$$
$$e_{1} + b^{2} + d_{0} = e_{3} + c + 2d, \ e_{2} + b^{2} = e_{1} + c + 2d, \ e_{3} + b^{2} = e_{2} + c + d_{0}.$$

This implies  $3c + 4d - 6d_0 = 0$  and for some integer k the equalities a = 6k,  $b = 18k^2$ ,  $c = 12k^2$ ,  $d_0 = 3^4 \cdot 2k^4$  and  $d = 2 \cdot 3^3k^3(6k-1) - 3^4 \cdot k^4$ . But then we obtain  $k^2(1-6k) = 0$  and consequently k = 0 and  $e_1 = e_2 = e_3 = 0$ , which finishes the proof.

PROOF of Theorem 3. Let w = w(x, y, z, t) belong to  $S^{(4)}(\mathfrak{N}_4)$  and let

$$w_2 = x^a y^a z^a t^a [y, x]^b [z, x]^b [t, x]^b [z, y]^b [t, y]^b [t, z]^b.$$

Since the words w(x, y, z, 1), w(x, y, 1, t), w(x, 1, z, t), w(1, y, z, t) are both in  $S^{(3)}(\mathfrak{N}_4)$  we have

$$w(x, y, z, t) = w_2 w_1(x, y, z) w_1(x, y, t) w_1(x, z, t) w_1(y, z, t) w_0 = w'_1 \cdot w_0,$$

where  $w'_1$  is a product of commutators which contain exactly 3 letters and

$$w_{0} = [y, x, z, t]^{f_{1}}[z, x, y, t]^{f_{2}}[t, x, y, z]^{f_{3}}[[t, x], [z, y]]^{f_{4}}$$
$$\times [[t, y], [z, x]]^{f_{5}}[[t, z][y, x]]^{f_{6}}$$

is the product of all basic commutators on exactly 4 letters and  $w_2$  is trivial because a = b = 0.

Using Lemmas 1, 2 we rewrite w(y, x, z, t) as a product of basic commutators. Then we obtain

$$\begin{split} [y,x,z,t]^{-f_1-f_2-f_3}[z,x,y,t]^{f_2}[t,x,y,z]^{f_3}[[t,x],[z,y]]^{f_5} \\ \times [[t,y],[z,x]]^{f_4}[[t,z],[y,x]]^{-f_6}. \end{split}$$

So we deduce that

$$2f_1 + f_2 + f_3 = 0$$
,  $f_4 = f_5$ ,  $f_5 = f_4$ ,  $2f_6 = f_3$ .

The similar calculations for the element w(y, z, t, x) give

$$\begin{split} [y, x, z, t]^{-f_1 - f_2 - f_3}[z, x, y, t]^{f_1}[t, x, y, z]^{f_2}[[t, x], [z, y]]^{-f_1 - f_6} \\ \times [[t, y], [z, x]]^{f_2 + f_5}[[t, z], [y, x]]^{f_2 + f_4}. \end{split}$$

It follows that  $f_1 = f_2 = f_3 = f_4 = f_5 = f_6 = 0$  and Theorem 3 is proved.

#### References

- [1] C. K. GUPTA and W. HOŁUBOWSKI, On 2-symmetric words in groups, Arch. der Math. (to appear).
- [2] W. HOLUBOWSKI, Symmetric words in metabelian groups, Comm. Algebra 23 (14) (1995), 5161–5167.
- [3] W. HOLUBOWSKI, Symmetric words in a free nilpotent group of class 5, Groups St Andrews 1997 in Bath, vol. I, London Math. Soc. Lect. Notes Ser. 260 (1999), 363–367.
- [4] S. KRSTIČ, On symmetric words in nilpotent groups, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S) 27 (41) (1980), 139–142.
- [5] O. MACEDOŃSKA, On symmetric words in nilpotent groups, Fund. Math. 120 (1984), 119–125.
- [6] O. MACEDOŃSKA and D. SOLITAR, On binary  $\sigma$ -invariants words in a group, Contemp. Math. 169 (1994), 431–449.
- [7] H. NEUMANN, Varieties of groups, Springer V., Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1967.
- [8] E. PLONKA, Symmetric operations in groups, Colloq. Math. 21 (1970), 179–186.
- [9] E. PLONKA, On symmetric words in free nilpotent groups, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. 18 (1970), 427–429.
- [10] E. PLONKA, Symmetric words in nilpotent groups of class  $\leq 3$ , Fund. Math. 97 (1977), 95–103.

WALDEMAR HOLUBOWSKI INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS SILESIAN TECHNICAL UNIVERSITY UL.KASZUBSKA 23 44–101 GLIWICE POLAND

E-mail: wholub@zeus.polsl.gliwice.pl

(Received January 18, 1999; revised June 15, 1999)