

## On the $m$ -convexity of $C_b(X)$

By HUGO ARIZMENDI-PEIMBERT (Mexico)  
and ANGEL CARRILLO-HOYO (Mexico)

**Abstract.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $C_b(X)$  the algebra of bounded continuous complex functions defined on  $X$ , with the strict topology  $\beta$  defined by R. Giles. In this paper a necessary and sufficient condition is given in order that  $C_b(X)$  be an  $m$ -convex algebra, when  $X$  is a completely regular Hausdorff space. The density of principal ideals in this algebra and an algebra of analytic sequences are also studied.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a topological space. We denote by  $B(X)$  the algebra of all bounded complex functions on  $X$ , and by  $C_b(X)$  the subalgebra of  $B(X)$  consisting of bounded continuous functions. The ideal in  $B(X)$  of all bounded functions vanishing at infinity is denoted by  $B_0(X)$  and  $B_{00}(X)$  denotes the subspace of  $B_0(X)$  consisting of all the elements in  $B(X)$  with compact support.

The strict topology  $\beta$  on the algebra  $C_b(X)$  was introduced by C. BUCK in [4] when  $X$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space. For an arbitrary topological space  $X$  it was defined by R. GILES [5] as the locally convex topol-

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:* 46H.

*Key words and phrases:*  $m$ -convex algebra, strict topology, Wiener property, analytic sequences.

ogy on  $C_b(X)$  given by the seminorms

$$\|f\|_\varphi = \sup_{x \in Y} |f(x)\varphi(x)|, \quad (1)$$

where  $\varphi$  ranges on  $B_0(X)$ . If we restrict the functions  $\varphi$  to the class  $B_{00}(X)$  we obtain the compact-open topology  $\kappa$ , and we obtain the uniform convergence topology  $\sigma$  defined by the sup norm  $\|f\|_\infty = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)|$ , if we allow  $\varphi$  to be any function in  $B(X)$ . This shows that  $\kappa \preceq \beta \preceq \sigma$ .

If  $X$  is a locally compact space, then the strict topology  $\beta$  on the algebra  $C_b(X)$  can be defined by the family of seminorms (1), but with  $\varphi$  restricted to the space  $C_0(X) = C_b(X) \cap B_0(X)$ . This is the way in which C. Buck defined the strict topology.

We recall that  $X$  is called a  $k$ -space if it is a space in which a set is closed iff its intersection with every compact closed set is closed. If  $X$  is a locally compact or metrizable space then  $X$  is a  $k$ -space.

In [5] it is shown that the algebra  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  is complete if and only if  $X$  is a  $k$ -space.

A commutative locally convex algebra  $A$  with unit  $e$ , whose topology is given by the family  $\{\|\cdot\|_\alpha : \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  of seminorms on  $A$ , is said to be locally  $A$ -convex if for each  $x \in A$  and  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  there exists some constant  $M(x, \alpha) > 0$  such that

$$\|xy\|_\alpha \leq M_{(x, \alpha)} \|y\|_\alpha \quad \text{for all } y \in A. \quad (2)$$

If the above constant  $M_{(x, \alpha)}$  does not depend on  $\alpha$  i.e. (2) holds for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  and some constant  $M_x$  depending only on  $x$ , then we say that  $A$  is a locally uniformly  $A$ -convex algebra.

We say that  $A$  is a locally  $m$ -convex (shortly  $m$ -convex) algebra if every seminorm  $\|\cdot\|_\alpha$  is submultiplicative i.e.  $\|xy\|_\alpha \leq \|x\|_\alpha \|y\|_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in \Lambda$  and  $x, y \in A$ .

The algebra  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  is locally uniformly  $A$ -convex, since  $\|fg\|_\phi \leq \|f\|_\infty \|g\|_\phi$  for every  $\phi \in B_0(X)$  and  $f, g \in C_b(X)$ . It is easy to see that the topological algebras  $(C_b(X), \sigma)$  and  $(C_b(X), \kappa)$  are  $m$ -convex algebras. In this paper we establish, among other things, some conditions under which the algebra  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  is also an  $m$ -convex algebra.

