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#### Abstract

A classical result of Minty [8] states that for a Hilbert space $H$ and a continuous monotone map $A: H \rightarrow H$ the map $A+I$ is a homeomorphism of $H$. We extend this result to Hadamard manifolds.


## 1. Introduction

Let $B$ be a Banach space and $G$ a subset of $B$. The map $A: G \rightarrow B^{*}$ is called monotone with respect to duality (or in the sense of Minty-Browder) if $\langle A y-A x, y-x\rangle \geq 0$ for any $x$ and $y$ in $G$, where $B^{*}$ is the dual of $B$ and $\langle.,$.$\rangle is the natural pairing. If the strict inequality holds whenever$ $x \neq y$, then $A$ is called strictly monotone. If $B$ is a Hilbert space, then the pairing $\langle.,$.$\rangle can be identified with the scalar product of B$. We extended the notion of monotonicity for vector fields of a Riemannian manifold. A classical result of Minty [8] states that for a Hilbert space $H$ and a continuous monotone map $A: H \rightarrow H$ the map $A+I: H \rightarrow H$, where $I$ is the identical map of $H$, is a homeomorphism. This result (and different variations of it) is widely used to prove existence and uniqueness theorems for operator equations, partial differential equations and variational inequalities (see [19]). Surprisingly, in the finite dimensional case this result boils down just to the continuity and expansivity of $A+I$, beeing a particular case (it is not trivial to show) of a classical homeomorphism theorem
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of Browner [4, Theorem 4.10] (connected to this subject see also [1]-[3], [6], [13]-[15].) We shall generalize this result for a complete connected Riemannian manifold $M$. We shall prove that a continuous expansive map $A: M \rightarrow M$ is a homeomorphism. By an expansive map on a Riemannian manifold we mean a map which increases the distance between any two points. The distance function on a Riemannian manifold is given by [5, p. 146, Definition 2.4]. The expansivity of $A$ can be greatly weakened. It is enough to suppose that $A$ is reverse uniform continuous, which means that for any $\varepsilon>0$ there is a $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ such that $d(A x, A y)<\delta$ implies $d(x, y)<\varepsilon$, where $d$ denotes the distance function on $M$. Particularly if $M$ is an Hadamard manifold (complete, simply connected Riemannian manifold, of nonpositive sectional curvature) and $X$ is a monotone vector field on $M$ we shall prove that $\exp X$ is expansive. Hence if $X$ is continuous $\exp X$ is a homeomorphism of $M$, extending Minty's classical result. (We note that for a Hilbert space $H$ we have $\exp X=X+I$, where $X$ is identified with a map of $H$.)
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## 2. Preliminary results

First we prove the following lemma:
Lemma 2.1. Consider $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ endowed with the canonical scalar product $\langle.,$.$\rangle . Denote by \|$.$\| the norm induced by \langle.,$.$\rangle . Let abcd be a quadri-$ lateral in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $\|c-d\|>\|a-b\|$. Denote by $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ and $\delta$ the angles $\angle d a b, \angle a b c, \angle b c d$ and $\angle c d a$, respectively. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|a-d\| \cos \delta+\|b-c\| \cos \gamma>0 \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(This holds even if abcd degenerates to a triangle.)
Proof. If $a=b$ the inequality follows from the relation

$$
\|a-d\| \cos \delta+\|a-c\| \cos \gamma=\|c-d\|
$$

which can be easily obtained by projecting $a$ to the straight line joining $c$ and $d$. Suppose that $a \neq b$. From $\|c-d\|>\|a-b\|$ and the Schwarz inequality we have that

$$
\langle d-c, a-b\rangle<\|d-c\|^{2}
$$

which is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle c-d, a-d\rangle+\langle d-c, b-c\rangle>0 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that (2.2) implies (2.1).
In the following definition indices $i=1, \ldots, n$ are considered modulo $n$. A geodesic $n$-sided poligon in a Riemannian manifold $M$ is a set formed by $n$ segments of minimizing unit speed geodesics (called sides of the poligon)

$$
\gamma_{i}:\left[0, l_{i}\right] \rightarrow M ; \quad i=1, \ldots, n,
$$

in such a way that $\gamma_{i}\left(l_{i}\right)=\gamma_{i+1}(0) ; i=l, \ldots, n$. The endpoints of the geodesic segments are called vertices of the poligon. The angle

$$
\angle\left(-\dot{\gamma}_{i}\left(l_{i}\right), \dot{\gamma}_{i+1}(0)\right) ; \quad i=1, \ldots, n
$$

is called the (interior) angle of the corresponding vertex.
Recall that on Hadamard manifolds every two points can be uniquely joined by a geodesic arc [11]. Hence the distance between two points of an Hadamard manifold is the length of the geodesic joining these points.