By  $\mathcal{M}(A)$  (resp.,  $\mathcal{M}^\#(A)$ ) we denote the space of all continuous non-zero linear multiplicative complex functionals on  $A$  (resp., all non zero linear multiplicative complex functionals on  $A$ ).

If  $X$  is a completely regular Hausdorff space, then it is well known that  $\mathcal{M}(C_b(X), \beta) = X$ , i.e.  $h \in \mathcal{M}(C_b(X), \beta)$  if and only if  $h(f) = \hat{x}(f)$  for all  $f \in C_b(X)$  and a fixed  $x \in X$ , where  $\hat{x}(f) = f(x)$ .

## 2. The Wiener property

A commutative complete complex  $m$ -convex algebra  $A$  with unit satisfies the *Wiener property*:  $x \in A$  is invertible if and only if  $\hat{x}(f) \neq 0$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{M}(A)$ .

In this section we formulate for  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  a result, Corollary 2.2, that resembles the Wiener property and we use this result to prove that a particular commutative locally convex complete algebra with unit is not  $m$ -convex.

The next theorem is the complex version of the Stone–Weierstrass theorem given in [5].

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $A$  be a self adjoint  $\beta$ -closed subalgebra of  $C_b(X)$  which separates points and contains, for each  $x$  in  $X$ , a function nonvanishing at  $x$ . Then  $A = C_b(X)$ .*

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space. Suppose  $f \in C_b(X)$  is such that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for every  $x \in X$ . Then the ideal  $fC_b(X)$  is dense in  $(C_b(X), \beta)$ .*

PROOF. Since  $X$  is a completely regular Hausdorff space,  $C_b(X)$  separates points and so does  $fC_b(X)$ , and since  $\frac{\bar{f}}{f}g \in C_b(X)$  for every  $g \in C_b(X)$ ,  $fC_b(X)$  is self adjoint.  $\square$

When the above function  $f$  is not invertible in  $C_b(X)$  we obtain the following

**Theorem 2.3.** *If  $f \in C_b(X)$  is such that  $f(x) \neq 0$  for every  $x \in X$  and  $\inf_{x \in X} |f(x)| = 0$ , then the ideal  $fC_b(X)$  is of infinite codimension.*

PROOF. Let us assume that  $f$  satisfies the hypothesis. For each  $n \geq 1$  let us define the function  $h_n(x) = \sqrt[n]{|f(x)|}$  for all  $x \in X$ . Then we obtain a sequence  $(h_n C_b(X))_{n=1}^\infty$  of ideals of  $C_b(X)$  such that

$$h_1 C_b(X) \subsetneq h_2 C_b(X) \subsetneq \dots$$

This implies that  $\{h_n : n \geq 1\}$  is a set of linearly independent elements. Since the function  $\frac{g}{f}$  is not bounded whenever  $g$  is not the null element in the linear space  $\langle h_n \rangle$  generated by the set  $\{h_n : n \geq 1\}$ , it follows that  $\langle h_n \rangle \cap f C_b(X) = \{0\}$  and then the ideal  $f C_b(X)$  is of infinite codimension.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $X$  be a completely regular Hausdorff  $k$ -space. If there exists  $f \in C_b(X)$  as in the above theorem, then  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  is not an  $m$ -convex algebra.*

PROOF. We know that  $\mathcal{M}(C_b(X), \beta) = X$ , and by hypothesis  $\widehat{x}(f) = f(x) \neq 0$  for every  $x \in X$ . Therefore,  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  is a commutative complete complex algebra with unit that does not satisfy the Wiener condition. Thus,  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  is not an  $m$ -convex algebra.  $\square$

### 3. The $\mathcal{M}$ -convexity of $(C_b(X), \beta)$

Let  $A$  be a topological algebra. In [2] an element  $x \in A$  is said to be  $\mathcal{M}$ -invertible (resp.,  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ -invertible) if  $\widehat{x}(f) \neq 0$  for every  $f \in \mathcal{M}(A)$  (resp.,  $f \in \mathcal{M}^\#(A)$ ). The set of all  $\mathcal{M}$ -invertible ( $\mathcal{M}^\#$ -invertible) elements in  $A$  is denoted by  $G_{\mathcal{M}}(A)$  ( $G_{\mathcal{M}^\#}(A)$ ). The set of all invertible elements in  $A$  is denoted, as usual, by  $G(A)$ .

Suppose  $X$  is a completely regular Hausdorff space. Since  $\mathcal{M}(C_b(X), \beta) = X$  and  $\mathcal{M}^\#(C_b(X)) = \beta(X)$  (the Stone-Čech compactification of  $X$ ) we have

$$G_{\mathcal{M}}(C_b(X), \beta) = \{f \in C_b(X) : f(x) \neq 0, \forall x \in X\}$$

and

$$G(C_b(X)) = G_{\mathcal{M}^\#}(C_b(X)) = \{f \in C_b(X) : \inf_{x \in X} |f(x)| > 0\}.$$

**Proposition 3.1.** *Suppose  $X$  is a completely regular Hausdorff space. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (1)  $C_b(X) = C(X)$ , where  $C(X)$  is the space of all complex continuous functions on  $X$ .
- (2)  $G(C_b(X)) = G_{\mathcal{M}}(C_b(X), \beta)$ .

PROOF. It is obvious that (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). To show (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) we assume the contrary, namely that there exists  $f \in C(X)$  which is not a bounded function. Then  $1 + |f(x)| \neq 0$  for every  $x \in X$  and it is not a bounded function. Therefore, the element  $h = \frac{1}{1+f}$  belongs to  $G_{\mathcal{M}}(C_b(X), \beta) \setminus G(C_b(X))$ .  $\square$

A topological algebra  $A$  is said to be a  $Q$ -algebra if  $G(A)$  is an open set in  $A$ , in other words the complement of  $G(A)$  is closed in  $A$ . In the topological algebra  $(C_b(X), \beta)$ , with  $X$  a completely regular non compact Hausdorff space, the set of invertible elements has the opposite property, as we can see in the following

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $X$  be a completely regular noncompact Hausdorff space. The set of all noninvertible elements of  $C_b(X)$  is dense in  $(C_b(X), \beta)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\varphi \in B_0(X)$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . There exists a compact subset  $K \subset X$  such that  $|\varphi(x)| < \epsilon$  for every  $x \notin K$ . Since  $X$  is a completely regular noncompact Hausdorff space there exist  $x_0 \notin K$  and a function  $g \in C_b(X)$  such that  $g(x) = 1$  if  $x \in K$ ,  $g(x_0) = 0$  and  $0 \leq g(x) \leq 1$  for all  $x \in X$ . It immediately follows that  $g$  is not invertible in  $C_b(X)$  and  $\|g - 1\|_{\varphi} < \epsilon$ .  $\square$

In what follows we establish a necessary and sufficient condition for the  $m$ -convexity of  $(C_b(X), \beta)$ , when  $X$  is a completely regular Hausdorff space. For this we follow the proof of Proposition 4 in [11].

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a completely regular Hausdorff space.  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  is an  $m$ -convex algebra if and only if  $B_0(X) = B_{00}(X)$ .*

PROOF. Let us assume that  $B_{00}(X) \subsetneq B_0(X)$  and suppose that  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  is an  $m$ -convex algebra; so there exists a system  $P$  of submultiplicative seminorms that defines  $\beta$ . Thus, for  $\varphi \in B_0(X) \setminus B_{00}(X)$  we

can find a submultiplicative seminorm  $\|\cdot\|$  belonging to  $P$  and two positive constants  $p$  and  $q$  such that

$$p\|f\|_\varphi \leq \|f\| \leq q\|f\|_\varphi$$

for all  $f \in C_b(X)$ . Then  $\|f\| < 1$  whenever  $q\|f\|_\varphi < 1$ , and so  $\|f^n\| < 1$  and  $p\|f^n\|_\varphi < 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Since  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(x) = 0$  we can find a compact subset  $K$  of  $X$  such that  $q|\varphi(x)| < \frac{1}{2}$  for every  $x \notin K$ .