Let $M$ be an Hadamard manifold. If $a, b, c$ are three arbitrary points of $M$ then $a b$ will denote the distance of $a$ from $b$ and $a b c_{\triangle}$ the geodesic triangle of vertices $a, b, c$ (which is uniquely defined). In general a geodesic poligon in $M$, of consecutive vertices $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$ will be denoted by $a_{1} \ldots a_{n}$.

Lemma 2.2. Let $a b c d$ be a quadrilateral in a Hadamard manifold $M$ and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ the angles of the vertices $a, b, c, d$, respectively. Then

$$
\alpha+\beta+\gamma+\delta \leq 2 \pi .
$$

Proof. Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ be the angles of the vertex $a$ in $a d c_{\Delta}$ and $a b c_{\Delta}$, respectively. Similarly, let $\gamma_{1}$ and $\gamma_{2}$ be the angles of the vertex $c$ in $a d c_{\triangle}$ and $a b c_{\Delta}$, respectively. It is known that an angle formed by two edges of
a trieder is bounded by the sum of the other two angles formed by edges. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2} \geq \alpha \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2} \geq \gamma \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand by [5, p. 259, Lemma 3.1 (ii)] we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{1}+\gamma_{1}+\delta \leq \pi  \tag{2.5}\\
& \alpha_{2}+\gamma_{2}+\beta \leq \pi \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Summing inequalities (2.5), (2.6) and using (2.3), (2.4) we obtain

$$
\alpha+\beta+\gamma+\delta \leq 2 \pi
$$

The next lemma follows from [18, Lemma 1].
Lemma 2.3. Let $(M,\langle.\rangle$,$) be an Hadamard manifold and abcd be$ a quadrilateral in $M$ such that $\alpha$ is nonacute and $\beta$ is obtuse (nonacute), where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ are the angles of the vertices $a, b, c, d$, respectively. Then $c d>a b(c d \geq a b)$.

The following lemma is a generalization of Lemma 2.1.
Lemma 2.4. Let $(M,\langle.,\rangle$.$) be an Hadamard manifold and abcd be$ a quadrilateral in $M$ such that $c d>a b$. Denote by $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ the angles of the vertices $a, b, c$, $d$, respectively. Then

$$
a d \cos \delta+b c \cos \gamma>0
$$

(This holds even if abcd degenerates to a triangle.)
Proof. We identify $T_{a} M$ with $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, where $n=\operatorname{dim} M$. Denote by $\|$.$\| the norm generated by the canonical scalar product of \mathbb{R}^{n}$.

If $\delta, \gamma \geq \pi / 2$ then Lemma 2.3 implies $a b \geq c d$ which contradicts $c d>a b$. Hence we have either $\delta<\pi / 2$ or $\gamma<\pi / 2$. We can suppose without loss of generality that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma<\pi / 2 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The lengths of the sides of a geodesic triangle satisfy the triangle inequalities. Hence there exist the points $b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}$ of $T_{a}(M)$ such that $\left\|a-d^{\prime}\right\|=a d$, $\left\|a-c^{\prime}\right\|=a c,\left\|d^{\prime}-c^{\prime}\right\|=d c,\left\|a-b^{\prime}\right\|=a b,\left\|b^{\prime}-c^{\prime}\right\|=b c$ and $b^{\prime}$ is contained in the plane of $a d^{\prime} c_{\triangle}^{\prime}$, such that $b^{\prime}$ and $d^{\prime}$ are contained in different half planes defined by the straight line in $T_{a}(M)$ joining $a$ and $c^{\prime}$. Let $\alpha^{\prime}=\angle d^{\prime} a b^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}=\angle a b^{\prime} c^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}=\angle b^{\prime} c^{\prime} d^{\prime}, \delta^{\prime}=\angle c^{\prime} d^{\prime} a, \gamma_{1}^{\prime}=\angle a c^{\prime} d^{\prime}$ and $\gamma_{2}^{\prime}=\angle a c^{\prime} b^{\prime}$. Using Lemma 2.1 to the quadrilateral $a b^{\prime} c^{\prime} d^{\prime}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|a-d^{\prime}\right\| \cos \delta^{\prime}+\left\|b^{\prime}-c^{\prime}\right\| \cos \gamma^{\prime}>0 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ the angles of the vertex $c$ in the triangles $a d c_{\Delta}, a b c_{\Delta}$, respectively. Then we have, by [5, p. 259, Lemma 3.1 (i)] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\prime} \geq \delta \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}^{\prime}+\gamma_{2}^{\prime} \geq \gamma_{1}+\gamma_{2} \geq \gamma \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider two cases:

1) $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}+\gamma_{2}^{\prime} \leq \pi$.