Let  $f \in C_b(X)$  with  $f(x) = 0$  if  $x \in K$ ,  $0 \leq f(x) \leq 2$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $f(x_1) = 2$  for some  $x_1 \notin K$  for which  $\varphi(x_1) \neq 0$ . We have that  $q\|f\|_\varphi < 1$ , and then  $p\|f^n\|_\varphi < 1$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . On the other hand,

$$p\|f^n\|_\varphi \geq 2^n |\varphi(x_1)| p$$

for all  $n \geq 1$  and the expression on the right tends to  $\infty$  as  $n$  grows. This shows that  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  is a non  $m$ -convex algebra.

If we have  $B_0(X) = B_{00}(X)$  then the topology  $\beta$  coincides with  $\kappa$  and then

$$(C_b(X), \beta) = (C_b(X), \kappa)$$

is clearly  $m$ -convex. □

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space.  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  is an  $m$ -convex algebra if and only if  $C_0(X) = C_{00}(X)$ .*

PROOF. If  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  is an  $m$ -convex algebra, then  $B_0(X) = B_{00}(X)$ . If  $f \in C_0(X)$ , then  $f \in B_{00}(X)$ . Thus,  $f \in C_{00}(X)$ .

Conversely, since  $X$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space, the strict and the uniform topologies in  $C_b$  are given by the families of seminorms  $\{\|\cdot\|_\varphi : \varphi \in C_0(X)\}$  and  $\{\|\cdot\|_\varphi : \varphi \in C_{00}(X)\}$ , respectively. Thus, these two topologies coincide and  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  is an  $m$ -convex algebra. □

*Remark 3.5.* Observe that  $C_b(X) = C(X)$ , where  $X$  is a locally compact space, does not imply in general that  $C_0(X) = C_{00}(X)$ , as we can see in the following example:

Let  $\Omega$  and  $\omega$  be the first uncountable and countable ordinal numbers, respectively. It can be proved that the space

$$Y = [0, \Omega] \times [0, \omega] - (\Omega, \omega)$$

is pseudocompact and so  $C_b(Y) = C(Y)$ . Let  $f : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be defined as  $f(\alpha, 0) = 1$ ,  $f(\alpha, \omega) = 0$  and  $f(\alpha, n) = \frac{1}{n}$  for every  $\alpha \in [0, \Omega]$  and  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . It is easy to see that  $f \in C_0(Y) \setminus C_{00}(Y)$ . Thus,  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  is not  $m$ -convex.

A space  $X$  is called a  $P$ -space if every function in  $C_0(X)$  is constant in some neighborhood of each point of  $X$ . If  $X$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space such that its Stone-Ćech compactification is a  $P$ -space, then  $C_0(X)$  coincides with  $C_{00}(X)$  and therefore  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  is an  $m$ -convex algebra. For example, every ordinal segment  $[0, \tau)$ , where  $\tau$  is an infinite ordinal with uncountable cofinality, has this property.

In [1], for a locally  $A$ -convex algebra  $(A, \tau(P))$  with unit, where  $P = \{p_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$  is a family of seminorms which determines the topology  $\tau$ , another topology  $\tau(\tilde{P})$  is defined. This topology  $\tau(\tilde{P})$  is the weakest locally  $m$ -convex topology on  $A$  which is stronger than  $\tau(P)$ , and it is given by the family of seminorms  $\tilde{P} = \{\tilde{p}_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Lambda\}$ , where

$$\tilde{p}_\alpha(x) = \sup \{p_\alpha(xy) : p_\alpha(y) \leq 1\}.$$

For  $(C_b(X), \beta)$  this locally  $m$ -convex topology will be denoted by  $\beta(\tilde{P})$  and it is defined by the seminorms

$$\tilde{p}_\varphi(f) = \sup \left\{ \|fg\|_\varphi : \|g\|_\varphi \leq 1 \right\},$$

where  $f, g \in C_b(X)$  and  $\varphi \in B_0(X)$ .

The following lemma is obvious.

**Lemma 3.6.** *Let  $X$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space. There exists a real function  $\varphi \in B_0(X)$  such that  $\varphi(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in X$  if and only if  $X$  is  $\sigma$ -compact.*

**Proposition 3.7.** *If  $X$  is a locally compact and  $\sigma$ -compact Hausdorff space then the topology  $\beta(\tilde{P})$  in  $C_b(X)$  coincides with the uniform topology.*

PROOF. It is clear that

$$\tilde{p}_\varphi(f) \leq \|f\|_\infty$$

for all  $f \in C_b(X)$  and  $\varphi \in B_0(X)$ .

On the other hand, by the above lemma there exists  $\varphi \in B_0(X)$  such that  $\varphi(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in X$ . Given  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $f \in C_b(X)$ , let  $x \in X$  be such that

$$\|f\|_\infty - \epsilon < |f(x)|,$$

then

$$\|f\|_\infty - \epsilon < |f(x)| = \left| f(x) \frac{1}{\varphi(x)} \varphi(x) \right| \leq \|fg_\epsilon\|_\varphi,$$

where  $g_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varphi(x)}$  and  $g_\epsilon(y) = 0$  if  $y \neq x$ . Thus,  $\|f\|_\infty \leq \tilde{p}_\varphi(f)$ .

The space  $[0, \Omega)$  is a locally compact Hausdorff space, but it is not a  $\sigma$ -compact space. In this case, the  $\beta(\tilde{P})$  topology coincides with the open-compact topology in  $C_b([0, \Omega))$ .

On the other hand,  $\beta(\tilde{P})$  coincides with the uniform topology in the space  $Y$  of Remark 3.5, because  $\|f\|_\infty = \tilde{p}(f)$  for the function  $f$  defined there. □

#### 4. The algebra $H(D)$

Let  $H(D)$  be the algebra of all holomorphic functions in the unit complex open disc  $D$ , and let  $A$  denotes the space of all complex sequences  $\mathbf{a} = (a_k)_{k=0}^\infty$  such that if  $z$  is a complex number and  $|z| < 1$ , then  $\sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k z^k$  converges. The transformation

$$f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty a_k(f) z^k \rightarrow \mathbf{a}(f) = (a_k(f))_{k=0}^\infty \tag{3}$$

identifies  $H(D)$  with the sequence space  $A$ .

Let  $A$  be endowed with the Hadamard product, i.e. the coordinatewise product, and the compact-open topology inherited from  $H(D)$  through the identification (3); this topology, that we denote by  $\tau(A)$ , can be given by the sequence  $(\| \cdot \|_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  of seminorms on  $A$  defined as

$$\|(a_k(f))_{k=0}^\infty\|_n = \sup_{k \geq 0} \left( |a_k(f)| r_n^k \right),$$

for  $n \geq 1$ , where  $(r_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  is an increasing sequence of positive numbers tending to 1.

Then  $A$  becomes an algebra of analytic sequences, moreover,  $(A, \tau(A))$  is a locally convex, metrizable complete commutative algebra with unit  $e = (1, 1, \dots)$  and orthogonal basis  $(e_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ , where  $e_{nk} = \delta_{nk}$  for  $n, k \geq 0$ .

In [3], it is proved that  $(a_k(f))_{k=0}^\infty \in A$  is invertible if and only if it satisfies

- i)  $a_k(f) \neq 0$  for every  $k \geq 0$  and
- ii)  $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |a_n(f)|^{1/k} = 1$ .

Now we prove the following

**Proposition 4.1.** *If  $\mathfrak{a}(f) = (a_k(f))_{k=0}^\infty$  in  $A$  is such that  $a_k(f) \neq 0$  for every  $k \geq 0$ , then  $\mathfrak{a}(f)A$  is dense in  $(A, \tau(A))$  and if  $\mathfrak{a}(f)$  is not invertible, then the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}(f)A$  is of infinite codimension.*