We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{\prime}=\gamma_{1}^{\prime}+\gamma_{2}^{\prime} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Relations (2.10) and (2.11) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{\prime} \geq \gamma \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left\|a-d^{\prime}\right\|=a d,\left\|b^{\prime}-c^{\prime}\right\|=b c$ and the cosine function is strictly decreasing on $] 0, \pi]$ (2.8), (2.9) and (2.12) imply

$$
a d \cos \delta+b c \cos \gamma>0
$$

2) $\gamma_{1}^{\prime}+\gamma_{2}^{\prime}>\pi$.

If $\delta<\pi / 2$ then $a d \cos \delta+b c \cos \gamma>0$ holds trivially, since $\gamma<\pi / 2$. We suppose that $\delta \geq \pi / 2$. By (2.9) we have that $\delta^{\prime} \geq \pi / 2$. [5, p. 259, Lemma 3.1 (ii)] implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{1}^{\prime} \leq \pi / 2 \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{2}^{\prime} \leq \pi \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence (2.13) and (2.14) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 \pi-\gamma^{\prime}=\gamma_{1}^{\prime}+\gamma_{2}^{\prime} \leq \frac{3 \pi}{2} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.7) and (2.15) we have $0 \leq \gamma<\gamma^{\prime} \leq \pi$. Since the cosine function is strictly decreasing on $[0, \pi]$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \gamma>\cos \gamma^{\prime} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly (2.9) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \delta \geq \cos \delta^{\prime} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left\|a-d^{\prime}\right\|=a d,\left\|b^{\prime}-c^{\prime}\right\|=b c,(2.8),(2.16)$ and (2.17) we have

$$
a d \cos \delta+b c \cos \gamma>0
$$

## 3. Monotone vector fields on Riemannian manifolds

Let $M$ be a Riemannian manifold. We recall that a subset $K$ of $M$ is called (geodesic) convex [12] if for every two points of $M$ there is a geodesic arc joining these points contained in $K$.

If $N$ is an arbitrary manifold, we shall denote by $\operatorname{Sec}(T N)$ the family of sections of the tangent bundle $T N$ of $N$. Using this notation, we have the following definition:

Definition 3.1. Let $(M,\langle\rangle$,$) be a Riemannian manifold, K \subset M$ a convex open set and $X \in \operatorname{Sec}(T K)$ a vector field on $K . X$ is called monotone [9] if for every $x, y \in K$ and every unit speed geodesic arc $\gamma:[0, l] \rightarrow M$ joining $x$ and $y(\gamma(0)=x, \gamma(l)=y)$ contained in $K$, we have that

$$
\left\langle X_{x}, \dot{\gamma}(0)\right\rangle \leq\left\langle X_{y}, \dot{\gamma}(l)\right\rangle,
$$

where $\dot{\gamma}$ denotes the tangent vector of $\gamma$ with respect to the arclength.
Let $X$ be monotone. With the previous notations $X$ is called strictly monotone [9] if for every distinct $x$ and $y$

$$
\left\langle X_{x}, \dot{\gamma}(0)\right\rangle<\left\langle X_{y}, \dot{\gamma}(l)\right\rangle
$$

Since the length of the tangent vector of an arbitrary parametrized geodesic is constant, the relations of Definition 3.1 can be given for any parametrization of $\gamma$. It is also easy to see that $X$ is monotone (strictly monotone), if and only if for every geodesic $\gamma$ (arbitrarily parametrized) the $v: \tau \mapsto\left\langle X_{\gamma(\tau)}, \gamma^{\prime}(\tau)\right\rangle$ is monotone (strictly monotone), where $\gamma^{\prime}(\tau)$ is the tangent vector of $\gamma$ with respect to its parameter $\tau$.

The following example makes connection between monotone vector fields and monotone operators of a Euclidean space, showing that with few modifications the formers are generalizations of the latters:

Example 3.2. Let $E$ be a Euclidean space, $G \subset E$ an open and convex set and $h: G \rightarrow E$ a monotone (strictly monotone) operator. Then, the vector field $X \in \operatorname{Sec}(T G) ; x \mapsto h(x)_{x}$, where $h(x)_{x}$ is the tangent vector in 0 of the curve $t \mapsto x+\operatorname{th}(x)$, is monotone (strictly monotone).

The next remark follows easily from Definition 3.1.
Remark 3.3. If $M$ is an Hadamard manifold, $K \subset M$ a convex open set and $X \in \operatorname{Sec}(T K)$ is a vector field on $K$ then $X$ is monotone if and only if for every $x, y \in K$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle X_{x}, \exp _{x}^{-1} y\right\rangle+\left\langle X_{y}, \exp _{y}^{-1} x\right\rangle \leq 0, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\exp : T M \rightarrow M$ is the exponential map of $M$.
Examples for monotone vector fields on Riemannian manifolds can be found in [9], [10]. We also remark that the gradient of every (geodesic) convex function [12] on a Riemannian manifold is monotone (see [16], [17]).