PROOF. Let us assume first that  $\mathfrak{a}(f) \in \ell^\infty$ , then by Theorem 2.2 we have that  $\mathfrak{a}(f)\ell^\infty$  is dense in  $(\ell^\infty, c_0)$  and so, for each  $j \in \ell^\infty$ ,  $\mathfrak{b} \in c_0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists  $\mathfrak{h} \in \ell^\infty$  such that

$$\sup_{k \geq 0} |(j_k - a_k(f)h_k)b_k| < \epsilon.$$

In particular, for the sequence  $(r_n)_{n=1}^\infty$  we have  $(r_n^k)_{k=0}^\infty \in c_0$  for each positive integer  $n \geq 1$ , and so

$$\sup_{k \geq 0} |(j_k - a_k(f)h_k)r_n^k| < \epsilon.$$

This implies that  $\ell^\infty \subset \overline{\mathfrak{a}(f)A}$  (the  $\tau(A)$ -closure of  $\mathfrak{a}(f)A$ ) and since  $\ell^\infty$  is dense in  $(A, \tau(A))$ , it follows that  $\mathfrak{a}(f)A$  is dense in  $A$  with the compact-open topology  $\tau(A)$ .

If  $\mathfrak{a}(f) \in A$  is such that  $\mathfrak{a}(f) \notin \ell^\infty$ , then there exists  $\mathfrak{b} \in A$  such that  $\mathfrak{a}(f)\mathfrak{b} \in \ell^\infty$  and so we are led to the previous case.

On the other hand, if  $\mathfrak{a}(f) \in A$  is such that  $a_k(f) \neq 0$  for all  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , and it is not invertible, then  $\mathfrak{a}(f) \notin M_k$ , where  $M_k = \{\mathfrak{a}(g) \in A : a_k(g) = 0\}$  for each  $k \geq 0$ , and therefore  $\mathfrak{a}(f)A$  cannot be contained in any  $M_k$ , but each proper ideal is contained in some maximal ideal; so  $\mathfrak{a}(f)A$  must be contained in some ideal  $M^p$  with  $p \in \beta(\mathbb{N}) \setminus \mathbb{N}$ .

Since the algebra  $A$  is functionally continuous (see [3]), this ideal  $M^p$  is dense of infinite codimension and hence  $\mathfrak{a}(f)A$  is of infinite codimension.

□

The authors are grateful to the referee for valuable suggestions.

### References

- [1] J. ARHIPAINEN, On functional representation of commutative locally  $A$ -convex algebras, Manuscript.
- [2] H. ARIZMENDI and V. VALOV, Some characterizations of  $Q$ -algebras, *Ann. Soc. Math. Pol. Comment. Math.* **39** (1999), 11–21.
- [3] R. M. BROOKS, A ring of analytic functions, *Studia Math.* **24** (1964), 191–210.
- [4] R. C. BUCK, Bounded continuous functions on a locally compact space, *Michigan Math. J.* **5** (1958), 95–104.
- [5] R. GILES, A generalization of the strict topology, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **161** (1971), 467–474.
- [6] H. JARCHOW, Locally convex spaces, *B. G. Teubner, Stugart*, 1981.
- [7] S. S. KHURANA, Strict topologies as topological algebras, *Czech. Math. J.* **51** (2001), 433–437.
- [8] L. OUBBI, Topologies  $m$ -convexes dans les algèbres  $A$ -convexes, *Rend. Circ. Mat.* **XLI** (1992), 397–406.
- [9] L. OUBBI, Weighted algebras of continuous functions, *Results in Math* **24** (1993), 298–307.
- [10] Z. SAWON and Z. WRONSKI, Fréchet algebras with orthogonal basis, *Coll. Math.* **48** (1984), 103–110.
- [11] W. ZELAZKO, A non- $m$ -convex algebra on which operate all entire functions, *Ann. Pol. Math.* **46** (1985), 389–394.

HUGO ARIZMENDI P.  
 INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS  
 UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO  
 CIRCUITO EXTERIOR, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA  
 04510 MÉXICO, D.F.  
 MEXICO

*E-mail:* hugo@matem.unam.mx

ANGEL CARRILLO H.  
 INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICAS  
 UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE MÉXICO  
 CIRCUITO EXTERIOR, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA  
 04510 MÉXICO, D.F.  
 MEXICO

*(Received December 10, 2001; revised October 29, 2002)*