## 4. Homeomorphisms of Hadamard manifolds

The following proposition is a consequence of Lemma 2.4.
Proposition 4.1. Let $M$ be an Hadamard manifold and $X \in \operatorname{Sec}(T M)$ a monotone vector field on $M$. Then the map $A=\exp X: M \rightarrow M$ defined by $A x=\exp _{x} X_{x}$ is expansive.

Proof. Suppose that $A$ is not expansive. Hence there exist $x$ and $y$ in $M$ such that $x^{\prime} y^{\prime}<x y$, where $x^{\prime}=A x$ and $y^{\prime}=A y$. Consider the
quadrilateral $x y y^{\prime} x^{\prime}$. Denote by the same letters the angles corresponding to the vertices $x$ and $y$, respectively. Then by Lemma 2.4 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x x^{\prime} \cos x+y y^{\prime} \cos y>0 . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that (4.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle X_{x}, \exp _{x}^{-1} y\right\rangle+\left\langle X_{y}, \exp _{y}^{-1} x\right\rangle>0 . \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

But by (3.1) inequality (4.2) contradicts the monotonicity of $X$. Hence $A$ is expansive.

Definition 4.2. Let $M$ be a Riemannian manifold and $d$ its distance function, which is a metric on $M$ (see [5, p. 146, Proposition 2.5]). A : $M \rightarrow M$ is called reverse uniform continuous if for any $\varepsilon>0$ there is a $\delta=\delta(\varepsilon)>0$ such that $d(A x, A y)<\delta$ implies $d(x, y)<\varepsilon$.

Let $\alpha \geq 1$ and $L>0$ be two arbitrary positive constants and $A$ : $M \rightarrow M$ such that for any $x$ and $y$ in $M$ to have $d(A x, A y) \geq L d(x, y)^{\alpha}$. Then $A$ is reverse uniform continuous. If $\alpha=L=1$ we obtain the set of expansive maps.

Theorem 4.3. Let $M$ be a complete connected Riemannian manifold and $A: M \rightarrow M$ a continuous and reverse uniform continuous map. Then $A$ is a homeomorphism. Particularly this is true for $A$ continuous and expansive.

Proof. Let $n=\operatorname{dim} M$. It is easy to see that the reverse uniform continuity of $A$ implies that it is injective and $A^{-1}: A M \rightarrow M$ is continuous, where $A M=\{A x: x \in M\}$. Hence $A: M \rightarrow A M$ is a homeomorphism. It remains to show that $A M=M$. Suppose that we have already proved that $A M$ is closed. Since $A: M \rightarrow A M$ is a homeomorphism, by Brouwer's domain invariance theorem, [7, p. 65] $A M$ is open. Since $M$ is connected and $A M$ is an open and closed subset of $M$ we have $A M=M$. Hence if we prove that $A M$ is closed we are done. For this let us consider a sequence $x_{n}^{\prime}=A x_{n}$ in $M$ convergent to $x^{\prime} \in M$ and prove that $x^{\prime} \in A M$ i.e. there is an $x \in M$ such that $x^{\prime}=A x$. Since $x_{n}^{\prime}$ is convergent it is a Cauchy sequence. It is easy to see that the reverse continuity of $A$ implies that $x_{n}$ is also a Cauchy sequence. Since $M$ is complete, by Hopf-Rinow theorem for Riemannian manifolds it is complete as a metric space (see
[5, p. 146]). Hence $x_{n}$ is convergent. Denote by $x$ its limit. Since $A$ is continuous taking the limit in the relation $x_{n}^{\prime}=A x_{n}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain $x^{\prime}=A x$.

By Proposition 4.1 we have the following extension to Hadamard manifolds of Minty's classical homeomorphism theorem for monotone maps $[8$, Corollary of Theorem 4].

Corollary 4.4. Let $M$ be an Hadamard manifold and $X$ be a continuous monotone vector field. Then $\exp X: M \rightarrow M$ is a homeomorphism.

In [10] we proved that if $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}$ are projection maps onto closed convex sets of an Hadamard manifold [18] then the vector field

$$
X=-\exp ^{-1}\left(p_{1} \circ \ldots \circ p_{n}\right)
$$

defined by

$$
X_{x}=-\exp _{x}^{-1}\left[\left(p_{1} \circ \ldots \circ p_{n}\right)(x)\right]
$$

is continuous and monotone. Hence we have the following corollary:
Corollary 4.5. Let $M$ be an Hadamard manifold and $p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{n}$ projection maps onto closed convex sets of $M$. Then $\exp \left[-\exp ^{-1}\left(p_{1} \circ \ldots \circ p_{n}\right)\right]$ is a homeomorphism of $M$ onto $M$.
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